Recent strategies for improving the performances of rechargeable lithium batteries with sulfur- and oxygen-based conversion cathodes
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Abstract

The energy density of lithium-ion batteries based on intercalated electrode materials has reached its upper limit, which makes it challenging to meet the growing demand for high-energy storage systems. Electrode materials based on conversion reactions such as sulfur, organosulfides, and oxygen involving breakage and reformation of chemical bonds can provide higher specific capacity and energy density. In addition, they usually consist of abundant elements, making them renewable. Although they have the aforementioned benefits, they face numerous challenges for practical applications. For example, the cycled products of sulfur and molecular organosulfides could be soluble in a liquid electrolyte, resulting in the shuttle effect and significant capacity loss. The discharged product of oxygen is Li$_2$O$_2$, which could result in high charge overpotential and decomposition of the electrolyte. In this review, we present an overview of the current strategies for improving the performances of lithium-sulfur, lithium-organosulfide, and lithium-oxygen batteries. First, we summarize the efforts to overcome the issues facing sulfur and organosulfide cathodes, as well as the strategies to increase the capacity of organosulfides. Then, we introduce the latest research progress on catalysts in lithium-oxygen batteries. Finally, we summarize and provide outlooks for the conversion of electrode materials.
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INTRODUCTION
In recent years, the enormous use of fossil fuels has caused severe environmental issues, including global warming, acid rain, and severe weather, leading to the usage increase of renewable energies such as solar and wind. To overcome the intermittent issue of these energies, energy storage systems are needed, such as rechargeable batteries. In addition, transportation electrification has been widely deployed as a means of reducing the use of fossil fuels. Among the rechargeable battery family, lithium-ion (Li-ion) batteries have been widely used as they have the highest energy densities. Traditional Li-ion batteries rely mostly on ion intercalation electrode materials, such as LiCoO₂, LiMn₂O₄, and LiFePO₄, which have limited capacities and energy densities[1,2]. During repeated charge and discharge of the battery, Li ions are repeatedly intercalated and de-intercalated from the electrode material, resulting in structural changes in the active material and a decrease in capacity[3]. Moreover, Li-ion batteries rely mostly on transition metals, such as cobalt, nickel, manganese, etc., and the transition metal resources are limited, which is contrary to the current concept of sustainable development[4]. As a result, an increasing number of researchers are working on the development of high-energy-density, environmentally friendly, and safe rechargeable batteries in an effort to change the status quo.

Different from ion intercalation electrode materials, conversion-based electrode materials rely on the breakage and formation of chemical bonds. For instance, the sulfur cathode relies on the reversible breakage and reformation of sulfur-sulfur (S-S) bonds, which leads to a high theoretical specific capacity (1,675 mAh g⁻¹) and energy density (2,600 Wh kg⁻¹)[5]. Although conversion-based electrode materials have many advantages and great competitiveness, there are still some problems preventing their practical applications. Take sulfur[6], organosulfide[7], and oxygen electrodes[8] as examples: organosulfides like sulfur are also based on the breakage and reformation of S-S bonds. Both sulfur and organosulfides have similar issues, such as low electronic conductivity and high solubility of intermediates, resulting in continuous loss of active materials and rapid capacity decay. The discharged product (Li₂O₂) of oxygen is insulating and difficult to decompose, resulting in a high overpotential of the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) during the charge and low electrochemical reversibility[9].

In this review, recent advances in sulfur, organosulfide, and oxygen as cathode materials are reviewed, as shown in Figure 1. In Section “SULFUR CATHODE”, some strategies are listed, including host materials to improve conductivity, separator modification to reduce the shuttle effect, functional electrolyte additives to alter the redox path of sulfur, and electrode-electrolyte interface enhancement to improve stability. In the Section “ORGANOSULFIDE CATHODE”, we summarize the use of transition metal compounds to alleviate the solubility problem of organosulfides and their discharge products, the development of organosulfide polymers to increase their theoretical specific capacities, and the application of solid-state electrolytes to improve battery safety and eliminate the undesirable shuttle effect. In the Section “OXYGEN CATHODE”, the recent development of catalysts to facilitate the delithiation of Li₂O₂ and reduce the occurrence of side reactions during charging is presented. In summary, we provide an overview of the most recent developments in representative cathode materials based on conversion reactions. Finally, future developments and outlooks are given.

SULFUR CATHODE
The sulfur cathode has advantages such as low cost, abundant resources, high theoretical capacity, and high energy density[10]. It has been widely studied in recent years and is considered as the most promising electrode material in next-generation energy storage systems. During discharge, the sulfur cathode goes through a “solid-liquid-solid” conversion process in which the solid sulfur element (Sₘ) is first converted into soluble lithium polysulfides Li₂Sₓ (3 ≤ x ≤ 8) and then into solid Li₂S/Li,S. The overall charge/discharge
processes of $S_n$ can be described in Equation (1). In fact, the concept of the sulfur cathode was first proposed in the 1960s, but it was ignored due to its drawbacks, such as low discharge capacity and fast capacity decay. Studies of sulfur cathode were rare until 2009, when Ji et al. successfully cycled the Li-S battery for 20 cycles using CMK-3 as the sulfur host material\cite{21}. Sulfur cathode suffers from three major issues: (1) sulfur and its cycled products are electronic and ionic insulators, resulting in low sulfur utilization and discharge capacity\cite{22}; (2) the $\text{Li}_2\text{S}_x$ formed during cycling is easily soluble in the ether electrolyte and shuttle from the cathode to the anode during discharge, which results in low Coulombic efficiency and rapid capacity decay; and (3) due to the difference in density ($\text{S}$: $2.07 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $\text{Li}_2\text{S}$: $1.66 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$), $\text{Li}_2\text{S}$ formed after full discharge causes serious volume expansion, resulting in poor battery cycle performance\cite{23}. This section summarizes the works that were published during the last three years, including sulfur host materials, separator modifications, and electrolyte additives.

\[
S_n \overset{\text{Li}_2\text{S}_x \quad (3 \leq x \leq 8)}{\longrightarrow} \text{Li}_2\text{S}_2/\text{Li}_2\text{S} \tag{1}
\]

**Sulfur host materials**

The most direct and fundamental strategy to address the current issues of the sulfur cathode is to develop various sulfur host materials. It can improve the practical application value of Li-S batteries and help to address the intrinsic drawbacks of non-conductive S/$\text{Li}_2\text{S}_x$ ($1 \leq x \leq 2$) and shuttle of lithium polysulfides (LiPSs). Conductive carbon materials, such as mesoporous carbon, hollow carbon nanosphere, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), and graphene, are now the most well-known Li-S cathode host materials\cite{24}. They can
improve the conductivity of the cathode and physically contain LiPSs, thereby inhibiting the dissolution and shuttle of LiPSs. However, the actual demand is still far from being met by the improved performance of the mentioned host materials in Li-S batteries. As a result, numerous new host materials have been developed over time, including porous carbon with a large surface area and high porosity, carbon materials doped with polar elements like N and S, and the employment of metals and metal compounds. Table 1 lists the electrochemical properties of different sulfur host materials in Li-S batteries.

Carbon-based host materials
Hu et al. used a double alkali activation process to prepare coral-like graded porous carbon, which has a higher specific surface area (2,004 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) and larger pore volume (1.64 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) than ordinary porous carbon[25]. The pore parameters can also be precisely adjusted by the pore creators. Large pores in hierarchical porous carbon can shorten the transport path of Li$^+$ and increase sulfur content. Mesopores can hold sulfur nanoparticles, and micropores can adsorb LiPSs to inhibit the dissolution and shuttling. As shown in Figure 2A, Zhang et al. activated porous carbon nanotube microspheres (ePCNTMs) by “Sauna”, which is a scalable spray drying method for critical water vapor etching at high temperatures. It can construct rich topological defects in the carbon lattice. The ePCNTMs, as the host material, has strong adsorption and catalytic activity[26]. Due to the weak interaction between carbon materials and LiPSs, it is certain that some LiPSs would dissolve and shuttle; hence, efforts have been made to dope carbon materials with polar components. As depicted in Figure 2B, Shi et al. synthesized several carbon-based host materials (CR-PPIs@800) from crosslinked polyimides via a series of straightforward processes[27]. The porous network structure of CR-PPIs@800 allows high sulfur content and volume expansion upon cycling in batteries. It also contains a high concentration of polar N due to the electrophilicity of Li$^+$ and the electronegativity of N (3.04), which can lead to strong chemical adsorption on LiPSs, hence inhibiting the dissolution of LiPSs effectively. Similarly, Liu et al. produced N-doped hollow porous carbon spheres (N-HPCS) using biomass lignin as the precursor and cyanuric acid as the N-dopant and porogen [Figure 2C][28]. Sulfur can be encapsulated in hollow carbon spheres with high content. Using wheat as a raw material, Hong et al. fabricated a hundred-gram of a graphitic carbon nitride@hierarchical porous carbon (g-C$_3$N$_4$@HPC) composite [Figure 2D][11], in which HPC can physically contain LiPSs and restrict the volume expansion of S, while g-C$_3$N$_4$ can chemically adsorb LiPSs. Yang et al. used activated carbon (AC) with Al$_2$O$_3$@SiO$_2$ heterojunction as the S host material[29]. The AC has a large specific surface area (906.4611 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) and is coupled with a portion of the S cathode material replaced by selenium (Se) and tellurium (Te). Small amounts of Se and Te are added to S to compensate for its poor conductivity. After 300 cycles, SST811/AC (where S/Se/Te = 8:1:1, molar ratio) still retains a capacity of 1,024.9 mAh g$^{-1}$.

Metals and metal compounds
The introduction of metals in carbon-based materials or the use of metal compounds is the typical way to improve the electrochemical performance of Li-S batteries. The non-polar carbon-based host materials interact with LiPSs via weak van der Waals force, while metals and metal compounds can chemically adsorb LiPSs by forming M-S (M: metal) bonds[30]. Compared with the weak van der Waals force, the M-S bonds are stronger, and the inhibition of the shuttle effect is more effective. Metals can also catalyze the conversion of high-order LiPSs to Li$_2$S/Li$_2$S, lower the energy barrier, and accelerate the kinetics of the reaction.

Li et al. utilized a NH$_4$Cl-assisted pyrolysis strategy to produce highly mesoporous N-rich carbon with a thin shell and porous internal network, which is fixed on the top side of single Ni atoms [designed as Ni-NC(p)] [Figure 3A] as the host material for Li-S batteries[32]. High mesopores facilitate electron/ion transport, increase sulfur content and utilization, and inhibit volume expansion. Through physicochemical adsorption, the N-rich shells can anchor LiPSs. At the same time, single Ni atoms can promote the
Table 1. Electrochemical properties of different sulfur host materials in Li-S batteries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sulfur host</th>
<th>Discharge capacity</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>coral-like hierarchical porous carbon</td>
<td>1,112 mAh g(^{-1}) at 0.1 C</td>
<td>500 at 0.5 C</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ePCNTM</td>
<td>1,067 mAh g(^{-1}) after 100 cycles at 0.2 C</td>
<td>500 at 1 C</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-PPls@800</td>
<td>1,000 mAh g(^{-1}) at 0.1 C</td>
<td>1,100 at 1 C</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-HPCS</td>
<td>1,535.1 mAh g(^{-1}) at 0.1 C</td>
<td>1,000 at 1 C</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g-C(_3)N(_4)@HPC</td>
<td>804.1 mAh g(^{-1}) at 1 C</td>
<td>250 at 1 C</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC with an Al(_2)O(_3)@SiO(_2) heterojunction</td>
<td>1,171.7 mAh g(^{-1}) at 0.5 C</td>
<td>300 at 1 C</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-NC(p)</td>
<td>966.6 mAh g(^{-1}) (3rd cycle) at 0.5 C</td>
<td>above 600 at 0.5 C</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-Por-Cu-PPls@800</td>
<td>1,064 mAh g(^{-1}) at 0.2 C</td>
<td>500 at 1 C</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co@N-HCMSs</td>
<td>1,172 mAh g(^{-1}) at 0.2 C</td>
<td>500 at 1 C</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCPC/NiCoP</td>
<td>1,462.7 mAh g(^{-1}) at 0.1 C (pouch cell)</td>
<td>above 60 at 1 C (pouch cell)</td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoC/NC CSMSs</td>
<td>1,370.3 mAh g(^{-1}) at 0.1 C</td>
<td>500 at 1 C</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(_x)S(_y)/MnS/NC@NC</td>
<td>890.7 mAh g(^{-1}) at 0.1 C</td>
<td>500 at 1 C</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

conversion of LiPSs, effectively inhibiting the shuttle effect. The results show that the sulfur cathode with Ni-NC(p) exhibits a high specific capacity, good rate performance, and cycle stability. Lin et al. fabricated several carbon-based metal-doped materials (CR-Por-PPls@800) by crosslinking porous porphyrin-based polyimides and employed them as sulfur hosts\(^{[31]}\). Doping metals help reduce reaction activation energy and accelerate reaction kinetics. As shown in Figure 3B, the copper-doped one has the most stable cycle stability, with a capacity of 520 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 500 cycles. Su et al. synthesized cobalt (Co) embedded N-doped hollow carbon microspheres (Co@N-HCMSs) as the sulfur host material [Figure 3C]\(^{[32]}\). Hollow carbon microspheres can contain sufficient sulfur and restrict its volume expansion while also physically storing LiPSs. Co nanoparticles coated on the pore wall can activate N-HCMSs, enhance their adsorption, and catalyze the conversion of LiPSs. Utilizing Co@N-HCMSs as the host material enables the Li-S battery to have a high area capacity of approximately 5 mAh cm\(^{-2}\) at a high sulfur concentration (90.5 wt%).
Figure 3. (A) Schematic diagram of Ni-NC(p) preparation route. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [12] (Copyright 2022, Wiley-VCH GmbH). (B) Cycle performance at 1 C with different host materials. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [30] (Copyright 2021, American Chemical Society). (C) Mechanism diagram of porous carbon microspheres with multiple effects on Li-S batteries. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [32] (Copyright 2021, Elsevier). (D) Schematic diagram of MoC/NC CSMS synthesis. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [34] (Copyright 2021, The Royal Society of Chemistry). (E) S@CoₓSᵧ/MnS/NC@NC synthesis process schematic. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [35] (Copyright 2022, Elsevier). (F) Schematic diagram of the interaction between two-dimensional MSi₂P₄ (M = V, Nb, and Ta) monolayers and LiPSs. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [36] (Copyright 2022, American Chemical Society).

Zhang et al. implanted NiCoP nanoparticles into petroleum coke (PCPC)-derived N, S co-doped porous carbon and utilized them as sulfur host materials [33]. PCPC with a large specific surface area can provide great adsorption sites, and N, S co-doping is conducive to enhancing the utilization of S, while the incorporation of NiCoP nanoparticles facilitates the conversion of LiPSs. As shown in Figure 3D, Li et al. prepared meso-/microporous core-shelled MoC nanocrystal-embedded N-doped carbon microspheres (MoC/NC CSMSs) as the host material for sulfur [34]. The shell of the MoC/NC CSMSs is microporous, while the core is mesoporous, assuring a high sulfur content. The evenly distributed MoC binds with LiPSs chemically, forming Mo-S bonds. The battery shows an initial discharge specific capacity of 1,370.3 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1 C. Defective transition metal compound materials have strong binding energies with sulfur and can effectively inhibit the shuttle of LiPSs. As shown in Figure 3E, Kang et al. designed and synthesized four CoₓSᵧ/MnS/NC@NC materials with defective hollow nanocubes (NC: nitrogen-doped carbon) as the sulfur host materials [35], which could inhibit the volume expansion of sulfur, catalyze the conversion of LiPSs,
reduce the shuttle of LiPSs, and improve the reaction kinetics of batteries. Wang et al. employed the two-dimensional, monolayer MSi$_x$P$_y$ (M = V, Nb, and Ta) as the sulfur host material [Figure 3F] [36]. The MSi$_x$P$_y$ monolayer can also absorb polysulfides, reduce the reaction barrier, and accelerate the conversion of LiPSs when $S_n$/Li$_x$S$_n$ are immobilized on its surface without structural deformation. This effectively improves the capacity retention and cycle stability of the battery.

Separator
The separator is an important part of the battery. In order to improve the performance of sulfur cathodes, the functionalization of separators is a well-established technique for suppressing LiPSs shuttle and enhancing battery cycling performance. The separator affects the interface structure and internal resistance of the battery, which directly impacts the discharge capacity, cycle life, and safety [37]. The functionalized separator must have the following characteristics: (1) it should be a good insulator; (2) it has a certain pore size and porosity, ensuring low resistance and high ion conductivity; and (3) it should be resistant to electrolyte corrosion and has good electrolyte wettability. Over the past years, many efforts have been focused on the separator modification or interlayers between the separator and sulfur cathode to improve the electrochemical performance of Li-S batteries.

Separator modifications
Wu et al. synthesized a N, S co-doped carbon framework (NSPCF) with contained CoS$_x$ nanoparticles and rooted carbon nanotubes (NSPCF@CoS$_x$), which was coated on a separator to improve the electrochemical performance of Li-S batteries, as shown in Figure 4A [38]. The CNT structure not only has a physical adsorption effect on LiPSs but also improves charge transfer, and CoS$_x$ captures LiPSs via Lewis acid-base interaction to enhance sulfur utilization. Wang et al. developed the LiX@Celgard (LiX: Li-ion exchanged X-type zeolite) separator [Figure 4B] using an in situ hydrothermal synthesis method. It improves electrolyte wettability and suppresses LiPSs shuttle [39]. Compared to the Celgard separator, the LiX@Celgard separator exhibits higher lithium-ion conductivity and diffusion coefficient. Wang et al. immobilized CoFe alloy in situ within a N-doped carbon nanocube (CoFe@NC) in order to achieve the catalytic conversion of LiPSs [Figure 4C] [40]. CoFe@NC serves a dual function. It can not only chemically adsorb and impede shuttles of LiPSs, but also catalyze the conversion of LiPSs and enhance the battery reaction kinetics. Yu et al. proposed a method to suppress the shuttle of the LiPSs by reducing the pore size of the separator [41]. Since the pores of the separator are microsized, a polymer with intrinsic nanoporosity (PIN) is designed within the pores. The separator is employed as a skeleton to preserve the good mechanical properties of its own, and the thickness of the separator is maintained. The PIN allows the transport of Li$^+$ but suppresses LiPSs shuttle. Peng et al. proposed the use of NiFe$_2$O$_4$ quantum dots (QDs) and g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanofibers to improve the heat resistance of the separator [42]. The NiFe$_2$O$_4$ QDs@g-C$_3$N$_4$ modified separator has superior flexibility and mechanical strength. It also allows rapid movement of lithium ions and enhances energy storage efficiency. In addition, the inherent non-flammability and high melting point of NiFe$_2$O$_4$ and g-C$_3$N$_4$ nanofibers help reduce the risk of combustion and the explosion of Li-S batteries [Figure 4D].

Interlayers
Tan et al. explored a thin and light (8 μm and 0.24 mg cm$^{-2}$) interlayer consisting of reduced graphene oxide@MoS$_x$ (rGO@MoS$_x$) [Figure 4A] [43]. rGO is mainly responsible for physical adsorption and conductivity, whereas MoS$_x$ is primarily responsible for the chemical adsorption of LiPSs. The Li-S battery with the interlayer shows a high reversible capacity of 1,122 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.2 C. Hu et al. embedded CoS$_x$ in hierarchical porous graphitic carbon (HPGC) as an interlayer, as shown in Figure 5B [44]. It has a high specific surface area that can be used to adsorb LiPSs, and high conductivity facilitates charge transfer. The Li-S battery with the interlayer can be stably cycled for 500 cycles at 1 C. Kim et al. designed a hierarchical Fe$_3$O$_4$/C,N$_x$ nanostructure as a multifunctional interlayer [45]. C,N$_x$ nanotubes have a high affinity for LiPSs.
Figure 4. (A) Working mechanism of modified separator in Li-S battery. Reproduced with permission from Ref.[38] (Copyright 2021, Elsevier). (B) LiX zeolite membrane-coated Celgard is used as the design principle of the separator. Reproduced with permission from Ref.[39] (Copyright 2020, Elsevier). (C) Schematic diagram of the synthesis of the CoFe@NC. Reproduced with permission from Ref.[40] (Copyright 2021, Elsevier). (D) Comparison of thermal stability of pp and NiFe$_2$O$_4$ QDs@g-C$_3$N$_4$/PP. Reproduced with permission from Ref.[42] (Copyright 2022, The Royal Society of Chemistry).

and a large surface area. The surface-modified Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanospheres accelerate polysulfide adsorption and enhance electron transport. As depicted in Figure 5C, when a battery undergoes 1,000 cycles at 2 C, the capacity loss rate per cycle is as low as 0.02%. As shown in Figure 5D, Lee et al. designed and made a redox-active interlayer consisting of a sulfur-impregnated composite of polar platelet ordered mesoporous silica (abbreviated as pOMS/S$_x$ where $x$% is the weight ratio of sulfur in the composite)[46]. First of all, pOMS/S$_x$ can add more active sulfur and increase the area capacity without adding more inactive material. Moreover, polar pOMS/S$_x$ interlayer may effectively anchor dissolved LiPSs on the surface or in the porous structure via polar-polar interactions. Thereby, it prevents the shuttle effect of LiPS corrosion of lithium metal and enhances the cycle stability of the battery.

Electrolyte additives

The ether electrolyte used in Li-S battery is typically composed of 1.0 M lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide (LiTFSI) and 1.0 wt% lithium nitrate (LiNO$_3$) in a mixture solvent of 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME)/1,3-dioxolane (DOL) (1:1 v/v). Electrolyte additives can have significant effects on the following aspects of Li-S batteries, such as altering the redox path of S, enhancing the stability
of the electrode/electrolyte interface, and inhibiting the growth of lithium dendrites. In addition, the development of functional additives is an economical and efficient approach to improving the electrochemical stability of Li-S batteries\cite{47}. As shown in Figure 6A, Guo et al. introduced a bifunctional electrolyte additive to Li-S electrolyte, i.e., 1,3,5-benzenetrithiol (BTT)\cite{14}. BTT can react with lithium metal to build a layer of organic-inorganic composite SEI on the anode, inhibiting the growth of lithium dendrite. At the same time, BTT also reacts with sulfur to generate oligomer/polymer organosulfur, thereby effectively reducing the loss of active material and improving the utilization of S. Lian et al. selected three benzenedithiols as electrolyte additives\cite{13} and experimentally proved that 1,4-benzenedithiol (1,4-BDT) among the three isomers has the best electrochemical performance\cite{14}. On the one hand, 1,4-BDT forms an effective SEI at the lithium metal surface and improves the interface stability of the anode. On the other hand, it can react with S to generate S-S bonds, change the redox path of S, and inhibit the lithium polysulfide shuttle [Figure 6B]. Similar to thiophenol, benzoselenol (PhSeH) can also be used as an electrolyte additive for Li-S batteries, and the mechanism is similar. Sun et al. added PhSeH to the electrolyte\cite{48}, and the electrochemical performance was significantly improved. The discharge capacity is as high as 1,436 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 200 cycles, and there is still a capacity retention rate of 92.86%. In addition, the battery overpotential is significantly reduced. As shown in Figure 6C, the pouch cell with a low E/S ratio of 4.0 $\mu$L mg$^{-1}$ can also be stably cycled for 20 cycles. Wang et al. introduced triazole (Ta) and tetrazole (Tta) into the electrolyte\cite{49}. First of all, there are lithium bonds between azole compounds and LiPSs, which can assist in the redox process. Secondly, it also interacts with lithium salts in the electrolyte, increasing ionic conductivity and lithium-ion transference number. Finally, it can promote the uniform deposition of lithium and improve battery safety. Jiang et al. applied 1,3,5-triformylphloroglucinol (TFP) as an electrolyte additive\cite{50}. TFP can react with LiPSs in situ to generate insoluble organic polysulfides, improving oxidation reaction kinetics. The newly generated organic polysulfides exist in the form of aggregated nanoparticles, which can adsorb unreacted polysulfides.
Therefore, TFP can effectively inhibit the shuttle effect [Figure 6D]. Zhao et al. used diphenyl diselenide (DPDSe) as a redox comediator to accelerate the redox reaction kinetics of sulfur in Li-S batteries [Figure 6E][51]. The DPDSe comediator reduces the energy barrier of the multiphase and multielectron conversion of the sulfide cathode, guarantees fast diffusion kinetics, and changes the deposition dimension of lithium sulfide without causing lithium metal to be damaged during cycling.

**ORGANOSULFIDE CATHODE**

Similar to inorganic sulfur, organosulfides can also serve as cathode materials based on the reversible breakage and reformation of S-S bonds during the discharge and charge of the battery[7,52]. The overall charge/discharge processes of linear organosulfides can be described in Equation (2). R is an organic functional group. Organosulfide is a substance with an adjustable structure, unique redox mechanism, and other favorable attributes. By controlling the functional groups, the electrochemical performance of the battery can be adjusted. For example, the introduction of N-containing functional groups can improve the cycle stability of the battery, while the electron-withdrawing functional group can increase the discharge
voltage\(^{[52]}\). At the same time, the battery capacity can be increased by increasing the length of sulfur chains. Although organosulfides have several advantages, their development was not straightforward. As early as the 1980s, organic disulfide was investigated as a cathode material for batteries. However, due to its poor capacity retention and cycle stability, it did not attract much attention\(^{[53]}\). In recent years, with the commercialization of Li-ion batteries and the pursuit of high-energy density batteries, organosulfide batteries have been re-studied and significant advancements have been made. In addition, intriguing redox mechanisms have been revealed. For example, Chen \textit{et al.} reported a small molecule sulfide as a cathode material, i.e., thiuram monosulfide. It does not have electrochemical activity in the voltage range of 2.0-3.0 V. After an initial charge to a high voltage of 3.5 V, it turns out to be a highly reversible cathode material that shows ultra-long and high-rate performance in lithium batteries\(^{[54]}\).

\[
R - S_n - R + (2n - 2) e^- + (2n - 2) Li^+ \rightarrow 2R - SLi + (n - 2) Li_2S
\]

With the rapid development of organosulfides, some of their drawbacks have gradually emerged. Organosulfide molecules as cathode materials are currently hampered by the fact that they have poor conductivity and the charged and discharged products are easily soluble in the liquid electrolyte\(^{[55]}\). Similar to the sulfur cathode, the shuttle effect also results in the loss of active materials and poor cycle stability. Therefore, to overcome the above challenges, this section summarizes three effective coping strategies: (1) transition metal compounds as the host materials; (2) organic polysulfide polymers as cathode materials; and (3) the use of solid-state electrolytes (SSEs).

**Transition metal compounds**

As host materials, transition metal complexes with excellent electrical conductivity and catalytic activity have been explored. For example, Fan \textit{et al.} used TiS\(_2\) nanosheets/CNTs (TiS\(_2\) NSs@NWCNT) as the host material for phenyl tetrasulfide (PTS)\(^{[56]}\). Due to the high conductivity and catalytic activity of TiS\(_2\), TiS\(_2\) NSs@NWCNT can be utilized as a bifunctional mediator that can anchor the discharged product PhSLi and intermediate polysulfides by chemical interactions [Figure 7A], reduce the shuttle effect, and accelerate reaction kinetics. Lv \textit{et al.} grew 1T phase MoS\(_2\) \textit{in situ} on the surface of rGO and woven it with CNTs (1T MoS\(_2\)@rGO/CNTs) as the host material for PTS, as depicted in Figure 7B\(^{[57]}\). 1T MoS\(_2\)@rGO/CNTs has abundant active sites, which can adsorb soluble PTS and discharge products. During the charging and discharging, the MoS\(_2\) dynamic 1T-2H mixed phase can boost electron transport and enhance reaction kinetics. Wang \textit{et al.} utilized diphenyl disulfide (DPDS) as a receptor for sulfur on the cathode of vanadium tetrasulfide on CNTs (VS\(_4\)/CNTs)\(^{[58]}\). When VS\(_4\) as the cathode material is charged to 3 V, TFSI\(^-\) in the electrolyte can be intercalated into VS\(_4\) [Figure 7C], resulting in the evolution of elemental sulfur. The charge mechanism is as follows: \(\text{VS}_4 + y\text{TFSI}^- \rightarrow \text{VS}_{(4-y)} (\text{TFSI})_y + y\text{S} + ye^-\). The battery capacity is reduced by 50% after 50 cycles due to the loss of sulfur. In order to prevent the formation of LiPSs, DPDS is introduced as a sulfur receptor. DPDS and sulfur are chemically combined to generate diphenyl trisulfide (DMTS) during the charge. Figure 7D demonstrates that with the addition of DPDS, the electrochemical performance of the battery has been greatly enhanced. The simplest organic trisulfide, DMTS, has a high theoretical specific capacity of 849 mAh g\(^{-1}\). However, DMTS and its charge-discharge products are easily soluble in electrolytes, resulting in a rapid decay in battery capacity. Consequently, He \textit{et al.} developed and synthesized a three-dimensional Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)/N-graphene sponge (3DFNG) as the host material for DMTS [Figure 7E]\(^{[59]}\), which could chemically anchor DMTS and its cycled products. A lithium cell with 3DFNG as the host material can be stably cycled for 500 cycles at 1 C.
Organic polysulfide polymers

In comparison to small molecular organosulfides, the specific capacity of most organic polysulfide polymers can exceed 500 mAh g\(^{-1}\), and the sulfur content can be increased by doping extra S. Therefore, organic polysulfide polymers are expected to become a practical and safe cathode material for high-energy batteries. By reacting S with unsaturated olefins, Pyun’s group produced copolymers with very high sulfur contents as the cathode materials for batteries in 2013\(^{[60]}\). These copolymers exhibit high specific capacities and capacity retention rates. Using 4, 4′-thiobisbenzenethiol (TBBT) and sulfur, Sang et al. produced a class of elastic organic polysulfide polymers\(^{[59]}\). As illustrated in Figure 7F, the sulfur content and theoretical specific capacity of the organic polysulfide polymer may be controlled by the molar ratio of TBBT to S, where the sulfur content can reach up to 50 wt% and the theoretical specific capacity is 622.1 mAh g\(^{-1}\). As depicted in Figure 7G, Sang et al. utilized BTT and S as raw materials to synthesize a class of organic polysulfide polymers without heating or additional catalysts\(^{[16]}\). When the molar ratio of BTT:S is 1:4.5, the sulfur content rises to 72 wt%, and the theoretical specific capacity can reach 901.7 mAh g\(^{-1}\). In a lithium battery, the initial discharge capacity can reach 945.1 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.1 C (the higher specific capacity than the theoretical capacity is due to the contribution of CNTs in the electrode). In addition to aromatic polysulfide compounds, aliphatic polysulfide compounds have also been extensively studied. Bhargav et al. prepared...
polyethylene hexasulfide (PEHS) cathode materials with sulfur content of up to 87 wt% by condensation reaction of 1,2-ethanediithiol with elemental sulfur[61]. The lithium battery using PEHS cathode retains 71% of its initial capacity after 350 cycles at 1 C. Zhou et al. used diallyl sulfide and sulfur to prepare an organosulfide cathode with adjustable sulfur atoms in the confined structure[62]. Among them, the prepared poly(diallyl tetrasulfide) cathode exhibits a high capacity of 700 mAh g$^{-1}$ and capacity retention of 85% after 300 cycles. Recently, Zhang et al. synthesized disulfide polymers and trisulfide polymers via a sulfur-chain controlling strategy[63]. The experimental and theoretical results show that the sulfur-rich polymers exhibit solid-solid conversion in ether-based electrolytes, thus avoiding the formation of soluble LiPSs and achieving high energy efficiency.

**Solid state electrolytes**

The traditional liquid electrolyte is volatile, flammable, and prone to leak, which poses a significant threat to the safety of the battery[64]. Additionally, it is not favorable with the use of organosulfide cathode and lithium metal anode. SSEs are a class of solid ion conductors. The dissolution of the active material is completely suppressed by using SSEs instead of liquid electrolytes. In addition, the application of SSE can prevent battery explosions caused by liquid electrolytes and lithium dendrites piercing the separator, thus enhancing battery safety[65].

Wang et al. used Li$_{6.4}$La$_{3}$Zr$_{1.4}$Ta$_{0.6}$O$_{12}$ (LLZTO) to replace commercial Celgard as a separator and assembled a semi-solid lithium metal battery with lithium 1,2-benzenedithiolate (LBDT) in liquid electrolyte as the catholyte[66]. Compared to the cell with a Celgard separator, the cell using LLZTO has a capacity retention rate of 65.6% after 100 cycles [Figure 8A]. This suggests that LLZTO can effectively prevent the dissolution of soluble organosulfides during cycling, thereby improving the cycling stability of the battery. Yang et al. used poly(trithiocyanuric acid) (PTTCA) as the cathode to assemble all-solid-state Li batteries (ASSLBs) with LiP$_{3}$S$_{11}$ (LPS) electrolyte [Figure 8B][17]. The experimental results show that SSEs can successfully address the issue of organosulfide dissolution in liquid electrolytes. At room temperature, the PTTCA cathode shows a reversible capacity of 410 mAh g$^{-1}$ under a current rate of 50 mA g$^{-1}$, which is very close to the theoretical specific capacity (450 mAh g$^{-1}$). After 100 cycles, the capacity retention is ~83%. In addition to the above organodisulfides, Ji et al. used dipentamethylenethiuram hexasulfide (PMTH) as a cathode material for sulfide-based ASSLBs[67]. The cyclic voltammetry curve of ASSLBs only displays a pair of redox peaks in comparison to batteries using liquid electrolytes, indicating that there is a one-step transition reaction between the charged state (PMTH) and the discharged state (Li$_2$S + C$_6$S$_2$H$_{10}$Li) [Figure 8C]. This indicates that the ASSLBs do not produce soluble LiPSs during cycling, effectively solving the polysulfide shuttle problem.

One of the advantages of organic materials is that we can improve battery performance by adjusting the functional groups of organic molecules. Wang et al. found that the oxygen atom of LLZTO can interact with the discharge product (LiSPhSLi), which lowers the charge transfer resistance [Figure 8D][66]. Yang et al. found that the N atom on the triazine ring of PTTCA has a lone pair of electrons that can form a coordination bond with Li$^+$ in the LPS electrolyte, allowing for close molecular interaction [Figure 8B][17]. Song et al. report a new class of deep eutectic electrolytes (DEEs) induced by Li···N interactions between 2,2′-dipyridyl disulfide (DpyDS) and LiTFSI[68]. The new DEEs exhibit a nonflammable nature and good ionic conductivity. In addition, DEEs are highly viscous and can act as binders. Wang et al. adopted the above-reported DEEs as the cathode binder for LiFePO$_4$ (LFP) ASSLBs [Figure 8E], which can well combine conductive carbon and LFP to form intimate contacts between the LLZTO electrolyte and the active material[69].
A lithium-oxygen batteries (LOBs) consists of a lithium metal anode and oxygen as the cathode. LOBs has an ultra-high energy density (3,500 Wh kg⁻¹) and the advantages of environmental friendliness and an abundant oxygen source. During the discharge, the Li on the anode loses an electron to form Li⁺, and O₂ in the cathode is reduced to peroxy-anions (O₂²⁻) by the electrons coming from the anode through the external circuit. O₂²⁻ is subsequently coupled with Li⁺ to form Li₂O₂. The charge is a reversible process. The overall charge/discharge processes of O₂ can be described in Equation (3). At present, the electrolyte commonly used in LOBs is an organic liquid, but the generated Li₂O₂ is difficult to dissolve in the electrolyte. As a result, the discharge product is deposited at the cathode to block the pores and prevent contact between the electrolyte and oxygen, resulting in poor electrochemical reversibility. At the same time, the slow formation and decomposition kinetics of the insulating discharge products result in large overpotentials. In addition, there are undesirable side reactions on the electrodes of LOBs, which significantly degrade the performance of the batteries. The key to resolving the aforementioned issues is the development of highly active cathode catalysts. This section reviews the development of carbon materials, MOFs, metals, and metal oxides as cathode catalysts for LOBs. Table 2 lists the electrochemical properties of different cathode catalysts in Li-O₂ batteries.

\[ \text{O}_2 + 2e^- + 2Li^+ \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{O}_2 \]  (3)
Table 2. Electrochemical properties of different cathode catalysts in Li-O₂ batteries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cathode catalysts</th>
<th>Discharge capacity</th>
<th>Cycles</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-doped (SPC-2)</td>
<td>20,287.5 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 200 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td>226 cycles</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se-doped</td>
<td>3,942 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 100 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-doped (100FC)</td>
<td>18,230 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 150 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td>187 cycles</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni²⁺-NCF</td>
<td>16,800 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 500 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td>200 cycles</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiRu-HTP</td>
<td>15,080 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 500 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td>200 cycles</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tz-Mg-MOF-74</td>
<td>7,700 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 50 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td>278 cycles</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-MOF/MXene</td>
<td>34,763 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 1,000 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-Pd-Au-Ru</td>
<td>9,130 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 125 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td>20 cycles at a current density of 500 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co/Fe@NC</td>
<td>17,326 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 125 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td>250 cycles</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoFeCe</td>
<td>12,340 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 100 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td>over 2,900 h</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCoFeO@NF</td>
<td>16,727 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 500 mA g⁻¹</td>
<td>over 790 h</td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carbon material

Carbon materials have inherent advantages such as excellent electrical conductivity, and adjustable electronic and porous structure. However, traditional carbon materials such as Super P, CNTs, and graphene have limited catalytic activity. With this in mind, various physical and chemical modifications, such as heteroatom doping, have been applied to improve the catalytic activity of carbon materials. The charge redistribution caused by the difference in electronegativity between heteroatoms and carbon atoms can impart carbon with a whole new electrochemical property. Yi et al. found through calculations using periodic density functional theory that doping N atoms on the surface of CNTs affects both the atomic distribution and electrical conductivity. N-doped CNT cathode shows increased activity for the adsorption of LiₓOᵧ species compared to CNT cathode. Huang et al. synthesized a carbon catalyst obtained from tree sawdust and subjected it to surface phosphatization. The doped P element forms graphitic N-P sites with the nitrogen in pyrrolic-N rather than graphitic C-P sites, which is favorable for preventing the corrosion of the sawdust carbon during battery cycling. According to theoretical calculations and experimental research, the graphitic N-P sites can be employed as a reaction kinetic promoter for the formation and decomposition of Li₂O₂. As a result, the LOBs employing the sawdust-derived P-doped catalysts exhibit outstanding electrochemical performance. A typical S-group element with strong oxidation resistance is selenium (Se). A carbon cathode material with selenium was reported by Qian et al. The cathode material has remarkable oxidation resistance, which effectively prevents cathode passivation and side reactions.

In addition to carbon compounds doped with heteroatoms such as N and P, there are rarely reported carbon materials doped with F. Due to the high electronegativity of F, the semi-ionic C-F bond produced by F renders the carbon doped with F acidic and appears as an electron acceptor, leading to an extremely stable material with enhanced electron transfer capability. Recently, Ma et al. prepared N, O, S, and F co-doped porous carbon materials. The results indicate that appropriate F doping can optimize the electronic structure of the porous carbon substrate so that the affinity between the discharge product Li₂O₂ and the substrate is moderate. It promotes the adsorption of the product on the surface and the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) reaction kinetics of the battery. Theoretical calculations reveal that the doped F atoms could easily anchor Li₂O₂ via the Coulombic force, and it is easy to transport electrons to the electrode via the Li-F-C channel, which facilitates the de-lithiation process of Li₂O₂. Based on the above characteristics, an appropriate amount of the F-doped porous carbon material applied to the LOBs enables excellent electrochemical performance.
Figure 9. (A) Cycling performance at various current densities. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [75] (Copyright 2022, Wiley-VCH GmbH). (B) The corresponding energy barrier reducing mechanism, $E_g$ is the intrinsic excitation energy of Li$_2$O$_2$, $eU$ is the interfacial charge transfer (ICT) barrier; electrochemical performances of the Li-O$_2$ battery with 0 FC or 100 FC cathode. (Different amounts (0, 100 mg, 200 mg) of tetrafluoroterephthalic acid were used to adjust the content of doped F, and the corresponding products were denoted as 0 FC, 100 FC, and 200 FC, respectively.) Reproduced with permission from Ref. [18] (Copyright 2022, Elsevier). (C) Ni$^{III}$-NCF and Ni$^{II}$-NCF cathode discharge mechanisms. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [77] (Copyright 2021, Wiley-VCH GmbH). (D) preparation of the flexible hybrid free-standing film using a layer-by-layer approach. Reproduced with permission from Ref. [19] (Copyright 2021, Elsevier).

Metal-organic frameworks

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of porous materials with adjustable composition and controllable pore size structure. MOFs and their derivatives can be employed as catalysts for LOBs due to their atomically dispersed metal centers and large specific surface area [88]. The electrocatalytic activity of a catalyst is closely related to the electronic structure of its central metal, such as its spin configuration and oxidation state, which affects its performance [89]. Lv et al. obtained a conductive nickel catecholate framework (Ni$^{III}$-NCF) as a bifunctional catalyst by adjusting the spin state of the Ni$^{II}$ site of Ni$^{II}$-NCF. The regulation of the spin state enhances the covalence of nickel-oxygen in Ni$^{III}$-NCF [77], promotes the electron exchange between the Ni site and the oxygen adsorbent, and accelerates the redox kinetics of oxygen. The high affinity of the Ni$^{II}$ site with the intermediate LiO$_2$ promotes the formation of Li$_2$O$_2$ nanosheets in the void space of Ni$^{III}$-NCF nanowires after discharge [Figure 9C]. This reduces the battery overpotential and improves rate performance and cycle stability. Recently, Lv et al. designed the conductive bimetallic MOF NiRu-hexaiminotriphenylene (NiRu-HTP) by ion exchange and employed it as a useful cathode catalyst for LOBs. NiRu-HTP has a higher current density, a higher ORR initial potential of 2.76 V, and a lower OER starting potential of 2.98 V than Ni-hexaiminotriphenylene (Ni-HTP). Additionally, the NiRu-HTP-based LOBs exhibit a 0.76 V lower charge/discharge polarization [78]. Currently, the majority of related research focuses on adding redox-active metal centers to MOFs. Furthermore, organic redox-active compounds may be added to MOFs. To create a 1,2,4,5-tetrazine (Tz)-Mg-MOF-74 cathode for LOBs, Li et al. anchored redox-active Tz molecules in porous MOFs [79]. The benefits of MOF structure and organic redox molecules can be used to improve the electrochemical performance of MOF-based electrode materials by accelerating the electrochemical reaction kinetics of LOBs during charge and discharge.
The traditional slurry preparation process of cathodes could result in catalyst agglomeration and poor uniformity. Zhang et al. inserted 2D Co-MOF nanosheets between MXene layers based on a layer-upon-layer self-assembly strategy to prepare a self-supporting flexible Co-MOF/MXene hybrid film [Figure 9D][19]. It has a two-dimensional sheet structure and high ORR catalytic activity, which can provide fast Li\(^{+}/O_2\) transport channels and promote the formation and decomposition of Li\(_2\)O\(_2\). LOBs based on Co-MOF/MXene composite film as the cathode exhibit ultra-high capacity (34,763 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at a current density of 1,000 mA g\(^{-1}\)) and excellent cycle stability (278 cycles, limited capacity of 1,000 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at a current density of 1,000 mA g\(^{-1}\)).

**Metals and metal oxides**

Metals and metal oxides are regarded as ideal catalysts for LOBs due to their excellent catalytic effect on the formation and decomposition of Li\(_2\)O\(_2\), which can significantly lower the overpotential of the battery and improve cycle stability[80]. The employment of precious metal catalysts in LOBs improves O\(_2\) binding energy, which is favorable to the second electron transfer, hence boosting the formation of Li\(_2\)O\(_2\). Simultaneously, it can decrease the decomposition energy barrier of Li\(_2\)O\(_2\) and achieve fast diffusion of O\(_2\). Polymetallic catalysts can exert synergistic catalytic effects and improve the electrochemical performance of LOBs compared to single-metal catalysts. Jung et al. prepared 14 oxygen cathode catalysts composed of four elements: Pt, Pd, Au, and Ru, on carbon nanofibers by the joule heating route[80]. As shown in Figure 10A, the quaternary nanoparticles (Pt-Pd-Au-Ru) exhibit the lowest overpotential (~0.45 V) and highest discharge capacity (9,130 mAh g\(^{-1}\), based on total cathode mass).

Due to the high price and scarcity of resources, the widespread use of precious metals is limited, while other transition metals with relatively inexpensive costs and good catalytic activity have been studied. Li et al. developed an organic bimetallic coordination polymer based on Co and Fe [Figure 10B] in the form of rod-like N-doped carbon (Co/Fe@NC) coated with Co and Fe nanoparticles that were employed as a cathode catalyst for LOBs[81]. The rod of N-doped carbon not only improves conductivity but also provides rich pores for the diffusion of Li\(^{+}\) and O\(_2\). Doped bimetals can greatly enhance ORR/OER activity; Fe-N\(_x\) sites are advantageous for enhancing ORR activity, and so are the dosed Co-N\(_x\) sites. The use of Co/Fe@NC as a
A cathode catalyst enables the battery to cycle stably for more than 250 cycles and to display a high discharge capacity of 17,326 mAh g\(^{-1}\). Transition metal oxides can also catalyze the formation and decomposition of Li\(_2\)O\(_2\). Sun et al. prepared ternary metal oxides (CoFeCeO\(_x\)) with amorphous/crystalline heterostructures by a one-step solvothermal method and prepared highly active CoFeCeO\(_x\) cathode catalysts with kinetic and thermodynamic stability by precisely adjusting the ratios of Co, Fe, and Ce [Figure 10C][20]. Due to the coexistence of amorphous and crystalline domains, abundant active sites are exposed, the adsorption of the intermediate product LiO\(_2\) is enhanced, and the ORR reaction kinetics is improved. As shown in Figure 10D, Ren et al. synthesized Fe-doped binary NiCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) (NiCoFeO@NF) as a cathode catalyst. Based on theoretical calculations[82], the introduction of iron in NiCo\(_2\)O\(_4\) can adjust the transition metal-3d-\(e_g\) electron occupancy, thereby increasing the covalent of the transition metal-oxygen bond, reducing the energy barrier of Li\(_2\)O\(_2\) formation and decomposition, making the discharge capacity of the battery as high as 16,727 mAh g\(^{-1}\).

CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

Conversion-based electrode materials have the advantages of high theoretical specific capacity and energy density, which can meet the increasing demand for large-scale energy storage. In recent years, lithium-sulfur and lithium-organosulfide batteries have been developed rapidly and are expected to be close to commercial applications. Lithium-oxygen batteries use oxygen as the active material, which has the advantages of ultra-high energy density and sustainability. This review summarizes the recent research efforts on the above three conversion-based electrode materials, including the use of a variety of cathode host materials, electrolyte additives, solid-state electrolytes, cathode catalysts, and other strategies to improve the electrochemical performance of batteries, aiming to realize a new generation of safe and efficient energy storage systems.

Although conversion-based electrode materials have great advantages, they still face challenges for practical applications, such as poor conductivity, high solubility, high dependence on electrolyte, etc. Therefore, future research efforts should be focused on the following aspects: (1) development of novel, highly conductive carbon-based or nitrogen-doped electrode materials to increase the utilization of active materials; (2) development of electrolytes to decrease the solubility of active materials; (3) application of solid-state electrolytes to reduce the crossover of active materials and improve battery safety; (4) exploration of conversion-based electrode materials in a variety of battery systems, such as Na, Mg, liquid flow, etc.; (5) introduction of advanced characterization techniques to reveal redox mechanisms of batteries; and (6) development of low-cost, simple synthesis methods to meet large-scale production. In summary, conversion-based electrode materials have the potential to meet future energy storage needs, and we hope that this review can inspire more interest in developing new electrode materials that can enable high-energy battery systems for future applications.
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