

Review

Open Access



Sociodemographic disparities in thirdhand smoke exposure among children in the United States: a narrative review

Laila Hamzai¹ , Penelope JE Quintana¹ , Georg E. Matt², Thomas E. Novotny¹, E. Melinda Mahabee-Gittens^{3,4}, Ashley L. Merianos⁵, Nathan G. Dodder¹, Nicolas Lopez-Galvez¹, Eunha Hoh¹

¹School of Public Health, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA 92182, USA.

²Department of Psychology, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA 92182, USA.

³Division of Emergency Medicine, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH 45229, USA.

⁴Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH 45267, USA.

⁵School of Human Services, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA.

Correspondence to: Laila Hamzai, School of Public Health, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Dr, San Diego, CA 92182, USA. E-mail: lhamzai9749@sdsu.edu

How to cite this article: Hamzai L, Quintana PJ, Matt GE, Novotny TE, Mahabee-Gittens EM, Merianos AL, Dodder NG, Lopez-Galvez N, Hoh E. Sociodemographic disparities in thirdhand smoke exposure among children in the United States: a narrative review. *J Environ Expo Assess* 2024;3:29. <https://dx.doi.org/10.20517/jeea.2024.27>

Received: 9 Aug 2024 **First Decision:** 29 Sep 2024 **Revised:** 20 Nov 2024 **Accepted:** 29 Nov 2024 **Published:** 24 Dec 2024

Academic Editors: Giulia Poma, Stuart Harrad **Copy Editor:** Pei-Yun Wang **Production Editor:** Pei-Yun Wang

Abstract

Thirdhand smoke (THS) is the toxic residue left behind by tobacco smoke that persists indoors on carpets, furniture, toys, and other items. Children are especially vulnerable to THS exposure due to their hand-to-mouth behaviors, activity near the floor, and developing organs. We provide a scoping narrative review of potential sociodemographic disparities in exposure to THS among children in the United States. Articles were obtained by conducting a search in the PubMed, SCOPUS, and CINAHL databases. Other relevant papers were also reviewed and integrated using narrative synthesis. Studies relevant to THS exposure among 0 to 17-year-olds and conducted in the United States were included. We identified lower socioeconomic status (SES), race, younger age (2-4 years), and living in multiunit housing (MUH) as potential contributors to higher THS exposure risk in children. Lower income was associated with greater THS exposure. THS exposure was higher in Black children, although the number of studies involving them was limited. Examining disparities in exposure and susceptibility to THS can inform future policies to reduce children's environmental exposure to toxic tobacco chemicals in THS. Housing type is likely a primary contributor to exposure disparities, as low-income children are more likely to live in multiunit, rented housing, where tobacco smoke can travel across housing units and where THS residue from former tenants



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



can persist. Policies limiting persistent THS residue indoors through comprehensive smoking bans and expanded outreach on approaches to reduce THS exposures among children are needed.

Keywords: Children, thirdhand smoke, health disparities, tobacco, environmental exposures

INTRODUCTION

Thirdhand smoke (THS) is the toxic chemical residue originating from secondhand smoke (SHS) that remains in indoor environments^[1]. THS can also be transported into nonsmoking environments by people and items exposed to tobacco smoke (e.g., clothing and furniture)^[2]. THS residues are found on indoor surfaces, including carpets, furniture, toys, and bedding. THS contaminates house dust^[3] and building materials (e.g., drywall), and it includes semi-volatile compounds that can be re-emitted into ambient air^[1]. THS toxicants include nicotine, 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone (NNK), and other tobacco-specific nitrosamines (TSNAs), heavy metals (lead, cadmium)^[4,5], and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons^[6-8]. Chemical reactions between THS constituents and environmental oxidants can yield secondary contaminants that are more toxic than the original compounds^[7]. One example is the reaction of nicotine and indoor oxidants [e.g., nitrous acid (HONO)] to form NNK, a potent carcinogen^[9].

THS is persistent and detected at least six months after residents have ceased smoking in or vacated their homes^[3,10]. Matt *et al.* measured significant THS in multiunit housing (MUH) where there had been no smoking for \geq eight years^[11]. Consequently, when nonsmoking tenants and their children move into a home previously occupied by smokers, they are exposed to THS^[3]. We use the term MUH to refer to separate housing units for residents that are within a single building. These units have shared walls and sometimes shared ventilation and hallways; examples include apartments in a complex and condominiums.

Methods to detect THS include collecting and testing indoor dust and surface wipe samples for nicotine^[3,10-12], NNK^[13], and other TSNAs^[10]. In non-smoking homes, air nicotine serves as a marker for THS contamination in previously contaminated environments^[3]. It should be noted that nicotine may also be detected where e-cigarettes containing nicotine have been used^[14]. In SHS exposure studies, THS may be identified by nicotine found in house dust^[15], on surfaces^[16], and on hands or fingers, particularly among children^[17-19]. Researchers have classified THS exposure among children as living with someone who smokes, even if not at home^[20-22]. Biomarkers of exposure in nonsmoking environments or in studies of SHS and THS include serum cotinine^[23], urinary cotinine^[24-26], salivary cotinine^[27-29], and urinary 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol (NNAL, the metabolite of NNK)^[19,25,30]. There are no currently validated biomarkers specific to THS exposure, although compounds such as 4-(methylnitrosamino)-4-(3-pyridyl)butanal (NNA) have been reported as unique to THS^[1,31].

Both *in vitro* and animal studies suggest that THS exposure may result in adverse human health consequences. *In vitro* exposure to THS extracts resulted in genotoxic effects^[32] and metabolic alterations^[33] in cell systems as well as damage to lung cells^[34]. Studies with mice models report alterations in multiple organ systems, including an increased risk of liver disease^[35-38] and lung cancer^[39]. THS exposure among mice has been linked to delayed wound healing^[38], insulin resistance^[40], alterations in the immune response^[41], and behavioral changes such as hyperactivity^[38].

There are limited human studies on the adverse health effects of THS. However, among THS-exposed children, studies demonstrate alterations in gut microbiomes^[42,43], increased gastrointestinal problems^[44], respiratory symptoms^[45], bacterial illnesses^[24], oral health problems^[46], inadequate sleep patterns^[47,48], and

exposure to NNK^[25,30,49-51]. Dermal THS exposure among nonsmoking adults has resulted in harmful inflammatory effects similar to actual cigarette smoking, with alterations in the human plasma proteome and elevations in urinary oxidative stress biomarkers^[52]. Further research is needed to quantify and better understand the direct toxicity of THS on human health.

In this paper, we review current evidence on THS contamination and exposure among children in the United States. This review differs from other reviews of THS^[1,7,8,53-57] in that we focus on potential disparities in children's exposure related to sociodemographic factors. Other reviews have focused on chemical composition, toxicity, exposure, health effects, and policy implications. Our narrative scoping review examines the available literature on disparities and highlights the need for additional studies and approaches to reduce THS exposure in vulnerable populations.

METHODS

We searched the PubMed, SCOPUS, and CINAHL databases on October 7, 2024, using the following terms: (thirdhand OR "third hand") AND (smoke OR cigarette OR tobacco) AND (child OR children OR children's OR infant), for papers on THS exposure among children. The search yielded 376 results across the three databases. We used Covidence, a web-based management software, to eliminate duplicates, to allow for dual reviewers, and to track the screening process of each reviewer. The search results (e.g., reference and abstract) were imported into Covidence, and once duplicates were removed, there were 183 citations remaining [Supplementary Figure 1]. Two reviewers (LH and PJEQ) evaluated the 183 abstracts independently based on criteria developed by the research team (described below). Once all abstracts were screened individually by both reviewers, they met to discuss and resolve any disagreements. Studies were included if they were relevant to THS exposure among 0-17-year-old children and conducted in the United States. We limited the review to studies from the United States as most THS research using objective field measurements (e.g., THS residue in dust, on surfaces, and from hand wipes) has been conducted in the United States. Twenty-eight publications from the search met the inclusion criteria. Other papers were excluded for not being original research ($n = 64$), laboratory or chamber studies ($n = 14$), studies not including THS measures and not conducted in the United States ($n = 29$), studies including THS objective measures but not conducted in the United States ($n = 4$), field studies but not involving children ($n = 33$), or primarily focusing on SHS or not distinguishing THS ($n = 11$). The Thirdhand Smoke Research Collection was also reviewed for publications relevant to THS exposure disparities among children^[58]. One additional paper was identified and included, a seminal paper published before the term THS was used^[59]. A list of all 29 studies is available in the supplemental materials [Supplementary Table 1]. Although these 29 studies met the criteria for focusing on THS exposure among children in the United States, they did not all assess disparities in exposure. We reviewed all papers to extract information that could be relevant to disparities (e.g., income, housing type, etc.) and compiled them to narratively identify potential disparities in exposure. The papers that did not include information related to disparities were still integrated in other sections of this report (e.g., background on THS and adverse health among children).

CHILDREN ARE VULNERABLE TO THS EXPOSURE

Children are susceptible to indoor dust exposure, both from surfaces and airborne particles, due to their behaviors and physiology^[60]. Children spend more time than adults on or near the floor, where they can touch or resuspend and inhale house dust, and their hand-to-mouth and exploratory behaviors amplify THS exposure^[60]. Due to their smaller size, children inhale and ingest more toxicants per kg than adults in the same environment. Their developing organs, limited ability to clear toxicants, and inability to remove themselves from THS exposure places them at greater risk for potential adverse health effects associated with such exposure^[49,61,62]. A seminal THS study identified multiple sources of exposure for children^[59].

Nicotine was detected in the air, on surfaces, and in settled house dust, regardless of whether mothers smoked inside or only outside the home. Additionally, infants may be exposed to nicotine via the hands of caregivers, as nicotine was detected on the index fingers of maternal smokers^[59].

Hand nicotine measurement among children is used as a marker of THS exposure. In a study of 104 children of smokers, 2-4-year-olds had the highest hand nicotine levels compared to younger and older children^[63]. In another study of 193 children < 12 years old, it was reported that 2-4-year-olds had the highest levels of hand nicotine^[17]. Mahabee-Gittens *et al.* reported nonlinear relationships between age and hand nicotine in children who lived with a smoker and were tested during emergency room visits; children aged 2-4 years had the highest hand nicotine levels compared to other age groups^[24]. Although biomarkers cannot distinguish between SHS and THS exposure, negative correlations between age and both urinary cotinine and NNAL have been reported among children who lived with a smoker^[25].

Surprisingly, Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICUs) can also provide environmental THS exposure to newborn infants, despite strict no-smoking hospital policies^[26]. Northrup *et al.* (2021) reported that over 90% of NICU bedside furniture was contaminated with nicotine^[26], which would subject infants to ongoing exposure to nicotine and potentially other THS pollutants for the duration of their time in the NICU. Another study by Northrup *et al.* also demonstrated that nicotine could be transported into the NICU by visitors and staff^[18]. Approximately 80% of NICU staff had detectable levels of nicotine on their fingers^[18], suggesting that handwashing and hand sanitizing may not fully remove nicotine residues^[64]. A longitudinal study of discharged NICU patients found that although homes with indoor smoking bans had lower levels of THS residues compared to those without bans, smoking bans did not eliminate THS inside the homes^[29].

SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC STATUS AND THS EXPOSURE

Disparities in SHS exposure by race, income, and education level are well-documented, particularly among nonsmokers^[65]. MUH residents in California were more likely than single-family housing residents to be smokers^[66]. Racial/ethnic minorities and individuals with low incomes were also more likely to live in MUH^[66]. These disparities in SHS exposure are relevant for THS exposure because THS results from SHS in indoor environments^[1]. An estimated three million children < 6 years old are exposed to SHS at least four days a week in the United States^[31,67]. Although there has been an overall decline in SHS exposure in the United States since 1999, there remains disproportionately higher SHS exposure among children from low socioeconomic status (SES) families^[15,17,20,21,23,28]. A study using serum cotinine to assess exposure reported that SES factors such as lower income and maternal education were significant predictors of increased SHS exposure in children^[28]. Residential instability, defined as the number of times a family moves homes, also increases the odds of exposure for children^[28]. SHS exposure disparities are relevant to THS exposure in the following ways: SHS exposure at home also exposes children to THS residues that contribute to their total toxicant exposure. In addition, SHS exposure in low-income communities increases the likelihood of children's tobacco toxicant exposure in THS-contaminated homes, as THS persists even after smokers move out^[3].

Family income may correlate inversely with THS exposure in children, suggesting that it may be an important factor to consider for disparities in exposure. Among 504 children who were not exposed to SHS (i.e., no parental smoking and home smoking bans), those with family incomes of ≤ \$15,000 had 5.7 times higher levels of hand nicotine contamination compared to children from families with incomes of ≥ \$30,000^[17]. Merianos *et al.* (2023) assessed surface levels of the carcinogen NNK in the homes of 84 children who lived with a smoker and found higher levels in homes with household incomes of ≤ \$15,000 compared to > \$15,000^[68]. Child-occupied households with low parental income had significantly higher

concentrations of nicotine in household dust compared to those with higher incomes, despite no smoking among either group of parents^[15].

THS-exposed children in one study also faced structural barriers to achieving better health. Children aged 6-11 years exposed to THS (defined as living with a smoker who did not smoke inside the home) had a significantly lower number of total neighborhood amenities such as sidewalks and parks compared to non-exposed children^[20]. Those with THS exposure were also more likely to report moving more often and having mold in the home^[69]. Their odds of food and financial insecurity were also higher compared to children with no THS exposure^[21].

MUH AS A SOURCE OF THS EXPOSURE

Housing type, specifically MUH, contributes to THS exposure disparities. Established THS detection methods (e.g., surface nicotine levels measured via wipes, TSNA levels measured via dust samples) suggest that THS can travel to other non-smoking homes and persist in homes where previous tenants smoked, despite cleaning efforts^[3,10,11]. In homes occupied by smokers who later quit, Matt *et al.* found that THS contaminants, such as nicotine and TSNA, can remain in homes even six months after smoking cessation among occupants^[10]. In homes of former smokers that underwent standard deep cleaning in preparation for new nonsmoking tenants, nicotine levels decreased, but after six months, these homes still had significantly higher THS contamination compared to homes of nonsmokers^[3]. THS-contaminated MUH low-income homes achieved lower levels of dust nicotine after a standard deep cleaning, but levels rebounded after three months, indicating hard-to-remove persistent reservoirs in MUH^[70,71]. These findings suggest that THS contamination in homes is not eliminated by smoking cessation or with standard cleaning methods. More intensive cleaning methods are needed to fully remove THS and thus address potential disparities in exposure and possible health effects for lower SES MUH occupants.

A cross-sectional study that assessed THS levels in 220 low-income MUH homes in California confirmed the presence of THS in all homes, including nonsmoking homes^[11]. Although surface nicotine levels were typically higher in homes where occupants or visitors smoked indoors (Median = 297 g/m²), compared to the overall level in all homes (Median = 1.47 g/m²), the homes with the two highest surface nicotine concentrations were nonsmoking homes^[11]. Follow-up with occupants of the unit with the second highest nicotine concentration (2,586 g/m²) revealed that a previous resident had smoked in the home until stopping three years prior to sample collection^[11]. In a study of surface nicotine concentrations in subsidized private MUH housing in Ohio, mean nicotine surface levels in non-smoking homes (11.4 g/m²) were higher than those in the California study, and mean nicotine surface levels in smokers' homes were 90.9 g/m²^[16]. In addition to greater THS exposure among nonsmoking MUH residents due to higher housing turnover rates, SHS from neighbors can also drift into adjacent spaces in MUH.

Recent studies have reported disparities in SHS and THS exposure among children according to housing type. Merianos *et al.* found that serum cotinine levels among children declined from 1999 to 2014; however, exposure was more than two times more likely among children living in homes that were rented, and a majority of these were in MUH^[23]. In addition, low-income children living in MUH had significantly higher salivary cotinine measurements compared to children residing in single-family homes^[27].

RACE/ETHNICITY AND THS EXPOSURE

Few publications have focused on race/ethnicity with regard to THS exposure among children^[17,21]. SHS exposure is known to be associated with race/ethnicity^[23,72]. Findings that Black children were disproportionately exposed to SHS also have implications for THS exposure^[72-74]. Based on a national

sample, Black children were more likely than White children to be exposed to SHS/THS (measured by serum cotinine), and Hispanic children were less likely than White children to be exposed^[23]. Matt *et al.* reported significantly higher levels of nicotine on the hands of children of Black parents compared to children of White parents^[17]. In their analysis of a national survey on children's health, Mahabee-Gittens *et al.* found that non-Hispanic White children had the highest prevalence rates of THS exposure (58.2%)^[21]. THS exposure was defined as living with a smoker who did not smoke in the home. The prevalence of THS exposure was 22.2% among Hispanic children compared with 9.5% among Black children; however, SHS + THS exposure was higher among Black compared to Hispanic children (23.0% vs. 6.1% respectively).

In some regions of the United States, a significant proportion of Hispanic families live in MUH, which could increase the risk of THS exposure among their children. In California, 45% of the Hispanic population lives in MUH^[75]. Through focus group discussions with Hispanic parents living in MUH, Rendón *et al.* found that participants were generally aware of the potentially adverse health impacts of SHS/THS exposure^[75]. However, they expressed reluctance to negotiate with neighbors who smoked nearby, citing language and fear of retaliation as major barriers.

These findings underscore the need for further research on racial disparities and the intersection of race, ethnicity, SES, poverty, and living in MUH as risks for THS exposure and potential adverse health effects.

PROTECTIVE FACTORS AGAINST THS EXPOSURE

Given the limited research on THS exposure among children, as well as the ubiquitous nature of THS, few effective protective factors have been identified. Living in single-family homes and having higher incomes are associated with lower THS exposure among children^[17,27]. Home smoking bans can also help lower THS exposure. Children who lived in homes with smoking bans had significantly lower levels of nicotine on their hands and lower urinary NNAL^[19]. In Quintana *et al.*'s study (2024), NNK was detected on pillows deployed in smoking homes with no bans and not on pillows from the other homes^[76]. A longitudinal study by Matt *et al.* (2023) examined hand nicotine levels among children of smokers who presented to the emergency department or urgent care and again six weeks later at a home visit. Those with home smoking bans had lower levels of hand nicotine six weeks later compared to their initial measurement, while children without home smoking bans had higher hand nicotine levels six weeks later compared to their initial measurement^[77].

Parental beliefs can affect home smoking bans and, thus, potential exposure to THS. A pilot study tested an educational intervention on THS among smoking caregivers of children presenting to urgent care; among 12 people who received the intervention, 25% changed their home and car smoking policies^[78]. Although this was a pilot study with a small sample, educational interventions on THS may have the potential to promote home smoking bans^[78]. Among a national sample of 1,478 participants, 93% of respondents believed SHS is harmful to children, but only 61% believed THS is harmful to children^[79]. Having the belief that THS is harmful to children's health was associated with having strict nonsmoking rules in the home^[79]. Increasing levels of education have also been positively associated with implementing smoking bans: college graduates were over four times more likely to have household smoking bans compared to those who did not complete high school^[79]. Record *et al.* (2024) reported that among 363 parents in California, those with higher education attainment were more likely to have endorsed THS-protective behaviors^[80]. This indicates that parental educational status needs to be considered when planning information campaigns related to THS. Gatzke-Kopp *et al.* identified time spent in a daycare center as a protective factor. This suggests that measures to make spaces free of THS contamination are protective^[28].

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We identified the following factors that may create or exacerbate disparities in THS exposure among children: lower SES, Black race, younger age (2-4 years), and living in MUH. Although Black children were reported to have greater THS exposure, studies of minority populations are limited, highlighting the need for additional studies. THS can further exacerbate health disparities among low SES populations who live in MUH. Low-income housing has a high risk of THS contamination and should be considered a major contributor to THS exposure disparities as children in these settings face a combination of risk factors: low household income and living in MUH which may have THS contamination from previous tenants or neighbors. Additionally, there is no nationwide policy that bans indoor smoking in all low-income housing. In settings with THS protective policies (e.g., public housing^[81]), those living there may not necessarily benefit from these policies due to a lack of implementation and enforcement. It should also be highlighted that the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in more time spent at home for children living in MUH during school closures, which may have increased THS exposure^[82] and exacerbated already existing disparities in exposure.

Limitations of this review include a lack of information in published studies allowing for comparisons of THS exposure by race/ethnicity and household income of participants. In addition, most studies were conducted across only a few geographic locations within the United States, thus limiting generalizability. Aside from a third of the studies that analyzed national survey data (e.g., National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, National Survey of Children's Health), most of the remaining studies were based in California or Ohio. The limited regional representation across studies can increase the risk of bias. For example, California has strict anti-smoking laws, which is not the case across all other states; therefore, exposure disparities may be greater elsewhere. Other considerations for bias in studies include participant selection that tends to capture those from low-income backgrounds (e.g., recruitment through Women, Infants and Children Supplemental Nutrition Program sites). Lastly, medical mistrust may have underestimated exposures reported as some studies took place in medical settings. To our knowledge, this is the first review to focus on disparities in THS exposure among children. Because THS research is still an emerging topic area, studies were quite limited overall, and thus, a systematic review is not possible at this time. However, collaborative research partnerships have been formed to advance the field (e.g., California Consortium on Thirdhand Smoke)^[1,83].

There is a need to standardize THS contamination and exposure measurements for children in order to document disparities and link these disparities to adverse health outcomes. Validated measures to distinguish THS from SHS exposures are lacking. In the studies reviewed here, THS was assessed through questionnaires^[20,21,44,46,48,69,84], surface wipes^[11,16,68], dust^[15], children's hand wipes^[17,24,63,76,77,85], pillows^[76], and/or biomarkers^[23,25,27,28,30,76]. Most biomarker measures were for nicotine^[3,10,11,17,24,63,74] or its metabolite cotinine^[23,25,27,28,73,76]. Relatively few studies measured other markers such as NNK or NNAL^[25,30,51,74,76]. The most accurate measurements are through direct THS sampling on surfaces, dust, or hands. Mahabee-Gittens *et al.* reported that hand nicotine may be a reliable source of data on THS exposure, given the associations found between hand nicotine levels and the presence of home smoking bans^[19,85]. A limitation of using nicotine on surfaces as a marker for THS contamination is that the sources of nicotine contamination could be due to cigarettes and/or electronic nicotine delivery systems (e.g., e-cigarettes)^[14,86], causing THS contamination from tobacco smoke to be overestimated when vaping has taken place. It is important, however, to note that nicotine on surfaces from thirdhand vape also poses risks, as nicotine has been shown to be converted by common indoor oxidants to the potent carcinogen NNK and other TSNAs^[9]. Surface samples collected from vape shops had a higher ratio of NNK to nicotine than seen in thirdhand tobacco smoke surface samples^[68,87,88]. Therefore, though nicotine on surfaces may indicate both

THS and thirdhand vape, risks to children may occur under both scenarios. However, thirdhand vape is less likely to contain high levels of other toxicants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and heavy metals measured in THS, and better markers for THS from tobacco smoke are needed.

Reducing THS exposure among children necessitates better detection methods for THS. Although methods to detect THS contamination in homes are improving, there are difficulties in establishing public standards for “safe” exposure levels^[31]. One approach might be to model THS testing requirements on those for lead and radon testing (e.g., when selling a home)^[89]. The US Environmental Protection Agency recently proposed a strict rule to eliminate lead contamination in homes^[90]. Since indoor smoking is a source of lead in house dust^[5], smoking bans and THS remediation may be considered a part of interventions to reduce lead exposure. Furthermore, following the precautionary principle^[91], policies to prevent smoking in MUH complexes (indoors and outdoors within the complex) would reduce THS exposure among vulnerable children^[31]. The US Department of Housing and Urban Development proposed a rule in 2017 mandating that all public housing agencies implement smoke-free policies^[81]; these must be more effectively implemented and enforced^[17]. Indoor smoking leads to pervasive THS-contaminated housing, which affects children living with smokers, future tenants, and neighbors. Information about reducing THS exposure among children should be included in caregiver education and outreach that targets other forms of environmental contamination, such as house dust in the home^[92]. Dust reduction will also reduce children’s exposure to toxic chemicals in THS.

This review summarizes current information regarding disparities in THS exposure among children, and these results should be considered in efforts to equitably address the health consequences of smoking, not only for people who smoke but for the most vulnerable people who do not smoke.

DECLARATIONS

Authors’ contributions

Conceptualization, search strategy, study screening, manuscript writing - original draft, manuscript writing - review and editing: Hamzai L

Conceptualization, search strategy, manuscript writing - feedback, review, and editing: Quintana PJE

Conceptualization, manuscript writing - feedback, review, and editing: Matt GE, Novotny TE

Manuscript writing - feedback, review, and editing: Mahabee-Gittens EM, Merianos AL, Dodder NG, Lopez-Galvez N, Hoh E

Availability of data and materials

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the [Supplementary Materials](#).

Financial support and sponsorship

This work was supported by the Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program (TRDRP) [28PT-0079, T32PT6042, T32PT6244, T33PC6863]; the National Institute on Drug Abuse [K01DA044313]; and the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences [R21ES032161, R01ES030743, R01ES027815].

Conflicts of interest

All authors declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Copyright

© The Author(s) 2024.

REFERENCES

1. Jacob P 3rd, Benowitz NL, Destaillets H, et al. Thirdhand smoke: new evidence, challenges, and future directions. *Chem Res Toxicol* 2017;30:270-94. DOI PubMed PMC
2. Sheu R, Stönnner C, Ditto JC, Klüpfel T, Williams J, Gentner DR. Human transport of thirdhand tobacco smoke: a prominent source of hazardous air pollutants into indoor nonsmoking environments. *Sci Adv* 2020;6:eaay4109. DOI PubMed PMC
3. Matt GE, Quintana PJ, Zakarian JM, et al. When smokers move out and non-smokers move in: residential thirdhand smoke pollution and exposure. *Tob Control* 2011;20:e1. DOI PubMed PMC
4. Carroquino MJ, Posada M, Landrigan PJ. Environmental toxicology: children at risk. In: Laws EA, editor. Environmental toxicology. New York: Springer; 2013. pp. 239-91. DOI
5. Matt GE, Quintana PJE, Hoh E, et al. Tobacco smoke is a likely source of lead and cadmium in settled house dust. *J Trace Elem Med Biol* 2021;63:126656. DOI PubMed PMC
6. Schick SF, Farraro KF, Perrino C, et al. Thirdhand cigarette smoke in an experimental chamber: evidence of surface deposition of nicotine, nitrosamines and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and de novo formation of NNK. *Tob Control* 2014;23:152-9. DOI
7. Wu JX, Lau ATY, Xu YM. Indoor secondary pollutants cannot be ignored: third-hand smoke. *Toxics* 2022;10:363. DOI PubMed PMC
8. Arfaeinia H, Ghaemi M, Jahantigh A, Soleimani F, Hashemi H. Secondhand and thirdhand smoke: a review on chemical contents, exposure routes, and protective strategies. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 2023;30:78017-29. DOI PubMed PMC
9. Sleiman M, Gundel LA, Pankow JF, Jacob P 3rd, Singer BC, Destaillets H. Formation of carcinogens indoors by surface-mediated reactions of nicotine with nitrous acid, leading to potential thirdhand smoke hazards. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;107:6576-81. DOI PubMed PMC
10. Matt GE, Quintana PJE, Zakarian JM, et al. When smokers quit: exposure to nicotine and carcinogens persists from thirdhand smoke pollution. *Tob Control* 2016;26:548-56. DOI PubMed PMC
11. Matt GE, Quintana PJE, Hoh E, et al. Persistent tobacco smoke residue in multiunit housing: legacy of permissive indoor smoking policies and challenges in the implementation of smoking bans. *Prev Med Rep* 2020;18:101088. DOI PubMed PMC
12. Northrup TF, Khan AM, Jacob P 3rd, et al. Thirdhand smoke contamination in hospital settings: assessing exposure risk for vulnerable paediatric patients. *Tob Control* 2016;25:619-23. DOI PubMed PMC
13. Thomas JL, Hecht SS, Luo X, Ming X, Ahluwalia JS, Carmella SG. Thirdhand tobacco smoke: a tobacco-specific lung carcinogen on surfaces in smokers' homes. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2014;16:26-32. DOI PubMed PMC
14. Bush D, Goniewicz ML. A pilot study on nicotine residues in houses of electronic cigarette users, tobacco smokers, and non-users of nicotine-containing products. *Int J Drug Policy* 2015;26:609-11. DOI PubMed PMC
15. Whitehead T, Metayer C, Ward MH, et al. Is house-dust nicotine a good surrogate for household smoking? *Am J Epidemiol* 2009;169:1113-23. DOI PubMed PMC
16. Hood NE, Ferketich AK, Klein EG, Pirie P, Wewers ME. Associations between self-reported in-home smoking behaviours and surface nicotine concentrations in multiunit subsidised housing. *Tob Control* 2014;23:27-32. DOI PubMed PMC
17. Matt GE, Merianos AL, Quintana PJE, Hoh E, Dodder NG, Mahabee-Gittens EM. Prevalence and income-related disparities in thirdhand smoke exposure to children. *JAMA Netw Open* 2022;5:e2147184. DOI PubMed PMC
18. Northrup TF, Stotts AL, Suchting R, et al. Medical staff contributions to thirdhand smoke contamination in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Tob Induc Dis* 2019;17:37. DOI PubMed PMC
19. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Merianos AL, Stone L, et al. Hand nicotine as an independent marker of thirdhand smoke pollution in children's environments. *Sci Total Environ* 2022;849:157914. DOI PubMed PMC
20. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Vidourek RA, King KA, Merianos AL. Disparities in neighborhood characteristics among U.S. children with secondhand and thirdhand tobacco smoke exposure. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022;19:4266. DOI PubMed PMC
21. Mahabee-Gittens EM, King KA, Vidourek RA, Merianos AL. Financial insecurity and food insecurity among U.S. children with secondhand and thirdhand smoke exposure. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022;19:9480. DOI PubMed PMC
22. Merianos AL, Mahabee-Gittens EM, Stone TM, et al. Distinguishing exposure to secondhand and thirdhand tobacco smoke among U.S. children using machine learning: NHANES 2013-2016. *Environ Sci Technol* 2023;57:2042-53. DOI PubMed PMC
23. Merianos AL, Jandarov RA, Choi K, Mahabee-Gittens EM. Tobacco smoke exposure disparities persist in U.S. children: NHANES 1999-2014. *Prev Med* 2019;123:138-42. DOI PubMed PMC
24. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Merianos AL, Jandarov RA, Quintana PJE, Hoh E, Matt GE. Differential associations of hand nicotine and urinary cotinine with children's exposure to tobacco smoke and clinical outcomes. *Environ Res* 2021;202:111722. DOI PubMed PMC

25. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Matt GE, Ding L, Merianos AL. Comparison of levels of three tobacco smoke exposure biomarkers in children of smokers. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;18:11803. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
26. Northrup TF, Stotts AL, Suchting R, et al. Thirdhand smoke contamination and infant nicotine exposure in a neonatal intensive care unit: an observational study. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2021;23:373-82. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
27. Merianos AL, Jandarov RA, Mahabee-Gittens EM. High cotinine and healthcare utilization disparities among low-income children. *Am J Prev Med* 2021;60:267-75. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
28. Gatzke-Kopp LM, Willoughby MT, Warkentien SM, O'Connor T, Granger DA, Blair C. Magnitude and chronicity of environmental smoke exposure across infancy and early childhood in a sample of low-income children. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2019;21:1665-72. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
29. Northrup TF, Matt GE, Hovell MF, Khan AM, Stotts AL. Thirdhand smoke in the homes of medically fragile children: assessing the impact of indoor smoking levels and smoking bans. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2016;18:1290-8. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
30. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Matt GE, Merianos AL. High levels of the carcinogenic tobacco-specific nitrosamine NNAL and associated findings in children of smokers: a case series. *Biomark Insights* 2022;17:11772719221118868. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
31. Northrup TF, Jacob P 3rd, Benowitz NL, et al. Thirdhand smoke: state of the science and a call for policy expansion. *Public Health Rep* 2016;131:233-8. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
32. Hang B, Sarker AH, Havel C, et al. Thirdhand smoke causes DNA damage in human cells. *Mutagenesis* 2013;28:381-91. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
33. Xu B, Chen M, Yao M, et al. Metabolomics reveals metabolic changes in male reproductive cells exposed to thirdhand smoke. *Sci Rep* 2015;5:15512. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
34. Sarker AH, Hang B. Tobacco-specific nitrosamine 1-(N-methyl-N-nitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridinyl)-4-butanal (NNA) causes DNA damage and impaired replication/transcription in human lung cells. *PLoS One* 2022;17:e0267839. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
35. Flores C, Adhami N, Martins-Green M. THS toxins induce hepatic steatosis by altering oxidative stress and SIRT1 levels. *J Clin Toxicol* 2016;6:5. [DOI](#)
36. Flores C, Adhami N, Martins-Green M. The role of fatty acid metabolism and apolipoproteins in ths-induced hepatic steatosis in mice. *J Clin Toxicol* 2017;7:4. [DOI](#)
37. Torres S, Samino S, Ráfols P, Martins-Green M, Correig X, Ramírez N. Unravelling the metabolic alterations of liver damage induced by thirdhand smoke. *Environ Int* 2021;146:106242. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#)
38. Martins-Green M, Adhami N, Frankos M, et al. Cigarette smoke toxins deposited on surfaces: implications for human health. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e86391. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
39. Hang B, Wang Y, Huang Y, et al. Short-term early exposure to thirdhand cigarette smoke increases lung cancer incidence in mice. *Clin Sci* 2018;132:475-88. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
40. Adhami N, Starck SR, Flores C, Martins Green M. A health threat to bystanders living in the homes of smokers: how smoke toxins deposited on surfaces can cause insulin resistance. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0149510. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
41. Hang B, Snijders AM, Huang Y, et al. Early exposure to thirdhand cigarette smoke affects body mass and the development of immunity in mice. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:41915. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
42. Northrup TF, Stotts AL, Suchting R, et al. Thirdhand smoke associations with the gut microbiomes of infants admitted to a neonatal intensive care unit: an observational study. *Environ Res* 2021;197:111180. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
43. Kelley ST, Liu W, Quintana PJE, et al. Altered microbiomes in thirdhand smoke-exposed children and their home environments. *Pediatr Res* 2021;90:1153-60. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
44. Merianos AL, Mahabee-Gittens EM. Tobacco smoke exposure and gastrointestinal problems among US children. *Clin Pediatr* 2023;62:1229-36. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
45. Jung JW, Ju YS, Kang HR. Association between parental smoking behavior and children's respiratory morbidity: 5-year study in an urban city of South Korea. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2012;47:338-45. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#)
46. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Smith HA, Merianos AL. Disparities in dental health issues and oral health care visits in US children with tobacco smoke exposure. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2022;153:319-29. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
47. Merianos AL, Mahabee-Gittens EM, Choi K. Tobacco smoke exposure and inadequate sleep among U.S. school-aged children. *Sleep Med* 2021;86:99-105. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
48. Merianos AL, Stone TM, Mahabee-Gittens EM, Jandarov RA, Choi K. Tobacco smoke exposure and sleep duration among U.S. adolescents. *Behav Sleep Med* 2024;22:234-46. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
49. Chao MR, Cooke MS, Kuo CY, et al. Children are particularly vulnerable to environmental tobacco smoke exposure: evidence from biomarkers of tobacco-specific nitrosamines, and oxidative stress. *Environ Int* 2018;120:238-45. [DOI](#)
50. Merianos AL, Jandarov RA, Mahabee-Gittens EM. Carcinogenic and tobacco smoke-derived particulate matter biomarker uptake and associated healthcare patterns among children. *Pediatr Res* 2023;93:143-53. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
51. Kassem NOF, Daffa RM, Liles S, et al. Children's exposure to secondhand and thirdhand smoke carcinogens and toxicants in homes of hookah smokers. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2014;16:961-75. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
52. Sakamaki-Ching S, Schick S, Grigorean G, Li J, Talbot P. Dermal thirdhand smoke exposure induces oxidative damage, initiates skin inflammatory markers, and adversely alters the human plasma proteome. *EBioMedicine* 2022;84:104256. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
53. Liu H, Chen H. The effects of thirdhand smoke on reproductive health. *J Appl Toxicol* 2022;42:172-9. [DOI](#)
54. Díez-Izquierdo A, Cassanello-Peñarroya P, Lidón-Moyano C, Matilla-Santander N, Balaguer A, Martínez-Sánchez JM. Update on

- thirdhand smoke: a comprehensive systematic review. *Environ Res* 2018;167:341-71. DOI
55. Figueiró LR, Ziulkoski AL, Dantas DC. Thirdhand smoke: when the danger is more than you can see or smell. *Cad Saude Publica* 2016;32:e00032216. DOI PubMed
 56. Matt GE, Quintana PJ, Destaillets H, et al. Thirdhand tobacco smoke: emerging evidence and arguments for a multidisciplinary research agenda. *Environ Health Perspect* 2011;119:1218-26. DOI PubMed PMC
 57. Ferrante G, Simoni M, Cibella F, et al. Third-hand smoke exposure and health hazards in children. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* 2013;79:38-43. DOI
 58. Thirdhand Smoke Resource Center. Thirdhand Smoke Research Collection. 2024. Available from: <https://thirdhandsmoke.org/research/thirdhand-smoke-research-collection/>. [Last accessed on 17 Dec 2024].
 59. Matt GE, Quintana PJ, Hovell MF, et al. Households contaminated by environmental tobacco smoke: sources of infant exposures. *Tob Control* 2004;13:29-37. DOI PubMed PMC
 60. US EPA. Child-specific exposure factors handbook (2008, final report). Available from: <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/risk/recordisplay.cfm?deid=199243>. [Last accessed on 17 Dec 2024].
 61. Ginsberg G, Hattis D, Sonawane B. Incorporating pharmacokinetic differences between children and adults in assessing children's risks to environmental toxicants. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2004;198:164-83. DOI PubMed
 62. Sly PD, Flack F. Susceptibility of children to environmental pollutants. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2008;1140:163-83. DOI PubMed
 63. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Merianos AL, Matt GE. Preliminary evidence that high levels of nicotine on children's hands may contribute to overall tobacco smoke exposure. *Tob Control* 2018;27:217-9. DOI PubMed PMC
 64. Northrup TF, Stotts AL, Suchting R, et al. Handwashing results in incomplete nicotine removal from fingers of individuals who smoke: a randomized controlled experiment. *Am J Perinatol* 2022;39:1634-42. DOI
 65. Shastri SS, Talluri R, Shete S. Disparities in secondhand smoke exposure in the United States: national health and nutrition examination survey 2011-2018. *JAMA Intern Med* 2021;181:134-7. DOI PubMed PMC
 66. Chambers C, Sung HY, Max W. Home exposure to secondhand smoke among people living in multiunit housing and single family housing: a study of California adults, 2003-2012. *J Urban Health* 2015;92:279-90. DOI PubMed PMC
 67. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The health consequences of involuntary exposure to tobacco smoke: a report of the surgeon general. Secondhand smoke - what it means to you. Available from: <https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/secondhand-smoke-consumer.pdf>. [Last accessed on 17 Dec 2024].
 68. Merianos AL, Matt GE, Stone TM, et al. Contamination of surfaces in children's homes with nicotine and the potent carcinogenic tobacco-specific nitrosamine NNK. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol* 2024;34:727-34. DOI PubMed PMC
 69. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Han G, Merianos AL. Child tobacco smoke exposure, indoor home characteristics, and housing stability among a national sample of U.S. children. *Toxics* 2022;10:639. DOI PubMed PMC
 70. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Quintana PJE, Hoh E, et al. Collecting hand wipe samples to assess thirdhand smoke exposure. *Front Public Health* 2021;9:770505. DOI PubMed PMC
 71. Matt GE, Quintana PJE, Hoh E, et al. Remediating thirdhand smoke pollution in multiunit housing: temporary reductions and the challenges of persistent reservoirs. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2021;23:364-72. DOI PubMed PMC
 72. Homa DM, Neff LJ, King BA et al; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Vital signs: disparities in nonsmokers' exposure to secondhand smoke - United States, 1999-2012. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2015;64:103-8. PubMed PMC
 73. Merianos AL, Stone TM, Jandarov RA, Mahabee-Gittens EM, Choi K. Sources of tobacco smoke exposure and their associations with serum cotinine levels among US children and adolescents. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2023;25:1004-13. DOI PubMed PMC
 74. Thomas JL, Guo H, Carmella SG, et al. Metabolites of a tobacco-specific lung carcinogen in children exposed to secondhand or thirdhand tobacco smoke in their homes. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2011;20:1213-21. DOI PubMed PMC
 75. Rendón AD, Unger JB, Cruz T, Soto DW, Baezconde-Garbanati L. Perceptions of secondhand and thirdhand smoke among hispanic residents of multiunit housing. *J Immigr Minor Health* 2017;19:162-9. DOI PubMed PMC
 76. Quintana PJ, Lopez-galvez N, Matt GE, et al. Cotton pillow samplers for assessment of thirdhand smoke in homes of smokers and nonsmokers with children. *J Environ Expo Assess* 2023;2:23. DOI PubMed PMC
 77. Matt GE, Merianos AL, Stone L, et al. Changes and stability of hand nicotine levels in children of smokers: Associations with urinary biomarkers, reported child tobacco smoke exposure, and home smoking bans. *Environ Int* 2023;181:108239. DOI
 78. Patel S, Hendry P, Kalynych C, Butterfield R, Lott M, Lukens-Bull K. The impact of third-hand smoke education in a pediatric emergency department on caregiver smoking policies and quit status: a pilot study. *Int J Disabil Human Dev* 2012;11:335-42. DOI
 79. Winickoff JP, Friebely J, Tanski SE, et al. Beliefs about the health effects of "thirdhand" smoke and home smoking bans. *Pediatrics* 2009;123:e74-9. DOI PubMed PMC
 80. Record RA, Matt GE, Greiner LH, Wipfli H. Parental knowledge, attitudes, efficacy, and protective behaviors about thirdhand smoke and children ages 0-17. *J Environ Health* 2024;86:18. Available from: <https://go.gale.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA783297168&sid=sitemap&v=2.1&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w&userGroupName=anon%7E95e96a47&aty=open-web-entry>. [Last accessed on 17 Dec 2024].
 81. Department of Housing and Urban Development. 81 FR 87430 - instituting smoke-free public housing. Available from: <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/FR-2016-12-05/2016-28986/summary>. [Last accessed on 17 Dec 2024].
 82. González N. Thirdhand smoke: a ubiquitous hidden threat in pandemic times. *Arch Bronconeumol* 2021;57:569-70. DOI
 83. Thirdhand Smoke Resource Center. Available from: <https://thirdhandsmoke.org/>. [Last accessed on 17 Dec 2024].

84. Merianos AL, Jacobs W, Olaniyan AC, Smith ML, Mahabee-Gittens EM. Tobacco smoke exposure, school engagement, school success, and afterschool activity participation among US children. *J Sch Health* 2022;92:1202-13. DOI PubMed PMC
85. Mahabee-Gittens EM, Merianos AL, Hoh E, Quintana PJ, Matt GE. Nicotine on Children's hands: limited protection of smoking bans and initial clinical findings. *Tob Use Insights* 2019;12:1179173X18823493. DOI PubMed PMC
86. Goniewicz ML, Lee L. Electronic cigarettes are a source of thirdhand exposure to nicotine. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2015;17:256-8. DOI PubMed PMC
87. Son Y, Giovenco DP, Delnevo C, Khlystov A, Samburova V, Meng Q. Indoor air quality and passive E-cigarette aerosol exposures in vape-shops. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2020;22:1772-9. DOI PubMed PMC
88. Tang X, Benowitz N, Gundel L, et al. Thirdhand exposures to tobacco-specific nitrosamines through inhalation, dust ingestion, dermal uptake, and epidermal chemistry. *Environ Sci Technol* 2022;56:12506-16. DOI PubMed PMC
89. Samet JM, Chanson D, Wipfli H. The challenges of limiting exposure to THS in vulnerable populations. *Curr Environ Health Rep* 2015;2:215-25. DOI PubMed
90. Environmental Protection Agency. Reconsideration of the dust-lead hazard standards and dust lead post-abatement clearance levels. Available from: https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2023-07/PrePubCopy_8524-01_DLHS-DLCL_NPRM_FR_Doc_eSignature_ADMIN_2023-07-12_1.pdf. [Last accessed on 17 Dec 2024].
91. Grandjean P. Implications of the precautionary principle for primary prevention and research. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2004;25:199-223. DOI PubMed
92. Rhoads GG, Ettinger AS, Weisel CP, et al. The effect of dust lead control on blood lead in toddlers: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics* 1999;103:551-5. DOI