Correction

Microstructures

Open Access

Check for updates

Correction: Varied domain structures in 0.7Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃-0.3PbTiO₃ single crystals

Dawei Zhang^{1,2,#}, Lei Wang^{1,#}, Linglong Li³, Pankaj Sharma^{4,5}, Jan Seidel^{1,2}

¹School of Materials Science and Engineering, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia. ²ARC Centre of Excellence in Future Low-Energy Electronics Technologies, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia. ³Key Laboratory of Quantum Materials and Devices of Ministry of Education, School of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, Jiangsu, China.

⁴College of Science and Engineering, Flinders University, Bedford Park, Adelaide, SA 5042, Australia.
⁵Flinders Institute for Nanoscale Science and Technology, Flinders University, Adelaide, SA 5042, Australia.
[#]Authors contributed equally.

Correspondence to: Prof. Jan Seidel, School of Materials Science and Engineering, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia. E-mail: jan.seidel@unsw.edu.au

How to cite this article: Zhang D, Wang L, Li L, Sharma P, Seidel J. Erratum: Varied domain structures in 0.7Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ -0.3PbTiO₃ single crystals. *Microstructures* 2024;4:2024029. https://dx.doi.org/10.20517/microstructures.2024.35

Received: 22 Apr 2024 Accepted: 30 Apr 2024 Published: 21 May 2024

Academic Editor: Shujun Zhang Copy Editor: Fangling Lan Production Editor: Fangling Lan

The authors want to make the following corrections to this paper^[1].

After carefully rechecking the orientation of the sample, it has been discovered that the sample used in this experiment is (001) oriented rather than the previously stated (100) oriented.

Therefore, the y axis in the coordinate system of Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3 should be $[\overline{1}00]$ instead of [001]. The corrected figures are shown below:

All the corresponding corrections are summarized below in the main text:

Original text: PMN-30PT single crystals with (100) orientation were obtained from MTI Corporation, China.



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as

long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.







Figure 1. Type 1 domain structure. (A) Topography of type 1 domain structure. (B) The corresponding OOP phase signal. (C) IP phase signal measured at a tip-sample orientation of 0. The IP polarization variants are denoted on each domain. (D) The binarized version of (C). (E) IP phase signal measured at a tip-sample orientation of 90. (F) The binarized version of (E). (G) The optical image of type 1 domain. (H) The reconstructed three-dimensional polarization vectors for type 1 domain.



Figure 2. Type 2 domain structure. (A) Topography of type 2 domain structure. (B) The corresponding OOP phase signal. (C) IP phase signal measured at a tip-sample orientation of 0. The IP polarization variants are denoted on each domain. (D) The binarized version of (C). (E) IP phase signal measured at a tip-sample orientation of 90. (F) The binarized version of (E). (G) The optical image of type 2 domain. (H) The reconstructed three-dimensional polarization vectors for type 2 domain.



Figure 3. Type 3 domain structure. (A) Topography of type 3 domain structure. (B) The corresponding OOP phase signal. (C) IP phase signal measured at a tip-sample orientation of 0. The IP polarization variants are denoted on each domain. (D) The binarized version of (C). (E) IP phase signal measured at a tip-sample orientation of 90. (F) The binarized version of (E). (G) The optical image of type 3 domain. (H) The reconstructed three-dimensional polarization vectors for type 3 domain.

Revised text: PMN-30PT single crystals with (001) orientation were obtained from MTI Corporation, China.

Original text: A twofold and a threefold peak splitting were observed around 301 and 311 reflections, respectively, confirming a monoclinic A (M_A) structure [Supplementary Figure 1]^[2,3].

Revised text: A twofold and a threefold peak splitting were observed around 103 and 113 reflections, respectively, confirming a monoclinic A (M_A) structure [Supplementary Figure 1].

Original text: By combining the two IP directions as denoted in Figure 1D and F, the polarization vectors for each domain can be established in this (100) oriented M_A PMN-PT sample.

Revised text: By combining the two IP directions as denoted in Figure 1D and F, the polarization vectors for each domain can be established in this (001) oriented M_A PMN-PT sample.

Original text: The domain structure shows a $4M_A$ domain configuration with non-charged head-to-tail 71° domain walls (m1+ and m2+, m1- and m2-) and 180° domain walls (m2+ and m2-, m1+ and m1-), which can be seen from the reconstructed four polarization vectors shown in Figure 1H.

Revised text: The domain structure shows a $4M_A$ domain configuration with non-charged head-to-tail 71° domain walls (m3+ and m4+, m3- and m4-) and 180° domain walls (m4+ and m4-, m3+ and m3-), which can be seen from the reconstructed four polarization vectors shown in Figure 1H.

Original text: Compared to the type I domain structure, 71° domains are non-existent, but 109° domain walls emerge (m1+ and m3+, m1- and m3-) in addition to the 180° domain walls that are present in both type 1 and type 2 domain structures.

Revised text: Compared to the type I domain structure, 71° domains are non-existent, but 109° domain walls emerge (m2+ and m3-, m3+ and m2-) in addition to the 180° domain walls that are present in both type 1 and type 2 domain structures.

Original text: The reconstructed polarization vectors with only two allowed directions, sharing the same m_1 + and m_3 + variants as compared to the type 2 domain, are shown in the schematic in Figure 3H.

Revised text: The reconstructed polarization vectors with only two allowed directions, sharing the same m2+ and m3- variants as compared to the type 2 domain, are shown in the schematic in Figure 3H.

Original text: The divergence is likely due to the different polarization variants in type 1, m1 and m2, in contrast to m1 and m3 in type 2 and 3 domain structures. The resultant vectors m1+ and m2+ or m1- and m2- are closer to the OOP direction of the electric field along the [100] directions than m1 and m3 pairs, which allows the electrical switching at a lower voltage.

Revised text: The divergence is likely due to the different polarization variants in type 1, m3 and m4, in contrast to m2 and m3 in type 2 and 3 domain structures. The resultant vectors m3+ and m4+ or m3- and m4- are closer to the OOP direction of the electric field along the [001] directions than m2 and m3 pairs, which allows the electrical switching at a lower voltage.

Supplementary Figures 3 and 5-7 are also corrected for the sample orientations.

The authors confirm that the scientific conclusions are unaffected. The original publication has also been updated.

REFERENCES

- Zhang D, Wang L, Li L, Sharma P, Seidel J. Varied domain structures in 0.7Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃-0.3PbTiO₃ single crystals. *Microstructures* 2023;3:2023046. DOI
- 2. Liu HJ, Chen HJ, Liang WI, et al. Structural study in highly compressed BiFeO₃ epitaxial thin films on YAIO₃. *J Appl Phys* 2012;112:052002. DOI
- Christen HM, Nam JH, Kim HS, Hatt AJ, Spaldin NA. Stress-induced *R-M*_A-*M*_C-*T* symmetry changes in BiFeO₃ films. *Phys Rev B* 2011;83:144107. DOI