

Review

Open Access



Progression of liver disease and associated risk of hepatocellular carcinoma

Edoardo Poli^{1,2}, Eleonora De Martin¹

¹AP-HP, Hôpital Paul-Brousse, Centre Hépatobiliaire, FHU Hépatinov, INSERM Unit 1193, Villejuif 91400, France.

²Department of internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and hepatology, Groupe Hospitalier Nord Essonne, Longjumeau 91160, France.

Correspondence to: Dr. Edoardo Poli, Department of internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and hepatology, Groupe Hospitalier Nord Essonne, 159 Rue du Président François Mitterrand, Longjumeau 91160, France. E-mail: edoardo.poli@aphp.fr

How to cite this article: Poli E, De Martin E. Progression of liver disease and associated risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatoma Res* 2024;10:15. <https://dx.doi.org/10.20517/2394-5079.2023.101>

Received: 30 Aug 2023 **First Decision:** 30 Oct 2023 **Revised:** 18 Jan 2024 **Accepted:** 20 Mar 2024 **Published:** 28 Mar 2024

Academic Editor: Matias A Avila **Copy Editor:** Yanbing Bai **Production Editor:** Yanbing Bai

Abstract

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the primary liver cancer type, often seen in individuals with chronic liver disease. Once the patient progresses to the cirrhotic stage, the annual incidence of HCC is approximately 2%-4%. As it exceeds the minimum threshold of 1.0%-1.5% per year, HCC screening every 6 months through abdominal ultrasound is indicated in the cirrhotic population. While the incidence of viral hepatitis-associated HCC is decreasing, there is a notable rise of HCC associated with metabolic dysfunction-related steatotic liver disease and alcohol-related liver disease, particularly in high-income countries. The most effective approach for oncological prevention remains addressing the cause of liver disease. The indications for HCC screening in patients without cirrhosis depend on the etiology of liver disease and the stage of fibrosis, assessed by liver biopsy or noninvasive tests such as FIB-4 or transient elastography. However, clear recommendations for HCC screening in patients without cirrhosis and for the different etiologies are currently unavailable. Research efforts should focus on identifying markers, or combinations thereof, to provide a more accurate estimate of HCC occurrence. Such advancements would enable the effective targeting of populations at the highest risk of HCC and the establishment of the correct timing to start the screening.

Keywords: HCC, chronic liver disease, cirrhosis, HCC screening



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



INTRODUCTION

Liver cancer is the second most prevalent cause of cancer-related deaths globally. According to the latest report from the World Health Organization published in 2020, liver cancer accounts for 4.7% of all cancers. It is estimated that about 1.3 million people will die from liver cancer by the year 2040^[1]. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common type of hepatic cancer and it represents the first indication for liver transplantation in cirrhotic patients^[2]. Its incidence is 2 to 3 times higher in men than in women, particularly in certain European countries. In addition to the different distribution of modifiable risk factors such as alcohol consumption among genders, a range of non-modifiable risk factors for HCC associated with individual genetic predispositions and variances between male and female sexes has been documented^[3]. Hepatocarcinogenesis is a multistep process involving the transformation of hepatocytes, which undergo malignant genomic and epigenomic changes. It is characterized by high clinical and molecular heterogeneity^[4,5]. Although the exact molecular mechanisms triggering the onset and progression of HCC are far from being completely elucidated, the number of mutated genes and dysregulated signaling pathways associated with HCC are going to be progressively revealed, offering novel prospects for treatment^[6,7]. Within this context, the fibrogenic process appears not to be the primary trigger; instead, chronic non-resolving inflammation seems to play a pivotal role, by chronically producing cytokines such as IL-6, growth factors, chemokines, and proangiogenic factors. The onset of this environment favoring immune escape has been shown to be critical for the transformation of hepatic progenitor cells into a cancerous phenotype, alongside promoting the activation of anti-apoptotic pathway and inhibiting immune surveillance^[8,9].

Once the patient progresses to cirrhosis, the annual incidence of HCC is about 2%-4%^[10-13]. Consequently, screening is recommended in the cirrhotic population as it is exceeding the minimum threshold of 1.0%-1.5% per year^[14,15].

The worldwide disparity in HCC incidence is due to variations in the prevalence of viral hepatitis, which is more prevalent in low-income countries, and environmental factors, predominant in high-income countries. The underlying etiology of liver disease often represents an independent risk factor for HCC occurrence, posing a significant oncologic risk also in the early stages of liver disease^[12,13]. Indeed, different etiologies of liver disease bring with them a different degree and pattern of chronic inflammation, which may or may not increase HCC risk even in pre-cirrhotic stages of compensated advanced chronic liver disease. Consequently, identifying the risk of developing HCC for each patient group is crucial for defining the appropriate screening and follow-up strategies.

As reported by the Global Burden of Disease study in 2019, hepatitis B virus (HBV) accounted for 41.0% of HCC cases, hepatitis C virus (HCV) for 28.5%, alcohol-related liver disease (ALD) for 18.4%, metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (MASLD) for 6.8%, and other etiologies for 5.3%^[13].

Early diagnosis of HCC poses a significant challenge, and the availability of noninvasive biomarkers is crucial. Currently, serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) serves as the primary serum biomarker for HCC, yet AFP-based diagnostic approaches remain less than satisfactory. While serum AFP alone lacks satisfactory sensitivity and specificity to serve as a standalone screening test, its combination with abdominal ultrasound significantly enhances sensitivity in detecting early-stage HCC (within the Milan criteria)^[16]. Several biomarkers have been identified and retrospectively studied as diagnostic tools, but few have undergone external validation and biomarkers validated across different populations are yet to be identified and included in HCC clinical guidelines^[17]. The challenge in finding appropriate biomarkers may also be attributed to the different etiologies of underlying cirrhosis. Nonetheless, with proper validation, the shift

from using ultrasound-based methods to a biomarker-based screening approach for HCC appears viable in the near future, offering the potential for a substantial decrease in the incidence of HCC among high-risk populations^[18]. The introduction of biomarker-based screening will facilitate the implementation of screening programs in low-income countries, where, at the moment, the majority of HCC cases are diagnosed at an advanced stage^[12].

HCC RISK ACCORDING TO LIVER DISEASE ETIOLOGY

HCC and HBV +/- HDV infection

HBV infection remains the main risk factor for HCC worldwide, particularly in Asian countries, where more than half of the global HCC population resides^[11,13,19]. HBV may increase the risk of HCC by 5-100 fold^[12]. In endemic areas, HBV is etiologically implicated in up to 80% of all HCC cases, while in Western countries, it accounts for about 20% of HCC cases^[19].

Beyond its role in causing cirrhosis, HBV itself plays a critical role in the development of HCC, initiating the activation of oncogenic pathways by integrating into host DNA^[20-22]. As a matter of fact, chronic HBV non-cirrhotic carriers can develop liver cancer and the incidence of HCC in these patients is reported to be around 0.2/100 person-years^[12,19]. In patients with chronic hepatitis B without cirrhosis, the most significant factor associated with HCC occurrence is HBV viral load: patients receiving NUCs with incomplete suppression, even with low levels of viremia, showed a higher risk of HCC compared to patients with undetectable HBV-DNA^[23,24]. When cirrhosis occurs, independently from viral load, the incidence of HCC rises to 3.7 per 100 person-years, signifying a 31-fold increased risk of HCC and 44-fold increased mortality^[11,12].

In the context of HBV-HDV co-infection, a significant increase in the global risk of HCC development is reported compared to HBV mono-infection. The cumulative incidence of HCC was 2.3%, 5.4%, and 7.5% at 1, 3, and 5 years, respectively, in non-cirrhotic patients, rising to 5.4%, 15.9%, and 23.1% at 1, 3, and 5 years, respectively, in cirrhotic patients^[25]. Major risk factors are liver cirrhosis, high HDV-RNA serum level, age > 50 years, male gender, obesity, and lower platelet count.

Moreover, a significant six-fold increase in the risk of HCC was observed in patients with triple infection of HIV, HBV, and HDV, compared to those with HIV/HBV co-infection^[26].

Several noninvasive HCC risk scores have been published worldwide in HBV patients with or without antiviral treatment^[27]. Even with their high negative predictive values for HCC occurrence spanning a 3- to 10-year period, there is no universally applicable score.

Paik *et al.* evaluated the effectiveness of two noninvasive serum biomarkers, the aspartate aminotransferase to platelet ratio index (APRI, threshold of 0.5), and the Fibrosis 4 score (FIB-4, threshold of 1.45), in stratifying the risk of HCC among chronic HBV-infected patients (both cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic) with low-level viremia (HBV DNA < 2,000 IU/mL). The authors showed that in the entire cohort, the 5-year cumulative incidence rates of HCC were 13.9%, 1.4%, and 1.2% for those with both high, any high, and both low, respectively. Among non-cirrhotic patients ($n = 867$, $P < 0.001$), the rates were 11.4%, 1.5%, and 0.4%, respectively^[28]. Additionally, the PAGE-B and modified-PAGE-B models^[29,30] have been validated for predicting HCC risk in HBV patients undergoing NUC treatment.

Thus, in patients with chronic HBV infection, the two main risk factors for HCC occurrence are the stage of liver disease and viral load. Besides initiating early HCC screening and antiviral treatment in patients that

are already infected, immunization against HBV should always be checked as it is the best prevention strategy. In Taiwan, 30 years after the beginning of universal newborn vaccination, HBV carrier rates have dropped from 10%-17% to 0.7%-1.7%, resulting in an 80% reduction in HCC rates^[31].

HCC and HCV infection

Historically, the majority of HCC cases in high-income countries were associated with chronic HCV infection. However, this trend has recently shown a decline due to the advent of new direct antiviral agents (DAA). The risk of HCC occurrence in patients with HCV-related cirrhosis is approximately 2%-6% per year, almost 17-fold higher compared to other cirrhotic patients with compensated disease^[19]. Typically, HCC development occurs after two or more decades of HCV infection, and the increased risk has traditionally been limited to patients with cirrhosis. Notably, the incidence of HCC in the USA has tripled over the last four decades, likely due to the aging chronic hepatitis C patient pool, alongside a significant increase in MASLD, and the implementation of more accurate screening programs using ultrasounds in cirrhotic patients^[32].

In the context of HCV-related cirrhosis, the FIB-4 score has a better prognostic performance for HCC occurrence prediction than APRI. Values > 2.18 were able to predict the occurrence of HCC with high sensitivity and specificity (92.4% and 87.2%, respectively)^[33]. Degasperi *et al.* described a significantly increased 3-year risk of HCC in cirrhotic patients with LSM values > 30 kPa or with FIB-4 > 9 at baseline^[34]. A multicenter French study developed an HCC score [taking into account age > 50 years, past excessive alcohol intake, low platelets count, high gammaGT levels, and absence of sustained virological response (SVR)], which can accurately predict the 1-, 3- and 5-year HCC occurrence^[35]. The presence of clinically significant portal hypertension, defined as the presence of esophageal varices and/or ascites, was also found to be an independent predictor of HCC occurrence in several studies involving HCV cirrhotic patients treated with DAA^[36,37].

Therefore, the prevention of liver disease progression seems to be the most important factor affecting HCC risk in HCV patients. The early achievement of a SVR in HCV-infected patients has led to a substantial decrease in the risk of HCC worldwide, esteemed to be around 50% to 80%^[38,39]. However, Eradicating HCV reduces, though does not completely eliminate, the risk of HCC in patients with confirmed cirrhosis, necessitating lifelong monitoring^[40,41].

Interestingly, it has been demonstrated that machine learning algorithms can enhance HCC risk prediction in HCV patients, and possibly in individuals with other causes of liver disease^[42].

HCC and MASLD

The MASLD, now replacing the term non-alcoholic fatty liver disease/steatohepatitis according to the new nomenclature, is rapidly emerging as the most common etiology of chronic liver disease worldwide^[12,43] and is the fastest-rising cause of HCC. As the occurrence of HBV-related HCC is expected to decrease thanks to vaccination coverage and viral suppression with antiviral treatments, and HCV-related HCC diminishes with the advent of DAA, the absolute prevalence increase in MASLD is becoming even more significant when assessed in terms of percentages and is destined to become the most common etiology of liver disease underlying HCC in numerous countries^[44]. In Western countries, MASLD-related HCC has increased by up to threefold in the last decades^[15]. The stage of fibrosis is identified as one of the strongest liver-related predictors of overall survival and HCC development in MASLD patients^[45].

Nevertheless, around 25% of HCC cases associated with MASLD happen without cirrhosis, showing a 2.61 times higher HCC incidence in non-cirrhotic MASLD livers compared to patients with chronic liver diseases from different causes^[46].

According to the latest EASL guidelines, HCC surveillance is recommended in patients with MASLD cirrhosis; surveillance is suggested to be considered also in patients with F3 fibrosis (either histologically or via elastography)^[47]. In cohort studies including MASLD patients without cirrhosis, the incidence of HCC ranges from 0.08/1,000 patient-years to a maximum of 0.62/1,000 patient-years, with a recent meta-analysis reporting an incidence of HCC in non-cirrhotic livers of 0.3/1,000 patient-years^[48]. These rates are significantly higher compared to the incidence of HCC in chronic liver diseases from other etiologies in the absence of cirrhosis^[46,49]. In the context of MASLD-related cirrhosis, the incidence of HCC ranges from 2 to 26/1,000 person-years, depending on cohort characteristics^[48,50,51].

Concerning the role of noninvasive tests of fibrosis, Kanwal *et al.* suggested that a FIB-4 score > 3.25 can predict an HCC risk > 1%, thereby recommending HCC surveillance in these patients^[50].

HCC and alcohol-related liver disease

The established causal relationship between alcohol intake and HCC occurrence is well-documented^[15,52]. A recent meta-analysis reported a cumulative risk of HCC at 5 and 10 years in alcohol-related cirrhosis of 3% and 9%, respectively^[53]. Indeed, in addition to the duration of chronic alcohol consumption, a metanalysis estimated a 16% increase in the risk of HCC among those patients consuming 3 or more alcoholic units/day and a 22% increased risk among those consuming > 6 units/day^[54], compared to non-drinking patients. Moreover, alcohol can act synergistically with other liver disease etiologies, exacerbating the progression of liver disease and further elevating the risk for HCC occurrence^[55,56].

Regarding the role of noninvasive tests, the cumulative incidence rate of HCC at 3 years was 4.1% in patients with a modified FIB-4 index > 4 and 0.7% in patients with an index < 4. This suggests the need for HCC screening in the former group^[57]. In the context of ALD, total abstinence from alcoholic beverages stands as the only effective method to reduce HCC-risk occurrence. This recommendation should also be extended to patients with other underlying etiologies of liver disease, as in these patients, the role of alcohol in promoting liver disease progression and increasing oncologic risk tends to be underestimated.

HCC and rare liver diseases

In the context of autoimmune hepatitis (AIH), the occurrence of HCC has historically been considered a rare complication^[58]. However, a recent retrospective, observational, multicentric study revealed an incidence rate of HCC in patients with AIH of 1.44/1,000 patient-years. After cirrhosis develops, the cumulative incidence of HCC increases to 2.6%, 4.6%, 5.6%, and 6.6% at 5, 10, 15, and 20 years, respectively^[59]. Obesity, cirrhosis, and AIH/primary sclerosing cholangitis overlap syndrome were independent risk factors for HCC occurrence. These incidences meet the cut-off value of 1.5%, thereby recommending HCC screening as recommended and cost-effective.

Patients with primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) show an incidence of HCC of 2.4%. According to the latest Japanese data, HCC incidence is 5.1% in males and 2.0% in females^[60]. A recent multicenter international study in Europe reported an HCC incidence of 3.4/1,000 patient-years, with a similar increased incidence in men^[61]. This increased incidence of HCC in males was irrespective of histological stage, emphasizing the importance of HCC screening for males with PBC from an early stage of the disease.

The exact annual incidence of HCC in HFE-related hereditary hemochromatosis remains undefined, but it appears to be close to 1.5%. The majority of HCC occurs in cirrhotic livers with iron overload, but the occurrence of HCC in non-cirrhotic livers of patients with hemochromatosis has also been described. According to the latest EASL recommendations, HCC screening in patients with hemochromatosis should be proposed if, at the time of diagnosis, the fibrosis stage is at least F3 and should be continued even if iron depletion allows regression of liver fibrosis to a lower stage^[62].

In Wilson's disease, the chance of developing primary liver cancer is said to be low. A European study of 1,186 patients found that only 1.2% had liver cancer, with an incidence rate of 0.28 per 1,000 patient-years. Cirrhosis continues to be the main risk factor for liver cancer in these patients^[58].

Table 1 summarizes the actual indications for 6-month interval ultra-sounds HCC screening according to liver disease etiology and stage of liver disease.

Table 1. Actual indications for 6-month interval ultra-sounds HCC screening according to liver disease etiology and stage of liver disease

Liver disease etiology	Non-cirrhotic patients	Cirrhotic patients
HBV	Any chronic HBV with ≥ 10 PAGE-B score Family history of HCC Africans, African-Americans, Asian males > 40 yr Asian females > 50 yr	All, any etiology
HCV	Chronic HCV with bridging fibrosis (Metavir F3)	
MASLD	Suggested to be considered if F3 fibrosis (either histologically or via elastography) Suggested to be considered if FIB-4 > 3.25	
Alcohol	Not recommended	
PBC	Suggested to be considered in males (irrespective of histological stage)	
AIH	Not recommended	
Hemochromatosis	Suggested to be considered if F3 fibrosis (either histologically or via elastography)	
Wilson disease	Not recommended	

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the risk of HCC is primarily determined by the progression of liver disease, which is strongly influenced by the underlying etiological factor. A 6-month HCC surveillance by abdominal ultrasound and serum AFP is recommended for patients with cirrhosis of any etiology and some selected patients with chronic HBV infection. The etiological treatment of liver disease remains the most effective oncological prevention. Some etiologies demonstrate significant HCC incidence rates even in the non-cirrhotic population; nevertheless, the paucity of data does not allow the formulation of robust guidelines in this regard at present.

DECLARATIONS

Authors' contributions

Draft manuscript preparation, review of the manuscript, and approval of the final version of the manuscript: Poli E

Review of the manuscript and approval of the final version of the manuscript: De Martin E

Availability of data and materials

Original data from the literature, elaborated by the authors.

Financial support and sponsorship

None.

Conflicts of interest

Both declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Copyright

© The Author(s) 2024.

REFERENCES

1. Runggay H, Arnold M, Ferlay J, et al. Global burden of primary liver cancer in 2020 and predictions to 2040. *J Hepatol* 2022;77:1598-606. DOI
2. Overall indications and results for liver transplantation in Europe. Available online: <http://www.eltr.org/spip.php?article161>.
3. Nevola R, Tortorella G, Rosato V, et al. Gender differences in the pathogenesis and risk factors of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Biology* 2023;12:984. DOI PubMed PMC
4. Vij M, Calderaro J. Pathologic and molecular features of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. *World J Hepatol* 2021;13:393-410. DOI PubMed PMC
5. Zhuravleva E, O'Rourke CJ, Andersen JB. Mutational signatures and processes in hepatobiliary cancers. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2022;19:367-82. DOI PubMed
6. Fernández-Barrena MG, Arechederra M, Colyn L, Berasain C, Avila MA. Epigenetics in hepatocellular carcinoma development and therapy: The tip of the iceberg. *JHEP Rep* 2020;2:100167. DOI PubMed PMC
7. Llovet JM, Pinyol R, Kelley RK, et al. Molecular pathogenesis and systemic therapies for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Cancer* 2022;3:386-401. DOI PubMed PMC
8. Nenu I, Toadere TM, Topor I, et al. Interleukin-6 in hepatocellular carcinoma: a dualistic point of view. *Biomedicines* 2023;11:2623. DOI PubMed PMC
9. Allaire M, Rudler M, Thabut D. Portal hypertension and hepatocellular carcinoma: Des liaisons dangereuses.... *Liver Int* 2021;41:1734-43. DOI PubMed
10. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2021;71:209-49. DOI
11. Sagnelli E, Macera M, Russo A, Coppola N, Sagnelli C. Epidemiological and etiological variations in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Infection* 2020;48:7-17. DOI PubMed
12. Devarbhavi H, Asrani SK, Arab JP, Nartey YA, Pose E, Kamath PS. Global burden of liver disease: 2023 update. *J Hepatol* 2023;79:516-37. DOI PubMed
13. Liu Y, Zheng J, Hao J, et al. Global burden of primary liver cancer by five etiologies and global prediction by 2035 based on global burden of disease study 2019. *Cancer Med* 2022;11:1310-23. DOI PubMed PMC
14. Parikh ND, Singal AG, Hutton DW, Tapper EB. Cost-effectiveness of hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance: an assessment of benefits and harms. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2020;115:1642-9. DOI PubMed PMC
15. Singal AG, Kanwal F, Llovet JM. Global trends in hepatocellular carcinoma epidemiology: implications for screening, prevention and therapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2023;20:864-84. DOI PubMed
16. Tzartzeva K, Obi J, Rich NE, et al. Surveillance imaging and alpha fetoprotein for early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: a meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology* 2018;154:1706-1718.e1. DOI PubMed PMC
17. Marques H, Gomes da Silva S, De Martin E, Agopian VG, Martins PN. Emerging biomarkers in HCC patients: current status. *Int J Surg* 2020;82S:70-6. DOI PubMed
18. Parikh ND, Tayob N, Singal AG. Blood-based biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma screening: approaching the end of the ultrasound era? *J Hepatol* 2023;78:207-16. DOI PubMed PMC
19. Shen C, Jiang X, Li M, Luo Y. Hepatitis virus and hepatocellular carcinoma: recent advances. *Cancers* 2023;15:533. DOI PubMed PMC
20. Péneau C, Imbeaud S, La Bella T, et al. Hepatitis B virus integrations promote local and distant oncogenic driver alterations in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gut* 2022;71:616-26. DOI PubMed PMC
21. Dhanasekaran R, Nault JC, Roberts LR, Zucman-Rossi J. Genomic medicine and implications for hepatocellular carcinoma prevention

- and therapy. *Gastroenterology* 2019;156:492-509. DOI PubMed PMC
22. Ligat G, Schuster C, Baumert TF. Hepatitis B virus core variants, liver fibrosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2019;69:5-8. DOI PubMed PMC
 23. Battistella S, Lynch EN, Gambato M, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma risk in patients with HBV-related liver disease receiving antiviral therapy. *Minerva Gastroenterol* 2021;67:38-49. DOI
 24. Kim JH, Sinn DH, Kang W, et al. Low-level viremia and the increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients receiving entecavir treatment. *Hepatology* 2017;66:335-43. DOI PubMed
 25. Jang TY, Wei YJ, Liu TW, et al. Role of hepatitis D virus infection in development of hepatocellular carcinoma among chronic hepatitis B patients treated with nucleotide/nucleoside analogues. *Sci Rep* 2021;11:8184. DOI PubMed PMC
 26. Kamal H, Fornes R, Simin J, et al. Risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis B and D virus co-infected patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *J Viral Hepat* 2021;28:1431-42. DOI PubMed
 27. Hao X, Fan R, Zeng HM, Hou JL. Hepatocellular Carcinoma risk scores from modeling to real clinical practice in areas highly endemic for hepatitis B infection. *J Clin Transl Hepatol* 2023;11:1508-19. DOI PubMed PMC
 28. Paik N, Sinn DH, Lee JH, et al. Non-invasive tests for liver disease severity and the hepatocellular carcinoma risk in chronic hepatitis B patients with low-level viremia. *Liver Int* 2018;38:68-75. DOI PubMed
 29. Papatheodoridis G, Dalekos G, Sypsa V, et al. PAGE-B predicts the risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma in Caucasians with chronic hepatitis B on 5-year antiviral therapy. *J Hepatol* 2016;64:800-6. DOI
 30. Kim JH, Kim YD, Lee M, et al. Modified PAGE-B score predicts the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in Asians with chronic hepatitis B on antiviral therapy. *J Hepatol* 2018;69:1066-73. DOI
 31. Chang MH, You SL, Chen CJ, et al; Taiwan Hepatoma Study Group. Long-term effects of hepatitis B immunization of infants in preventing liver cancer. *Gastroenterology* 2016;151:472-80.e1. DOI
 32. Koshiol J, Argirion I, Liu Z, et al. Immunologic markers and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis B virus- and hepatitis C virus-infected individuals. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2021;54:833-42. DOI PubMed
 33. Li X, Xu H, Gao P. Fibrosis index based on 4 factors (FIB-4) predicts liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma in chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) patients. *Med Sci Monit* 2019;25:7243-50. DOI PubMed PMC
 34. Degasperi E, D'Ambrosio R, Iavarone M, et al. Factors associated with increased risk of de novo or recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis treated with direct-acting antivirals for HCV infection. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019;17:1183-1191.e7. DOI PubMed
 35. Ganne-Carrié N, Layese R, Bourcier V, et al; ANRS CO12 CirVir Study Group. Nomogram for individualized prediction of hepatocellular carcinoma occurrence in hepatitis C virus cirrhosis (ANRS CO12 CirVir). *Hepatology* 2016;64:1136-47. DOI PubMed
 36. Lleo A, Aglitti A, Aghemo A, et al. Predictors of hepatocellular carcinoma in HCV cirrhotic patients treated with direct acting antivirals. *Dig Liv Dis* 2019;51:310-7. DOI PubMed
 37. Sangiovanni A, Alimenti E, Gattai R, et al. Undefined/non-malignant hepatic nodules are associated with early occurrence of HCC in DAA-treated patients with HCV-related cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2020;73:593-602. DOI
 38. Marrero JA, Kulik LM, Sirlin CB, et al. Diagnosis, staging, and management of hepatocellular carcinoma: 2018 practice guidance by the american association for the study of liver diseases. *Hepatology* 2018;68:723-50. DOI PubMed
 39. Kanwal F, Kramer J, Asch SM, Chayanupatkul M, Cao Y, El-Serag HB. Risk of hepatocellular cancer in HCV patients treated with direct-acting antiviral agents. *Gastroenterology* 2017;153:996-1005.e1. DOI PubMed
 40. Ioannou GN. HCC surveillance after SVR in patients with F3/F4 fibrosis. *J Hepatol* 2021;74:458-65. DOI PubMed
 41. Beste LA, Green P, Berry K, Belperio P, Ioannou GN. Hepatitis C-related hepatocellular carcinoma incidence in the veterans health administration after introduction of direct-acting antivirals. *JAMA* 2020;324:1003-5. DOI PubMed PMC
 42. Audureau E, Carrat F, Layese R, et al; ANRS CO12 CirVir group. Personalized surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis - using machine learning adapted to HCV status. *J Hepatol* 2020;73:1434-45. DOI PubMed
 43. Younossi Z, Anstee QM, Marietti M, et al. Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018;15:11-20. DOI
 44. Estes C, Anstee QM, Arias-Loste MT, et al. Modeling NAFLD disease burden in China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, United Kingdom, and United States for the period 2016-2030. *J Hepatol* 2018;69:896-904. DOI PubMed
 45. Ekstedt M, Hagström H, Nasr P, et al. Fibrosis stage is the strongest predictor for disease-specific mortality in NAFLD after up to 33 years of follow-up. *Hepatology* 2015;61:1547-54. DOI PubMed
 46. Stine JG, Wentworth BJ, Zimmet A, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis without cirrhosis compared to other liver diseases. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2018;48:696-703. DOI PubMed PMC
 47. Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines: management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2018;69:182-236. DOI PubMed
 48. Orci LA, Sanduzzi-Zamparelli M, Caballol B, et al. Incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2022;20:283-292.e10. DOI
 49. Mittal S, El-Serag HB, Sada YH, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in the absence of cirrhosis in United States Veterans is associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;14:124-31.e1. DOI PubMed PMC
 50. Kanwal F, Kramer JR, Mapakshi S, et al. Risk of hepatocellular cancer in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2018;155:1828-1837.e2. DOI PubMed PMC

51. Ioannou GN, Green P, Kerr KF, Berry K. Models estimating risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with alcohol or NAFLD-related cirrhosis for risk stratification. *J Hepatol* 2019;71:523-33. DOI PubMed PMC
52. Morgan TR, Mandayam S, Jamal MM. Alcohol and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2004;127:S87-96. DOI PubMed
53. Huang DQ, Tan DJH, Ng CH, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma incidence in alcohol-associated cirrhosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2023;21:1169-77. DOI PubMed PMC
54. Turati F, Galeone C, Rota M, et al. Alcohol and liver cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Ann Oncol* 2014;25:1526-35. DOI
55. Lin CW, Lin CC, Mo LR, et al. Heavy alcohol consumption increases the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis B virus-related cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2013;58:730-5. DOI
56. Innes HA, Hutchinson SJ, Barclay S, et al; Hepatitis C Clinical Database Monitoring Committee. Quantifying the fraction of cirrhosis attributable to alcohol among chronic hepatitis C virus patients: implications for treatment cost-effectiveness. *Hepatology* 2013;57:451-60. DOI
57. Kim JH, Lee M, Park SW, et al. Validation of modified fibrosis-4 index for predicting hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with compensated alcoholic liver cirrhosis. *Medicine* 2018;97:e13438. DOI PubMed PMC
58. Tansel A, Katz LH, El-Serag HB, et al. Incidence and determinants of hepatocellular carcinoma in autoimmune hepatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;15:1207-17. DOI PubMed PMC
59. Colapietro F, Maisonneuve P, Lytvyak E, et al; Dutch AIH Study Group; International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group. Incidence and predictors of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with autoimmune hepatitis. *J Hepatol* 2024;80:53-61. DOI PubMed
60. Harada K, Hirohara J, Ueno Y, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma in primary biliary cirrhosis: national data from Japan. *Hepatology* 2013;57:1942-9. DOI
61. Trivedi PJ, Lammers WJ, van Buuren HR, et al; Global PBC Study Group. Stratification of hepatocellular carcinoma risk in primary biliary cirrhosis: a multicentre international study. *Gut* 2016;65:321-9. DOI
62. Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines on haemochromatosis. *J Hepatol* 2022;77:479-502. DOI PubMed
63. Pfeiffenberger J, Mogler C, Gotthardt DN, et al. Hepatobiliary malignancies in Wilson disease. *Liver Int* 2015;35:1615-22. DOI