



Precision reactivation of fetal hemoglobin: expanding the gene-editing landscape for β -thalassemia

Shenglan Xie, Yuhua Ye

Citation: Xie S, Ye Y. Precision reactivation of fetal hemoglobin: expanding the gene-editing landscape for β -thalassemia. *J Transl Genet Genom.* 2026;10:545-50. <https://dx.doi.org/10.20517/jtgg.2026.88>

Received: 30 Jun 2026

First Decision: 4 Aug 2026

Revised: 28 Aug 2026

Accepted: 16 Sep 2026

Published: 28 Sep 2026

Academic Editor:

Pier Paolo Piccaluga

Copy Editor:

Fangling Lan

Production Editor:

Fangling Lan

The recent clinical study by Frangoul *et al.* represents an important advance in genetic therapies for transfusion-dependent β -thalassemia^[1]. Using an engineered CRISPR-Cas12a nuclease to disrupt BCL11A-binding motifs within the HBG1 and HBG2 promoters, the investigators recreated a hereditary persistence of fetal hemoglobin (HPFH)-like genetic state. All nine EdiThal participants became transfusion-free at last follow-up, and the six evaluable at 12 months or longer were transfusion-independent^[1]. A companion sickle-cell study (RUBY) reported similar hematological improvements and fewer vaso-occlusive events^[2]. These findings are encouraging, but both trials were relatively small and terminated early by the sponsor. They therefore provide proof-of-concept rather than definitive evidence of benefit. Conceptually, the work marks a shift in therapeutic genome editing: the goal is no longer merely to maximize cutting efficiency, but to choose edits that faithfully recapitulate protective natural variation.

TWO CLINICALLY RELEVANT TARGETS FOR HbF REACTIVATION: BCL11A ENHANCER vs. HBG PROMOTERS

BCL11A is a developmental stage-specific repressor of γ -globin expression, and its erythroid-specific enhancer has been a productive therapeutic target^[3]. Exagamglogene autotemcel (exa-cel), which disrupts the +58 erythroid enhancer of BCL11A, has produced high rates of transfusion independence and has received regulatory approval for transfusion-dependent β -thalassemia and sickle cell disease^[4,5]. Preclinical studies suggest that even erythroid-restricted enhancer disruption of BCL11A could subtly affect erythroid proliferation or differentiation^[6-8], justifying continued surveillance.

Direct editing of the HBG1 and HBG2 promoters offers a more physiological alternative. Naturally occurring HPFH mutations have shown for decades that disruption of transcription-factor binding motifs within the γ -globin promoters can sustain high HbF levels without apparent adverse hematological consequences^[9,10]. Promoter editing directly recreates protective HPFH variants, minimizing



School of Basic Medical Sciences, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou 510515, Guangdong, China.

Correspondence to: Dr. Yuhua Ye, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou 510515, Guangdong, China. E-mail: yeyuhua_genetics@163.com

Table 1. Head-to-head comparison of BCL11A enhancer editing and HBG promoter editing

Feature	BCL11A enhancer editing (e.g. exa-cel)	HBG promoter editing (e.g., reni-cel)
Mechanism	Disrupt erythroid-specific +58 enhancer of BCL11A ^[3,8]	Disrupt BCL11A/ZBTB7A motifs in HBG1/HBG2 promoters ^[1,9,11,12]
HbF induction	High; reduces erythroid BCL11A and derepresses γ -globin ^[3,7,8]	High; directly derepresses HBG1/HBG2 transcription ^[1,11,12]
HPFH mimicry	Indirect: enhancer-deletion HPFH mechanism ^[9,10]	Direct: promoter HPFH point/indel variants ^[9,11,12]
Biological rationale	Reduced repressor derepresses γ -globin; global regulator remains intact outside erythroid cells ^[3,6-8]	Avoids altering a global transcription factor; targets a tissue-protective locus directly ^[1,11,12]
Off-target risk	Genome-wide Cas9 off-target cleavage; requires unbiased detection ^[14-16,28,29]	Cas12a PAM suits AT-rich promoters; HBG1/HBG2 paralogs can yield ~5 kb deletion ^[1,17-19]
Safety	Preclinical erythroid differentiation effects; long-term data accumulating ^[6-8]	Investigational phase 1-2; early termination; limited follow-up; one case of lymphopenia attributed to therapy ^[1,2]
Clinical evidence	Approved for TDT and SCD; longest edited-product follow-up ^[4,5]	Early-phase data show transfusion independence/VOC reduction; not yet approved ^[1,2]

interference with broader transcriptional networks^[11,12]. In the EdiThal study, Cas12a editing generated the desired insertion-deletion patterns efficiently, but the report did not include a dedicated off-target analysis and follow-up remains short^[1]. Whether promoter editing ultimately provides a biological advantage over enhancer-directed approaches will depend on long-term confirmation of preserved erythropoiesis and durable stem-cell function.

THE EVOLUTION OF GENOME-EDITING TECHNOLOGIES TOWARD GREATER PRECISION

First-generation CRISPR-Cas9 editing enabled efficient double-strand DNA cleavage^[5,13]. However, double-strand breaks activate DNA-damage responses and may generate heterogeneous repair outcomes, chromosomal rearrangements, and p53 activation^[14-16]. Cas12a offers a distinct PAM requirement, staggered DNA cleavage, simplified multiplex editing through a single CRISPR RNA, and high specificity in some contexts^[17-19]. The indel spectrum generated by Cas12a at the HBG promoters closely resembles naturally occurring HPFH mutations^[1,12], and engineered Cas12a variants may further improve flexibility^[18].

Base-editing technologies permit single-nucleotide conversion without double-strand breaks, reducing genomic instability while precisely recreating protective variants^[20,21]. HBG promoter base editing has entered early clinical evaluation^[22,23]. Prime editing enables versatile sequence replacement without donor DNA or double-strand breaks, although efficiency in hematopoietic stem cells remains limiting^[24]. In parallel, CRISPR interference, CRISPR activation, and epigenome editing seek to modulate gene expression without permanently altering genomic sequences, potentially providing reversible options^[25,26]. As is summarized in Table 1, BCL11A enhancer editing and HBG promoter editing represent two distinct strategies for HbF induction, differing in their underlying mechanisms, biological rationale, off-target risks, safety profiles, and clinical evidence.

WHY Cas12a FOR THE HBG PROMOTERS?

Several mechanistic features make Cas12a well suited to HBG promoter editing. First, the TTTV PAM preferred by wild-type AsCas12a is abundant in the AT-rich γ -globin promoter region, expanding targetable sites compared with the NGG PAM required by commonly used SpCas9 variants^[17,18]. Second, Cas12a produces staggered 5'-overhangs that yield a narrower, more predictable indel spectrum matching naturally occurring HPFH deletions^[1,12]. Third, Cas12a processes its own CRISPR RNA from a single polycistronic transcript, simplifying multiplex editing of HBG1 and HBG2^[17]. Fourth, because AsCas12a is derived from

Acidaminococcus spp., preexisting adaptive immunity may be less prevalent than against Staphylococcus aureus or Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 proteins^[27]. These features may make Cas12a particularly suitable for T-rich targets, multiplex editing, and potentially *in vivo* applications, although Cas9 may remain preferable in other settings.

SAFETY AND TRANSLATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

Any double-strand-break-mediated editing strategy must be evaluated for genomic consequences. CRISPR-Cas9 editing can induce large deletions, complex rearrangements, and chromothripsis at on-target sites^[14-16], and similar risks apply to Cas12a. Unbiased methods such as GUIDE-seq, targeted translocation assays (for example, CAST-Seq), and long-read sequencing are needed to detect both small off-target indels and large structural variants^[28-30]. Because HBG1 and HBG2 are paralogous sequences separated by only about 5 kb, simultaneous editing can generate a recurrent intergenic deletion whose clonal consequences require monitoring^[1,12].

Preexisting or induced immunity to Cas proteins is another translational consideration^[27]. Myeloablative busulfan conditioning also contributes to long-term risks, including clonal expansion and secondary malignancies; a case of acute myeloid leukemia after lentiviral gene therapy underscores the importance of lifelong surveillance^[31]. Non-genotoxic conditioning regimens, such as CD117-targeted antibody-drug conjugates, could reduce this burden^[32]. Finally, manufacturing complexity, cost, and the need for specialized transplantation centers limit equitable access.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The next phase of β -hemoglobinopathy gene therapy will be shaped by greater precision in the edit, less invasive delivery and conditioning, and better characterization of edited cell products. Base and prime editing will continue to refine the installation of protective single-nucleotide variants without double-strand breaks^[20-24], and epigenome editing may offer a reversible route to HbF induction^[25,26]. *In vivo* hematopoietic stem-cell editing, exemplified by CD117-targeted lipid nanoparticles delivering mRNA-encoded editors, could eliminate *ex vivo* manipulation^[33], and patient-specific *in vivo* editing has shown proof-of-concept in other genetic diseases^[34].

Machine-learning models are increasingly used to predict guide activity and off-target potential across Cas systems^[19]. Single-cell sequencing and long-read sequencing are moving from research tools toward quality-control assays that resolve clonal composition and structural variation^[29,30]. Beyond therapeutics, CRISPR-based diagnostics, including Cas13a assays for nucleic acid detection in accessible samples, illustrate the expanding scope of CRISPR technologies in hematology and oncology^[35,36], and gene editing is being integrated into cancer treatment strategies^[37].

CONCLUSION

Taken together, these developments suggest that β -thalassemia gene therapy is entering an era of increasing precision rather than simply increasing editing efficiency. The field has progressed from lentiviral gene addition to enhancer editing, promoter editing, base editing, and potentially prime editing, with each generation aiming to maximize efficacy while minimizing unintended consequences^[20-24,38-40]. Within this continuum, HBG promoter editing is promising because it emulates a naturally benign genetic condition. Nevertheless, the present study is limited by its small sample size, short follow-up, and early termination. Future head-to-head comparisons of the two editing strategies, together with long-term analyses of clonal stability, erythropoiesis, off-target effects, and stem-cell durability, will determine the optimal approach for HbF induction. The current data provide proof-of-concept that precise editing of the γ -globin promoters

may represent a promising therapeutic approach for β -thalassemia and other β -hemoglobinopathies. Taken together, these developments suggest that β -thalassemia gene therapy is entering an era of increasing precision rather than simply increasing editing efficiency. The field now encompasses lentiviral gene addition^[38-40] alongside Cas9 enhancer editing^[4,5], Cas12a promoter editing^[1,2], base editing^[20-23], and prime editing^[24]; these strategies represent parallel and complementary approaches rather than sequential replacements, each aiming to maximize efficacy while minimizing unintended biological consequences. HBG promoter editing is particularly promising because it directly emulates a naturally benign genetic condition^[9,11,12]. Nevertheless, the current evidence remains limited by small sample sizes, short follow-up, and early trial termination^[1]. Future head-to-head comparisons of enhancer and promoter editing, together with long-term analyses of clonal stability, erythropoiesis, off-target effects, and stem-cell durability, will determine the optimal approach for HbF induction. The available data provide proof-of-concept that precise editing of the γ -globin promoters may represent a promising therapeutic strategy for β -thalassemia and other β -hemoglobinopathies^[1].

DECLARATIONS

Authors' contributions

Designed the commentary, drafted the manuscript, and approved the final version: Xie S, Ye Y

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

AI and AI-assisted tools statement

During the preparation of this manuscript, the AI tool HY3 (version 3.0, released 2026-07-06) was used solely for language editing and reference formatting. The tool did not influence the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, or the scientific content of the work. The authors reviewed and approved all final content. All authors take full responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and final content of the manuscript.

Financial support and sponsorship

None.

Conflicts of interest

All authors declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Copyright

© The Author(s) 2026.

REFERENCES

1. Frangoul H, Hanna R, Walters MC, et al. CRISPR-Cas12a gene editing of *HBG1* and *HBG2* promoters to treat β -thalassemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2026;394:1292-301. DOI
2. Hanna R, Frangoul H, Pineiro L, et al. CRISPR-Cas12a gene editing of *HBG1* and *HBG2* promoters to treat sickle cell disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2026;394:1281-91. DOI
3. Sankaran VG, Menne TF, Xu J, et al. Human fetal hemoglobin expression is regulated by the developmental stage-specific repressor *BCL11A*. *Science.* 2008;322:1839-42. DOI
4. Locatelli F, Lang P, Wall D, et al. Exagamglogene autotemcel for transfusion-dependent β -thalassemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2024;390:1663-76. DOI

5. Frangoul H, Altshuler D, Cappellini MD, et al. CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing for sickle cell disease and β -thalassemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;384:252-60. [DOI](#)
6. Yu Y, Wang J, Khaled W, et al. Bcl11a is essential for lymphoid development and negatively regulates p53. *J Exp Med.* 2012;209:2467-83. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
7. Jang Y, Feng R, Palmer LE, et al. BCL11A-deficient human erythropoiesis is impaired in vitro and after xenotransplantation into mice. *Blood Adv.* 2025;9:2722-32. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
8. Janoudi T, Jagdale M, Wu M, et al. Nonclinical evaluation of *HBG1/2* and *BCL11A* as genome-editing targets for the treatment of β -hemoglobinopathies. *Blood Adv.* 2025;9:808-13. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
9. Martyn GE, Wienert B, Yang L, et al. Natural regulatory mutations elevate the fetal globin gene via disruption of BCL11A or ZBTB7A binding. *Nat Genet.* 2018;50:498-503. [DOI PubMed](#)
10. Thein SL, Menzel S. Discovering the genetics underlying foetal haemoglobin production in adults. *Br J Haematol.* 2009;145:455-67. [DOI PubMed](#)
11. Traxler EA, Yao Y, Wang Y, et al. A genome-editing strategy to treat β -hemoglobinopathies that recapitulates a mutation associated with a benign genetic condition. *Nat Med.* 2016;22:987-90. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
12. Métais J, Doerfler PA, Mayuranathan T, et al. Genome editing of *HBG1* and *HBG2* to induce fetal hemoglobin. *Blood Adv.* 2019;3:3379-92. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
13. Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E. A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science.* 2012;337:816-21. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
14. Haapaniemi E, Botla S, Persson J, Schmierer B, Taipale J. CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing induces a p53-mediated DNA damage response. *Nat Med.* 2018;24:927-30. [DOI PubMed](#)
15. Kosicki M, Tomberg K, Bradley A. Repair of double-strand breaks induced by CRISPR-Cas9 leads to large deletions and complex rearrangements. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2018;36:765-71. [DOI](#)
16. Leibowitz ML, Papathanasiou S, Doerfler PA, et al. Chromothripsis as an on-target consequence of CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing. *Nat Genet.* 2021;53:895-905. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
17. Zetsche B, Gootenberg JS, Abudayyeh OO, et al. Cpf1 is a single RNA-guided endonuclease of a class 2 CRISPR-cas system. *Cell.* 2015;163:759-71. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
18. Kleinstiver BP, Sousa AA, Walton RT, et al. Engineered CRISPR-Cas12a variants with increased activities and improved targeting ranges for gene, epigenetic and base editing. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2019;37:276-82. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
19. Kim HK, Min S, Song M, et al. Deep learning improves prediction of CRISPR-Cpf1 guide RNA activity. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2018;36:239-41. [DOI](#)
20. Komor AC, Kim YB, Packer MS, Zuris JA, Liu DR. Programmable editing of a target base in genomic DNA without double-stranded DNA cleavage. *Nature.* 2016;533:420-4. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
21. Gaudelli NM, Komor AC, Rees HA, et al. Programmable base editing of A•T to G•C in genomic DNA without DNA cleavage. *Nature.* 2017;551:464-71. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
22. Mayuranathan T, Newby GA, Feng R, et al. Potent and uniform fetal hemoglobin induction via base editing. *Nat Genet.* 2023;55:1210-20. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
23. Gupta AO, Sharma A, Frangoul H, et al. Base editing of *HBG1* and *HBG2* promoters for sickle cell disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2026;394:1824-35. [DOI](#)
24. Anzalone AV, Randolph PB, Davis JR, et al. Search-and-replace genome editing without double-strand breaks or donor DNA. *Nature.* 2019;576:149-57. [DOI](#)
25. Qi LS, Larson MH, Gilbert LA, et al. Repurposing CRISPR as an RNA-guided platform for sequence-specific control of gene expression. *Cell.* 2013;152:1173-83. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
26. Hilton IB, D'ippolito AM, Vockley CM, et al. Epigenome editing by a CRISPR-Cas9-based acetyltransferase activates genes from promoters and enhancers. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2015;33:510-7. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
27. Charlesworth CT, Deshpande PS, Dever DP, et al. Identification of preexisting adaptive immunity to Cas9 proteins in humans. *Nat Med.* 2019;25:249-54. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
28. Tsai SQ, Zheng Z, Nguyen NT, et al. GUIDE-seq enables genome-wide profiling of off-target cleavage by CRISPR-Cas nucleases. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2014;33:187-97. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
29. Turchiano G, Andrieux G, Klermund J, et al. Quantitative evaluation of chromosomal rearrangements in gene-edited human stem cells by CAST-Seq. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2021;28:1136-47.e5. [DOI](#)
30. Höijer I, Emmanouilidou A, Östlund R, et al. CRISPR-Cas9 induces large structural variants at on-target and off-target sites in vivo that segregate across generations. *Nat Commun.* 2022;13:627. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)

31. Goyal S, Tisdale J, Schmidt M, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia case after gene therapy for sickle cell disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2022;386:138-47. [DOI](#)
32. Czechowicz A, Palchaudhuri R, Scheck A, et al. Selective hematopoietic stem cell ablation using CD117-antibody-drug-conjugates enables safe and effective transplantation with immunity preservation. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10:617. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
33. Breda L, Papp TE, Triebwasser MP, et al. In vivo hematopoietic stem cell modification by mRNA delivery. *Science.* 2023;381:436-43. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
34. Musunuru K, Grandinette SA, Wang X, et al. Patient-specific in vivo gene editing to treat a rare genetic disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2025;392:2235-43. [DOI](#)
35. Gootenberg JS, Abudayyeh OO, Lee JW, et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. *Science.* 2017;356:438-42. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
36. Salodkar D, Dongarwar S, Nair A, et al. Single-step CRISPR/Cas13a assay for detection of small RNAs in saliva: a proof-of-concept study. *Cancer Genetics.* 2026;300-1:67-71. [DOI](#)
37. Sonkin D, Thomas A, Teicher BA. Cancer treatments: past, present, and future. *Cancer Genetics.* 2024;286-7:18-24. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
38. Thompson AA, Walters MC, Kwiatkowski J, et al. Gene therapy in patients with transfusion-dependent β -thalassemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378:1479-93. [DOI](#)
39. Locatelli F, Thompson AA, Kwiatkowski JL, et al. Betibeglogene autotemcel gene therapy for non- β^0/β^0 genotype β -thalassemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2022;386:415-27. [DOI](#)
40. Kwiatkowski JL, Thompson AA, Schneiderman J, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety results of betibeglogene autotemcel gene therapy for transfusion-dependent β -thalassemia. *Blood.* 2026;147:2203-14. [DOI](#)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: All statements, opinions, and data contained in this publication are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of OAE and/or the editor(s). OAE and/or the editor(s) disclaim any responsibility for harm to persons or property resulting from the use of any ideas, methods, instructions, or products mentioned in the content.



© The Author(s) 2026. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.