

Review

Open Access



The current state of blood pressure measurement and emerging technologies

Jennifer S. Ringrose^{1,2*}, Raj Padwal^{1,2}

¹Department of Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, T6G 2G3, Canada.

²Women and Children's Health Research Institute, Edmonton, T6G 1C9, Canada.

Correspondence to: Dr. Jennifer S. Ringrose, Department of Medicine, University of Alberta, 5-135 Clinical Sciences Building, Edmonton T6G 2G3, Canada. E-mail: jringros@ualberta.ca

How to cite this article: Ringrose JS, Padwal R. The current state of blood pressure measurement and emerging technologies. *Conn Health Telemed* 2023;2:200002. <https://dx.doi.org/10.20517/chatmed.2022.020>

Received: 27 Oct 2022 **First Decision:** 7 Feb 2023 **Revised:** 3 Apr 2023 **Accepted:** 19 Apr 2023 **Published:** 16 Jun 2023

Academic Editors: Stefano Omboni, Kazuomi Kario **Copy Editor:** Yanbing Bai **Production Editor:** Yanbing Bai

Abstract

Office blood pressure measurement has been the primary means of diagnosing and treating hypertension for almost a century. Increasingly, guidelines recommend out-of-office measurements (ambulatory or home blood pressure measurement) to confirm the diagnosis of hypertension and to follow treated patients. Ambulatory blood pressure measurement includes nocturnal measurement and provides a 24-hour blood pressure profile, enabling calculation of overall blood pressure, daytime mean, and nocturnal mean. Home blood pressure monitoring is a method of blood pressure measurement that is convenient and accessible. Blood pressure telemonitoring is a complementary and emerging technology that enhances the effectiveness of out-of-office blood pressure measurement and has the potential to improve the health of end users through engagement, efficiency, and enhanced communication with the care team. Blood pressure measurements can be obtained remotely, effectively transmitted to their health care team via telemonitoring for interpretation, and then a care plan can be developed and implemented. However, care must be taken to ensure that these emerging technologies record and transmit accurate blood pressure information in a secure and reliable manner.

Keywords: Blood pressure measurement, blood pressure telemonitoring, automated blood pressure measurement

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is the leading cause of death and disability^[1] and responsible for millions of deaths related to



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



stroke, ischemic heart disease, and renal disease worldwide^[2]. The global prevalence of hypertension doubled from 1990 to 2019 and rates of (BP) control in those living with a diagnosis of hypertension are less than 25%^[2]. Accurate and timely blood pressure measurement is an essential part of properly diagnosing and monitoring hypertension, such that control can be achieved.

AUTOMATED BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENT

Blood pressure measurement has been performed in clinical medicine for over 100 years. Oscillometry was the first technique developed in 1876, followed by mercury auscultation^[3]. Both techniques have been widely used, but oscillometry has increased in accuracy and automation, while BP auscultation has not significantly changed since it was first described. The auscultatory technique remains the reference standard in BP device validation studies (2-observer simultaneous auscultation with a mercury or calibrated aneroid device), but in clinical practice, due to the training, time and attention required to execute accurate auscultation, automated BPM is preferred.

Hypertension guidelines worldwide are increasingly recommending that diagnosis and treatment decisions be based on automated and out-of-office BPM^[4-7]. Out-of-office BPMs include 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure measurement (ABPM) or self-measurement of home BP using an automated device (HBPM). Automated office BPM may be obtained using a single measurement (casual blood pressure measurements) or using an automated office blood pressure device (AOBPM) which can be activated to take repeated measurements with the health care provider out of the room (unattended BPM)^[8] or with the health care provider present.

TYPES OF AUTOMATED BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENT

Ambulatory blood pressure measurement

Hypertension is diagnosed by calculating mean BP over multiple clinic visits, a series of home measurements, or with ABPM^[4,5]. Advantages of ABPM include: it provides multiple measurements throughout the day across differing physiologic and emotional states; the ability to assess full 24-hour control including during the night; it can diagnose masked hypertension and white coat hypertension; and it is a better predictor of cardiovascular events than office BPM^[9,10]. However, expense, access and inconvenience limit the availability of this technique for many^[11]. Furthermore, due to the disruption of sleep that may occur with ABPM, this test may not truly represent the BP during sleep^[12]. One study looked at the reliability of office BPM, HBPM, and ABPM and their association with left ventricular mass index. HBPM was more reliable (on test-retest) than ABPM and more closely associated with left ventricular mass index^[13]. Guidelines suggest that if high BP is identified by office BPM, ABPM and/or HBPM should be used to confirm the diagnosis of hypertension^[4,11,6].

Home blood pressure measurement

There are also many advantages to HBPM. Home BP devices are less expensive than office or ambulatory devices; the privacy and flexibility of home use allow for frequent and opportunistic monitoring of BP by season, time of day^[14], emotion, and pre/post medication use, to have a more personalized and complete assessment. The feedback from HBPM can empower patients to modify behaviors and lifestyle^[15,16]. White coat hypertension, white coat effect, masked hypertension and masked effect can also be detected using HBPM^[4]. One main disadvantage to HBPM is that, at least in the Australian market, most home devices sold are unvalidated, inaccurate, or both^[17]. There are registries of validated BP devices that aim to guide consumers and clinicians to recognize and purchase only validated devices. Some examples of these are:

American Medical Association <https://www.validatebp.org/>

British and Irish Hypertension Society (BIHS) <https://bihsoc.org/bp-monitors/>

Hypertension Canada <https://hypertension.ca/bpdevices>

German Hypertension League (Deutsche Hochdruckliga) <https://www.hochdruckliga.de/betroffene/blutdruckmessgeraete>

Japanese Society of Hypertension https://www.jpnh.jp/com_ac_wg1.html

STRIDE BP <https://stridebp.org/bp-monitors>

Medaval <https://medaval.ie/>

When using HBPM, it is also important to ensure that patients are educated on and using proper measurement techniques^[4,11].

Office blood pressure measurement

Office BPM, which involves taking one or more readings in a clinical setting, has been the basis for much of the previous work on hypertension and anti-hypertensive medication^[18]. Measurements conducted in a research setting, using strict protocols and taking the mean of multiple measurements typically taken two or more visits, are often 5-10 mmHg lower than the measurements done in busy clinical offices^[19,20]. Due to patient finances, mobility, dexterity, living arrangements, and distance, sometimes office BPM is the only means of BPM. In these circumstances, office BPM provides a method of screening for hypertension and monitoring treatment effectiveness. If only office BPMs are used for clinical care delivery, white coat and masked hypertension/effect will be missed^[21]. There is some work that suggests that the white coat effect can be minimized with unattended office BPM^[22]. More recent work has shown little difference between attended and unattended automated office BPM^[23]. Office measurements are a "snapshot" of the BP over a few minutes. Blood pressures fluctuate throughout the day and more measurements taken throughout the day (as is possible with HBPM or ABPM) and over many days (as is possible with HBPM) would certainly provide more data on the "usual" blood pressure^[14]. For these reasons, when an office screening BP is elevated, most guidelines recommend confirmation with out-of-office measurements^[4-7]. Comparisons of daytime ABPM average BP to automated office BP have shown poor agreement^[24,25]. Furthermore, cardiovascular outcomes are better predicted with ABPM than OBPM^[9].

The types of automated blood pressure measurement: AOBPM, HBPM, and ABPM are presented in [Table 1](#).

While automated techniques of BPM have been in existence for many years, there are now available technologies to enhance the delivery and communication of BPM between patients and their care teams. Emerging technologies and their advantages and disadvantages are presented in [Table 2](#). One overarching concern and question with this emerging technology is whether this movement will improve or widen the existing disparities in health care^[26] when health literacy, cost and access may limit uptake in equity-deserving groups.

Table 1. Comparison of automated BP measurement techniques

	Daytime ABPM	Home BPM	Casual office BPM	Automated office BPM
Number of measurements	Every 15-30 min × 24 h	As able/indicated	Each visit	Each visit (3-6 measurements)
Timing of measurements	Infrequent 24-hour studies	As able/indicated	Each visit	Every 30-60 s
Remote monitoring	Possible	Yes	No	No
Acceptable for hypertension diagnosis	Yes	Yes	Over multiple visits, preferably in conjunction with out-of-office measurements	Over multiple visits, preferably in conjunction with out-of-office measurements
Able to detect white coat hypertension/masked hypertension	Yes	Yes (although ABPM preferable) ^[21]	No	No
Equivalent blood pressure	135/85 mmHg ^[5]	135/85 mmHg ^[5]	N/A	140/90 ^[5]

Table 2. Comparison of established and emerging digital health enhancements to hypertension care

Technology	Advantages	Disadvantages
Remote patient monitoring	Effective, particularly with case management protocols	Relies on health and technology literacy
Cloud based ABPM	Protocolized with less health care time involved. Automated for patients.	Relies on effective and stable connectivity
Cuffless devices	Convenient, comfortable	Not validated
Artificial Intelligence, prediction and warning systems	May relieve health care provider time, may be able to prevent or warn of cardiac events	Not yet in mainstream practice, concept not yet proven effective
Digital therapeutics	Low cost, potential to have widespread use	Has not yet been shown to be effective in hypertension care

TECHNOLOGY ENHANCEMENTS

Remote patient monitoring for hypertension (self-measured blood pressure monitoring)

The Covid-19 pandemic accelerated the use of and need for virtual health care. This will be a legacy of the pandemic that will change the way care is delivered. During the pandemic, effective hypertension care was delivered remotely using virtual video or telephone visits^[27]. A study in primary care indicated that patients found virtual visits to be convenient, and less expensive than taking time away from work for medical care; virtual visits were particularly effective for those with mental health or mobility challenges and reduced canceled visits^[28]. Virtual visits can be enhanced by remote patient monitoring. Remote patient monitoring involves the transmission of health information from the patient's home or care environment to the physician or care provider in an alternate setting^[29]. Remote patient monitoring can be accomplished using telemedicine (exchange of medical information between provider and patient at a distance) and/or m-health (telemedicine using a mobile phone) used in conjunction with a software platform that collects and presents patient-facing data to providers^[30]. A recent international expert position paper suggests that the target populations for hypertension telemonitoring are: (1) those who would benefit from screening for hypertension and (2) those who have been diagnosed with hypertension and require follow-up and titration of pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic treatments^[30].

The effect on BP has been null in some studies which have shown that telemonitoring is a safe and effective method of providing virtual care but does not result in improved BP control when compared with face-to-face visits or remote BP monitoring without a smartphone application^[31,32]. However, in totality, the published literature supports a beneficial effect on BP control and cost-effectiveness. A quasi-experimental

study in primary care found telemonitoring to be an effective way to control BP in large primary care practices without an increase in clinician workload, particularly when patient data was summarized in graphical/tabular format^[33]. There is evidence that remote patient monitoring improves patient outcomes and reduces cost^[34-37]. The cost of implementing a telemonitoring system with case management support in the UK was £ 25.56/mmHg reduction per patient in one study of 401 primary care patients in Scotland^[38], a more recent study of patients from 76 general practices in the UK with one year follow up data from 552 patients reported a cost of £ 11/mmHg reduction per patient^[37]. A Canadian study of BP telemonitoring with case management for secondary prevention in community-dwelling patients post stroke or transient ischemic attack showed a lifetime net healthcare saving of \$1,929 CAD and increased per-patient quality-adjusted life years (QALY) by 0.83^[39]. The TASMINH4 study, a study of patients with hypertension in a primary care setting in the UK, also showed the cost-effectiveness of telemonitoring compared to self-monitoring of BP alone with an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio of £ 17,424 per QALY^[35]. A meta-analysis in 2013 showed that home BP telemonitoring was effective for BP control compared to usual care and resulted in a systolic/diastolic BP decrease of 4.71 mmHg (95%CI: 6.18, 3.24; $P < 0.001$)/2.45 mmHg (95%CI: 3.33, 1.57; $P < 0.001$) more than the control group^[40]. The home BP telemonitoring interventions reported in this analysis were 662.92 (95%CI: 540.81, 785.04) euros per patient more expensive than usual care^[40]. A 2019 meta-analysis supported that home BP telemonitoring improves BP control (mean change, systolic/diastolic: -3.99 mmHg; (95%CI: 5.06 to 2.93; $P < 0.001$)/-1.99 mmHg (95%CI: 2.60 to 1.39; $P < 0.001$) compared to usual care^[41]. The decrease in BP was shown to be larger when counseling (mean change, systolic/diastolic: -5.85/-2.62 mmHg; $P < 0.001$ / $P < 0.001$) and education (-3.60/-2.21 mmHg; $P = 0.05$ /0.008) were added to the telemonitoring^[41].

Communication between the care team and patient may be improved with telemonitoring if both the patient and clinician are engaged, and if the technology is working and simple to use. Privacy and accuracy are challenges as new technology develops. Privacy challenges can be mitigated by ensuring that (1) software providers have established and follow security policies outlining how data are managed to maximize security; (2) software providers do regular penetration tests to ensure security is not being breached; (3) data are stored and transmitted in an encrypted and secure manner; and (4) both clinician and end user have password protected and/or two-factor authentication access to any software.

Essential considerations for BP telemonitoring are^[42]:

A clinically validated BP device

A platform that is a repository for BP data and allows the clinician to view information

A system that is secure and conforms to health information privacy regulations

Features that further enhance the system:

Seamless integration with electronic medical record

Seamless ability to prescribe and easily transmit requisitions for tests

Protocolized case management

Cloud-based ABPM

Performing, interpreting, and sharing ABPM results can involve many steps and people. Typically, one clinic visit is required for patient orientation, device application by a technician or nurse and trial of BP measurements. The patient is provided with a paper diary to record activity and events that may have influenced their BP (exercise, work, stress, and sleep). The patient returns the following day for the removal of the device and to return the paper diary. The technician or nurse must then download the study and upload the data and send it to the physician for interpretation. The physician interpreter then reviews the data, generates a report and then the report must be distributed by another team member to the referring physician. The Heart Track ABPM software (A&D, Tokyo Japan) is an example of a seamless interface that is secure and protocolizes the instructions for the test (can be done on a tablet without the need for a health care provider to be present) and the interpretation (done by the software with approval/editing by the clinician). The software can integrate with EMRs and send reports without the need to print, then upload or send reports. This is an example of guideline-concordant care that is both patient- and clinician-centered, improving efficiency and decreasing the time to receive an accurate report^[43].

Cuffless devices

Cuffless devices are an emerging technology. These devices are wearable and can provide even more information regarding the effect of emotions, activity, work, and rest on BP^[44]. There is much work underway using this technology^[45]. The devices come in many forms and include patches, watches, rings, scales, and smartphones^[46]. The derivation of BP is different than oscillometry or auscultation and can include pulse transit time, pulse wave analysis, photoplethysmography, ultrasound^[46], and tonometry^[47]. Cuffless techniques do not represent a true pressure measurement; instead, BP is mathematically estimated from measured parameters. Accuracy is difficult to achieve because it is not presently possible to take into account all endogenous and exogenous factors that can influence BP levels at a moment in time when performing such mathematical estimations.

At present, there are no rigorous means of validating these devices, especially when used while the patient is active^[48]. An advantage of these devices would be their unobtrusive BP derivation (less noise, less pressure disruption from inflation of a cuff) that would not wake wearers at night which is a drawback of ABPM and nocturnal home BP measurement. These wearable devices could provide frequent or even continuous BP measurements which would provide trends in terms of day/night, work/rest, emotion, and exercise effects on BP. At present, these devices may be reasonable (once calibrated against a validated cuff device) to demonstrate trends in BP but, until they are validated, would not be suitable to diagnose hypertension or to guide management decisions^[14]. The Aktiia device has been tested against 2-observer auscultation in many positions but acknowledges that there is not yet a gold-standard comparator for this device based on pulse-wave analysis^[49]. If cuffless BP devices can be validated and are shown to be accurate, there is much future research possible in terms of determining whether overall average BP, BP load, BP variability, daytime BP, nocturnal BP or some other construct best predict cardiovascular events.

Artificial intelligence, prediction, and warning systems

The future state of remote monitoring may be to predict and warn of health events^[50]. Such a warning system has been developed which detects physical movements, pulse, and BP and has a thermometer and a barometer^[50]. Results can be shared by patients and clinicians. The future state is considered where artificial intelligence could be used to predict future BP variability and potential cardiovascular events^[51]. Artificial intelligence may ultimately be used to interpret ABPM data, interpret remote patient-generated data, make automated diagnostic and therapeutic recommendations, and guide lifestyle interventions.

Digital therapeutics

As defined by Digital Therapeutics Alliance, digital therapeutics are evidence-based software program therapeutic interventions that are used independently or in conjunction with other therapeutics to manage or prevent disease^[52]. Digital therapeutics are potential management solutions for health objectives involving digital health and information and communication technology (ICT) applications^[53]. The WHO recognized that digital interventions could strengthen healthcare delivery but also has the potential to divert resources from traditional approaches^[53]. There has been significant activity toward applying digital therapeutics to hypertension with mixed results^[51]. To date, the studies have been randomized but open-label studies with short-term follow-up (maximum 6 months). Even with positive results, this duration is not long enough to determine the effect on chronic disease. There have been some interventions designed by clinicians with some modest improvement in BP. One study randomized patients to use an application (app) that supported non-pharmacologic interventions (sleep, salt intake, alcohol reduction, exercise, body weight, and stress) versus usual care for patients diagnosed with hypertension but not yet on medications^[54]. At the end of 12 weeks, the intervention group had a lower systolic BP on 24-hour ABPM of 2.4 mmHg^[54]. Seemingly, this could be a low-cost intervention that could improve hypertension care; however, it is too early to tell whether the evidence will indeed support digital therapeutics in hypertension care.

CONCLUSION

Guidelines are increasingly recommending out-of-office BPM over office measurements. Home and ambulatory BPM are complementary methods of obtaining out-of-office measurements. These modalities can further be enriched by m-health and telemonitoring, which can add efficiency and cost-effectiveness and enhance communication between patients and members of the care team. Whether cuffless BP monitoring, artificial intelligence, and warning systems are additive contributions to standard hypertension care is an open question that will be clearer after the results of innovative, ongoing studies are known.

DECLARATIONS

Authors' contributions

Drafted the manuscript: Ringrose JS

Reviewed and revised the manuscript: Ringrose JS, Padwal RS

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Financial support and sponsorship

None.

Conflicts of interest

JR is supported by an alternative funding plan from the Government of Alberta and the University of Alberta. JR and RP are cofounders of a digital health company, mmHg Inc.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Copyright

© The Author(s) 2023.

REFERENCES

1. GBD 2017 Risk Factor Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018;392:1923-94. DOI
2. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in hypertension prevalence and progress in treatment and control from 1990 to 2019: a pooled analysis of 1201 population-representative studies with 104 million participants. *Lancet* 2021;398:957-80. DOI PubMed PMC
3. Booth J. A short history of blood pressure measurement. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1543468/pdf/procrsmed00089-0065.pdf> [Last accessed on 10 May 2023].
4. Rabi DM, McBrien KA, Sapir-Pichhadze R, et al. Hypertension Canada's 2020 comprehensive guidelines for the prevention, diagnosis, risk assessment, and treatment of hypertension in adults and children. *Can J Cardiol* 2020;36:596-624. DOI
5. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: executive summary: a report of the American college of Cardiology/American heart association task force on clinical practice guidelines. *Hypertension* 2018;71:1269-324. DOI
6. Stergiou GS, Palatini P, Parati G, et al; European society of hypertension council and the European society of hypertension working group on blood pressure monitoring and cardiovascular variability. 2021 European society of hypertension practice guidelines for office and out-of-office blood pressure measurement. *J Hypertens* 2021;39:1293-302. DOI
7. Unger T, Borghi C, Charchar F, et al. 2020 international society of hypertension global hypertension practice guidelines. *Hypertension* 2020;75:1334-57. DOI
8. Padwal R, Campbell NRC, Schutte AE, et al. Optimizing observer performance of clinic blood pressure measurement: a position statement from the lancet commission on hypertension group. *J Hypertens* 2019;37:1737-45. DOI PubMed PMC
9. Perloff D. The prognostic value of ambulatory blood pressures. *JAMA* 1983;249:2792. DOI PubMed
10. Hansen TW, Jeppesen J, Rasmussen S, Ibsen H, Torp-Pedersen C. Ambulatory blood pressure and mortality: a population-based study. *Hypertension* 2005;45:499-504. DOI PubMed
11. Muntner P, Shimbo D, Carey RM, et al. Measurement of blood pressure in humans: a scientific statement from the american heart association. *Hypertension* 2019;73:e35-66. DOI
12. Verdecchia P, Reboldi GP, Angeli F, et al. Short- and long-term incidence of stroke in white-coat hypertension. *Hypertension* 2005;45:203-8. DOI
13. Schwartz JE, Muntner P, Kronish IM, et al. Reliability of office, home, and ambulatory blood pressure measurements and correlation with left ventricular mass. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020;76:2911-22. DOI
14. Schutte AE, Kollias A, Stergiou GS. Blood pressure and its variability: classic and novel measurement techniques. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2022;19:643-54. DOI PubMed PMC
15. Jo SH, Kim SA, Park KH, Kim HS, Han SJ, Park WJ. Self-blood pressure monitoring is associated with improved awareness, adherence, and attainment of target blood pressure goals: prospective observational study of 7751 patients. *J Clin Hypertens* 2019;21:1298-304. DOI PubMed PMC
16. Shimbo D, Artinian NT, Basile JN, et al; American Heart Association and the American Medical Association. Self-measured blood pressure monitoring at home: a joint policy statement from the American heart association and American medical association. *Circulation* 2020;142:e42-63. DOI
17. Sharman JE, Padwal R, Campbell NRC. Global marketing and sale of accurate cuff blood pressure measurement devices. *Circulation* 2020;142:321-3. DOI PubMed
18. Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R; Prospective Studies Collaboration. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. *Lancet* 2002;360:1903-13. DOI
19. Myers MG, Godwin M, Dawes M, Kiss A, Tobe SW, Kaczorowski J. Measurement of blood pressure in the office: recognizing the problem and proposing the solution. *Hypertension* 2010;55:195-200. DOI PubMed
20. Rinfret F, Cloutier L, L'Archevêque H, et al. The gap between manual and automated office blood pressure measurements results at a hypertension clinic. *Can J Cardiol* 2017;33:653-7. DOI
21. Stergiou GS, Asayama K, Thijs L, et al; International database on HOme blood pressure in relation to cardiovascular outcome (IDHOCO) Investigators. Prognosis of white-coat and masked hypertension: international database of HOme blood pressure in relation to cardiovascular outcome. *Hypertension* 2014;63:675-82. DOI
22. Myers MG, Valdivieso M, Kiss A. Use of automated office blood pressure measurement to reduce the white coat response. *J Hypertens* 2009;27:280-6. DOI PubMed
23. Bauer F, Seibert FS, Rohn B, et al. Attended versus unattended blood pressure measurement in a real life setting. *Hypertension* 2018;71:243-9. DOI
24. Ringrose JS, Cena J, Ip S, Morales F, Hamilton P, Padwal R. Comparability of automated office blood pressure to daytime 24-hour

- ambulatory blood pressure. *Can J Cardiol* 2018;34:61-5. DOI PubMed
25. Drawz PE, Pajewski NM, Bates JT, et al. Effect of intensive versus standard clinic-based hypertension management on ambulatory blood pressure: results from the sprint (systolic blood pressure intervention trial) ambulatory blood pressure study. *Hypertension* 2017;69:42-50. DOI PubMed PMC
 26. Bress AP, Cohen JB, Anstey DE, et al. Inequities in hypertension control in the United States exposed and exacerbated by COVID-19 and the role of home blood pressure and virtual health care during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2021;10:e020997. DOI
 27. Khan NA, Stergiou GS, Omboni S, et al. Virtual management of hypertension: lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic-international society of hypertension position paper endorsed by the world hypertension league and European society of hypertension. *J Hypertens* 2022;40:1435-48. DOI
 28. Donaghy E, Atherton H, Hammersley V, et al. Acceptability, benefits, and challenges of video consulting: a qualitative study in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019;69:e586-94. DOI PubMed PMC
 29. Parati G, Dolan E, McManus RJ, Omboni S. Home blood pressure telemonitoring in the 21st century. *J Clin Hypertens* 2018;20:1128-32. DOI PubMed PMC
 30. Omboni S, McManus RJ, Bosworth HB, et al. Evidence and recommendations on the use of telemedicine for the management of arterial hypertension: an international expert position paper. *Hypertension* 2020;76:1368-83. DOI
 31. Margolis KL, Bergdall AR, Crain AL, et al. Comparing Pharmacist-led telehealth care and clinic-based care for uncontrolled high blood pressure: the hyperlink 3 pragmatic cluster-randomized trial. *Hypertension* 2022;79:2708-20. DOI PubMed PMC
 32. Pletcher MJ, Fontil V, Modrow MF, et al. Effectiveness of standard vs enhanced self-measurement of blood pressure paired with a connected smartphone application: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 2022;182:1025-34. DOI PubMed PMC
 33. Hammersley V, Parker R, Paterson M, et al. Telemonitoring at scale for hypertension in primary care: An implementation study. *PLoS Med* 2020;17:e1003124. DOI PubMed PMC
 34. Kaambwa B, Bryan S, Jowett S, et al. Telemonitoring and self-management in the control of hypertension (TASMINH2): a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2014;21:1517-30. DOI
 35. Monahan M, Jowett S, Nickless A, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of telemonitoring and self-monitoring of blood pressure for antihypertensive titration in primary care (TASMINH4). *Hypertension* 2019;73:1231-9. DOI PubMed PMC
 36. Padwal R, McAlister FA, Wood PW, et al. Telemonitoring and Protocolized case management for hypertensive community-dwelling seniors with diabetes: protocol of the TECHNOMED randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Res Protoc* 2016;5:e107. DOI PubMed PMC
 37. McManus RJ, Little P, Stuart B, et al. Home and online management and evaluation of blood pressure (HOME BP) using a digital intervention in poorly controlled hypertension: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2021;372:m4858. DOI
 38. Stoddart A, Hanley J, Wild S, et al. Telemonitoring-based service redesign for the management of uncontrolled hypertension (HITS): cost and cost-effectiveness analysis of a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open* 2013;3:e002681. DOI PubMed PMC
 39. Padwal RS, So H, Wood PW, et al. Cost-effectiveness of home blood pressure telemonitoring and case management in the secondary prevention of cerebrovascular disease in Canada. *J Clin Hypertens* 2019;21:159-68. DOI PubMed PMC
 40. Omboni S, Gazzola T, Carabelli G, Parati G. Clinical usefulness and cost effectiveness of home blood pressure telemonitoring: meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *J Hypertens* 2013;31:455-67; discussion 467. DOI PubMed
 41. Duan Y, Xie Z, Dong F, et al. Effectiveness of home blood pressure telemonitoring: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled studies. *J Hum Hypertens* 2017;31:427-37. DOI
 42. Padwal R, Wood PW. Digital health approaches for the assessment and optimisation of hypertension care provision. *Can J Cardiol* 2021;37:711-21. DOI PubMed
 43. Padwal RS, Wood PW, Ringrose JS. How a cloud based platform can make ambulatory blood pressure monitoring more efficient, accessible, and evidence based. *Connected Health*. 2022;1:36-45. *Conn Health Telemed* 2022;1:36-45. DOI
 44. Tomitani N, Kanegae H, Suzuki Y, Kuwabara M, Kario K. Stress-induced blood pressure elevation self-measured by a wearable watch-type device. *Am J Hypertens* 2021;34:377-82. DOI PubMed PMC
 45. Goldberg EM, Levy PD. New approaches to evaluating and monitoring blood pressure. *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2016;18:49. DOI PubMed PMC
 46. Mukkamala R, Stergiou GS, Avolio AP. Cuffless blood pressure measurement. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng* 2022;24:203-30. DOI PubMed
 47. Bradley CK, Shimbo D, Colburn DA, et al. Cuffless blood pressure devices. *Am J Hypertens* 2022;35:380-7. DOI PubMed PMC
 48. Padwal R. Cuffless blood pressure measurement: how did accuracy become an afterthought? *Am J Hypertens* 2019;32:807-9. DOI PubMed
 49. Sola J, Vybornova A, Fallet S, Polychronopoulou E, Wurzner-Ghajarzadeh A, Wuerzner G. Validation of the optical Aktiia bracelet in different body positions for the persistent monitoring of blood pressure. *Scientific Reports* 2021;11:1-11. DOI
 50. Kario K, Harada N, Okura A. State-of-the-art rapid review of the current landscape of digital hypertension. *Conn Health Telemed* 2022;1:46-58. DOI
 51. Kario K, Harada N, Okura A. Digital therapeutics in hypertension: evidence and perspectives. *Hypertension* 2022;79:2148-58. DOI PubMed PMC
 52. Available from: <https://dtxalliance.org/understanding-dtx/what-is-a-dtx/> [Last accessed on 10 May 2023].
 53. Guideline WHO. Recommendations on digital interventions for health system strengthening. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241550505> [Last accessed on 10 May 2023].

54. Kario K, Nomura A, Harada N, et al. Efficacy of a digital therapeutics system in the management of essential hypertension: the HERB-DH1 pivotal trial. *Eur Heart J* 2021;42:4111-22. DOI PubMed PMC