

Review

Open Access



# Metabolic primary liver cancer in adults: risk factors and pathogenic mechanisms

Simonetta Lugari<sup>1</sup>, Enrica Baldelli<sup>2</sup>, Amedeo Lonardo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria, Ospedale Civile di Baggiovara, Modena 41126, Italy.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedical, Metabolic and Neural Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena 41126, Italy.

**Correspondence to:** Simonetta Lugari, MD., PhD., Department of Internal Medicine, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria, Ospedale Civile di Baggiovara, Modena 41126, Italy. E-mail: simonetta.lugari@libero.it

**How to cite this article:** Lugari S, Baldelli E, Lonardo A. Metabolic primary liver cancer in adults: risk factors and pathogenic mechanisms. *Metab Target Organ Damage* 2023;3:5. <https://dx.doi.org/10.20517/mtod.2022.38>

**Received:** 30 Dec 2022 **First Decision:** 1 Mar 2023 **Accepted:** 13 Mar 2023 **Published:** 31 Mar 2023

**Academic Editors:** Mariana Machado, María Luz Martínez-Chantar **Copy Editor:** Ying Han **Production Editor:** Ying Han

## Abstract

Primary liver cancer (PLC) is a heterogeneous group of disorders arising with the background of chronic liver disease (CLD) owing to varying etiologies. PLC carries a high lethality rate and a substantial epidemiological, clinical, and financial burden, which is projected to escalate. The two most common PLC histotypes in adults are hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and cholangiocarcinoma (CC); the latter is sub-classified as either intrahepatic CC or extrahepatic CC. Over recent decades, there has been a decline of viral CLD accompanied by an increase in dysmetabolic CLD, resulting in PLC becoming relatively more common in Western countries. Metabolic comorbidities are risk factors and co-factors for HCC and (increasingly) CC. Complex immunological, cellular, pro-inflammatory, molecular, and genetic processes in the systemic dysmetabolic milieu increase PLC risk. Improved understanding of these mechanisms requires close surveillance and early diagnosis of at-risk patients while paving the way for personalized medicine, chemoprevention, and innovative management of metabolic PLC.

**Keywords:** Cholangiocarcinoma, epidemiology, hepatocellular carcinoma, metabolic syndrome, NAFLD, pathogenesis

## BACKGROUND

### Definitions and burden

Primary liver cancer (PLC) is a highly lethal malignancy becoming more common worldwide<sup>[1,2]</sup> and



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



imposes substantial epidemiological, clinical, and financial burdens. PLC is the sixth or seventh most frequently occurring cancer globally, and the second to the fourth most common cause of cancer mortality; its incidence has been rising in many countries and is projected to continue rising<sup>[1,3]</sup>. PLC commonly arises with the background of underlying chronic liver disease (CLD), and (among those with CLD) PLC increases health care expenses while worsening quality of life<sup>[4]</sup>.

### **Risk factors**

Etiologically, PLC is a heterogeneous group of disorders. In adults, the two most common PLC histotypes are hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and cholangiocarcinoma (CC); the latter is classified as either intrahepatic CC (ICC) or extrahepatic CC (ECC)<sup>[5]</sup>. The differences between ICC and ECC reflect varying epidemiological risk factors<sup>[6]</sup>.

While HCC arises from hepatocytes, CC originates from cholangiocytes that line intrahepatic or extrahepatic bile ducts<sup>[1]</sup>. After HCC, CC is the second most common PLC characterized by late diagnosis and fatal outcomes<sup>[7]</sup>. Combined hepatocellular-cholangiocellular carcinomas (CHCs) exhibit mixed clinicopathological and imaging features from HCC and CC<sup>[8]</sup>. CHCs are rare and account for fewer than 1% of all PLCs<sup>[5]</sup>; they are thought to derive from bipotent hepatic progenitor cells that possess the dual potential for differentiation (hepatocellular and cholangiocellular)<sup>[8]</sup>. However, histological features of PLC do not necessarily mirror its cell of origin, highlighting metaplasia and cell plasticity, i.e., trans-differentiation<sup>[9]</sup>.

### *HCC*

The most critical risk factor for HCC development is cirrhosis of any etiology, which is present in 70% to 90% of cases<sup>[10]</sup>; and HCC ranks as the leading cause of mortality in patients with cirrhosis<sup>[11]</sup>. The best-defined risk factors for HCC development include chronic viral hepatitis and lifestyle-related risk factors whose distribution varies regionally<sup>[12]</sup>. Viral hepatitis and the consumption of cereals contaminated with aflatoxin are responsible for most HCC cases in Asia and Africa<sup>[12]</sup>. These regions also exhibit robust sex disparities regarding lifestyle-related risk factors, particularly tobacco smoking<sup>[12]</sup>. Conversely, alcohol drinking and obesity account for a more significant percentage of cases in North America and Europe<sup>[12]</sup>. Cirrhosis-related HCC risk factors include genetic hemochromatosis (patients with cirrhosis occurring in the setting of hemochromatosis are exposed to a particularly elevated high risk of HCC, given that approximately one in two will develop HCC during their lives)<sup>[13]</sup>; autoimmune liver disease [i.e., primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) and autoimmune hepatitis (AIH)]; hereditary tyrosinemia; alpha-1-antitrypsin deficiency; and non alcoholic fatty liver. In addition to transmissible and lifestyle-related risk factors, family occurrence and sex disparity are HCC typical features<sup>[14,15]</sup>.

### *Cholangiocarcinoma*

Similar to HCC, cirrhosis of various etiologies and chronic viral hepatitis due to either HCV (particularly in western countries) or HBV (especially in Asia) are risk factors for CC development (especially ICC)<sup>[5]</sup>. A recent diagnosis (i.e., one year) of PSC is a risk factor for CC development, particularly in younger individuals and elderly males with large-duct PSC and possibly concomitant ulcerative colitis, whereas the risk decreases after that<sup>[5,16]</sup>. Caroli's disease (with other bile duct disorders) is a risk factor for early-onset CC<sup>[5,7]</sup>. The CC high incidence in South-Eastern Asian countries has been attributed to the high circulation of hepatobiliary flukes (*Opisthorchis viverrini* and *Clonorchis sinensis*) and genetic predisposition<sup>[5]</sup>. CC risk factors include hepatolithiasis and bacterial cholangitides occurring after biliary-enteric drainage because of intestinal bacteria colonization and infections<sup>[5-7]</sup>. Finally, polymorphisms in genes encoding proteins participating in cell DNA repair, cellular protection against toxins, or immuno-surveillance have increased CC risk<sup>[6]</sup>. Diabetes and obesity have been examined as possible risk factors for CC, although data were

deemed preliminary in previous reviews<sup>[5,6]</sup>.

### CHC

CHC is a rare type of PLC, typically featuring dual hepatocytic and biliary differentiation within the same lesion and accounting for fewer than 5% of all PLCs<sup>[17-19]</sup>. Although CHC is a distinct PLC sub-type, it shares similar risk factors with other PLCs, including chronic viral hepatitis, cirrhosis, male sex, and flukes; this feature accounts for the geographical distribution of CHC<sup>[20]</sup>.

CHC exhibits clinical and imaging features similar to classical HCC and CC<sup>[16,18]</sup>; i.e., it appears as an intrahepatic heterogeneous focal liver disease with imaging and contrast-enhancement behavior resembling either HCC or CC, depending on the prevalent subtype of the mass<sup>[17-19]</sup>.

Because CHC diagnosis requires accurate evaluation of imaging characteristics and histological and immunohistochemical features, the quality of the liver biopsy sample is critical. This feature makes CHC diagnosis challenging before surgery, and disease prevalence is probably underestimated<sup>[17-19]</sup>. CHC is an aggressive disease that carries a poor outcome and worse survival rate than HCC<sup>[18,19]</sup>.

### Rationale and aim

In recent years, PLC research and practice have profoundly evolved due to the evolving CLD scenario. HBV infection has declined since the introduction of vaccination campaigns in many countries and oral nucleoside/nucleotide analogs for chronic HBV treatment<sup>[21,22]</sup>. Treating chronic hepatitis owing to HCV has become possible thanks to direct-acting antivirals (DAAs), while no vaccine against HCV yet exists<sup>[23]</sup>. Compared to interferon-based regimens, DAAs disrupt the HCV replication cycle and clear the infection in up to 90% of patients with shorter treatment duration, fewer adverse events, higher adherence rates, and improved liver-related and cardio-metabolic outcomes<sup>[24]</sup>.

The decline of viral CLD has been paralleled by an increase in CLD owing to common metabolic disorders such as metabolic syndrome (MetS) and its features: obesity, type 2 diabetes, arterial hypertension, and dyslipidemia<sup>[25]</sup>. The metabolic fatty liver syndromes (i.e., NAFLD/NASH) have a mutual and bi-directional association with the MetS, forming a cause-and-effect relationship<sup>[26]</sup>. As a result of these epidemiological evolutions, the etiology of CLD has dramatically changed, and NAFLD-HCC/NASH-HCC (the prototypic metabolic PLC) is becoming increasingly common in Western countries<sup>[9,27]</sup>. These evolving epidemiological patterns call for increased awareness regarding the role played by metabolic risk factors in PLC development. Therefore, the present review illustrates the epidemiological grounds and pathogenic mechanisms in metabolic PLC by covering topics relating to PLC occurring in association with common metabolic disorders.

Our review locates a broader scenario of escalating interest and concern regarding the association of various cancer types with metabolic disorders, which is “a preventable epidemic”<sup>[28-30]</sup>. We try to provide clinicians and researchers with a comprehensive overview while critically discussing the primary epidemiological, clinical, and pathogenic findings supporting the association of metabolic disorders with PLC (hence “metabolic PLC”). These data may pave the way for prevention, early diagnosis, and innovative treatment options.

## EPIDEMIOLOGY OF PLC ASSOCIATED WITH METABOLIC RISK FACTORS HCC

### *Principal studies: non-cirrhotic HCC vs. cirrhotic HCC*

Epidemiological, etiological, molecular, histopathological, imaging and clinical features (namely presentation, management, and outcomes) of non-cirrhotic HCC vs. cirrhotic HCC are distinct; for example, while a higher tumor burden is typically found at diagnosis, non-cirrhotic HCCs carry better overall survival and disease-free survival than cirrhotic HCCs<sup>[31-37]</sup>. NAFLD-HCC is the most common etiology of non-cirrhotic HCC. Supporting this notion, a multi-center US study from 2000 to 2014 found non-cirrhotic HCCs in nearly 12% of 5,144 HCC patients and reported that NAFLD accounted for more than a quarter of non-cirrhotic HCCs<sup>[38]</sup>. Since 2018, two seminal studies have developed this topic. Stine *et al.* performed a systematic review with meta-analysis and reported that the prevalence of non-cirrhotic HCCs among those with NASH was significantly higher than among non-cirrhotic HCC owing to other etiologies (38.0% vs. 14.2%,  $P < 0.001$ )<sup>[39]</sup>; and that individuals with non-cirrhotic NASH had a higher risk of developing HCC than non-cirrhotic subjects with liver disease owing to other (i.e., non-NAFLD) etiologies (OR 2.61, 95%CI: 1.27-5.35,  $P = 0.009$ ). Confirming these findings, Tarao *et al.* demonstrated that NASH was associated with the highest ratio of HCC incidence in the cirrhotic stage/non-cirrhotic stage (45.00-fold), compared to other etiologies of liver disease such as HBV (8.73-fold), HCV (7.07-fold), PBC (6.88-fold), and AIH (2.79-fold)<sup>[40]</sup>. Pinyopornpanish *et al.* studied 392,800 NAFLD patients, of whom 1,110 had HCC and 170 (15.3%) had no cirrhosis; the authors found that the following major risk factors: age > 65 years (adjusted OR 3.37, 95%CI: 2.47-4.59), elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (2.69; 2.14-3.37), male sex (2.57; 1.88-3.49), smoking (1.75; 1.23-2.49), and diabetes mellitus (DM) (1.56; 1.15-2.11) were associated with non-cirrhotic NAFLD-HCC (all  $P < 0.05$ )<sup>[41]</sup>. The significant proportion of non-cirrhotic NAFLD-HCCs carries clinical implications that we discuss under section 4., devoted to surveillance.

In the US, the report by Davila *et al.* was the first population-based study demonstrating that DM was an independent risk factor for developing HCC<sup>[42]</sup>. Using the Surveillance Epidemiology and End-Results Program (SEER)-Medicare-linked database, the authors identified 2,061 HCC patients and 6,183 non-cancer controls. Multiple logistic regression analysis of data showed that DM was associated with a three-fold increased risk of HCC after adjusting for confounding factors (i.e., demographic characteristics, HCV, HBV, alcohol, and hemochromatosis). Subgroup analysis disclosed that, even in patients who were free of the above risk factors, the adjusted odds ratio (aOR) for DM remained significant (aOR 2.87; 95%CI: 2.49-3.30). A significant positive interaction between HCV and diabetes was also detected ( $P < 0.0001$ ).

In their seminal study, Welzel *et al.* examined the association between MetS and the development of HCC and ICC in the SEER-Medicare database from 1993 to 2005 in 3,649 HCC cases, 743 ICC cases, and 195,953 controls residents in the same regions<sup>[43]</sup>. On adjusted multiple logistic regression analyses, MetS was associated with increased risk of both HCC (OR 2.13; 95%CI: 1.96-2.31,  $P < 0.0001$ ) and ICC (OR 1.56; 95%CI: 1.32-1.83,  $P < 0.0001$ ). In 2013, the authors used the same SEER-Medicare database to investigate the population-attributable fractions (PAF) of the principal HCC risk factors in the US<sup>[44]</sup>. To this end, 6,991 individuals with HCC were compared to 255,702 controls resident in SEER locations. The risk factors for HCC included HCV, HBV, alcohol, rare metabolic disorders, DM, and obesity. The PAF of all factors combined was 64.5%, highest among Asians (70.1%), and lowest among Blacks (52.4%). Interestingly, diabetes/obesity had the greatest PAF in either sex (men 36.4%; women 36.7%) among whites (38.9%) and Hispanics (38.1%).

Raff *et al.* performed a retrospective study of the impact of DM on the progression of NAFLD and alcohol-associated liver disease (ALD)<sup>[45]</sup>. Medical charts of patients with ALD ( $n = 73$ ) or NAFLD ( $n = 307$ ) managed at a tertiary referral center from 2004 to 2011 were retrospectively reviewed. Two-hundred patients with DM differed from non-diabetics for various demographic features (age, sex), MetS, and severity of liver disease (NAFLD, cirrhosis, and HCC). Over a three-year median follow-up, compared to non-diabetics, those with DM had a higher risk of developing cirrhosis and HCC (60% vs. 41%,  $P = 0.022$ , and 27% vs. 10%, respectively,  $P = 0.045$ ).

The association of DM with HCC is so robust that it may be evaluated with random plasma glucose (RPG) assessment. For example, Pang *et al.* utilized data from 503,993 adults from the prospective China Kadoorie Biobank database<sup>[46]</sup>. Over a 10-year follow-up, compared to non-diabetics, individuals with DM had adjusted HRs of 1.49 (95%CI: 1.30-1.70) for PLC and 1.81 (1.57-2.09) for cirrhosis. Among those without previously diagnosed diabetes, adjusted HRs per 1 mmol/L higher RPG were 1.04 (1.03-1.06) for liver cancer and 1.07 (1.05-1.09) for cirrhosis, and such associations did not vary according to HBV infection.

Cirrhosis is the most robust risk determinant of incident HCC: is NASH-cirrhosis associated with an increased risk of HCC? Yang *et al.* addressed this research question using the Mayo Clinic Rochester archive and the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS)/Organ Procurement and the Transplantation Registry<sup>[47]</sup>. Among 354 Mayo Clinic patients with NASH cirrhosis, followed for a median 47-month period, 30 individuals developed HCC. DM (with increasing age and decreasing albumin values but not body mass index (BMI), dyslipidemia, and arterial hypertension) was associated with an increased risk of developing HCC on multivariable analysis (HR 4.2; 95%CI: 1.2-14.2;  $P = 0.02$ ). Among 6,630 UNOS NASH registrants, DM was associated with an increased risk of developing HCC on multivariable analysis (HR 1.3; 95%CI: 1.0-1.7;  $P = 0.03$ ).

If DM is a potent factor for HCC development (as indicated above), it is logical to postulate that antidiabetic therapy protects from HCC, raising the possibility of personalized medicine approaches and chemoprevention of metabolic PLC. Kramer *et al.* retrospectively evaluated a cohort of 85,963 patients with NAFLD and DM diagnosed at the Veterans Administration facilities from 2004 to 2008 and followed them from diagnosis of NAFLD to HCC, death, or until or until 2018<sup>[48]</sup>. Overall, 524 patients developed HCC during a mean 10.3-year follow-up. Compared to no medication, metformin and adequate glycemic control were associated with a reduced risk of HCC (HR 0.80; 95%CI: 0.93-0.98; HR 0.69; 95%CI: 0.62-0.78, respectively), while insulin treatment *per se* did not affect HCC risk. However, combined therapy with insulin and other oral glucose-lowering drugs was associated with a 1.6-1.7-fold higher risk of HCC. The study concluded that glycemic control is a potential biomarker for stratifying the risk of HCC among those with NAFLD and DM<sup>[48]</sup>.

Finally, DM differentially impacts HCC risk based on the variable etiologies of CLD. Doycheva *et al.* evaluated the UNOS database of all adults listed for liver transplantation from 2002 to 2017<sup>[49]</sup>. Compared to non-diabetic controls, comorbid DM was associated with an increased HCC risk only among those with NASH, cryptogenic cirrhosis, HCV, and ALD; however, DM was not associated with an increased risk of HCC among those with chronic hepatitis B or PBC. These data may be utilized to develop personalized medicine approaches for early diagnosis of metabolic PLC in individuals with CLD owing to various etiologies.

### *Metanalytic studies*

Given the abundance of published studies on the role of metabolic risk factors in HCC, we specifically looked at meta-analytic reviews, traditionally deemed at the top of the pyramid of evidence-based medicine<sup>[50]</sup>.

Over the last ten years, eight meta-analyses have addressed the impact of DM on HCC<sup>[51-57]</sup> [Table 1]. There are relatively few meta-analyses on obesity and MetS<sup>[58,59]</sup>. Studies in Table 1 support the notion that DM is a risk factor for the development of and mortality associated with HCC<sup>[57]</sup>. Interestingly, the association of DM and HCC is independent of sex, geographic location, alcohol consumption, history of cirrhosis, or chronic viral hepatitis<sup>[60]</sup>; however, the risk of developing HCC is affected by DM duration and type of antidiabetic treatment<sup>[57]</sup>, and DM synergizes with HCV infection in increasing the risk of HCC<sup>[52]</sup>. This increased risk of developing HCC is also observed among those with DM following treatment with DAAs for HCV infection<sup>[53]</sup>. Metformin treatment appears to reduce the risk of incident HCC among those with DM successfully undergoing antiviral treatment<sup>[54,61]</sup> and is associated with significantly improved overall survival and recurrence-free survival among diabetic patients with HCC submitted to curative treatment options<sup>[55]</sup>. Limited meta-analytic evidence suggests that HCC, obesity, and the MetS are associated with the male sex in western populations<sup>[58,59]</sup>. Completing this picture, a recent study of 14.3 million individuals followed for a mean of 13.7 years demonstrated that overweight and obesity significantly increase the risk of HCC in Koreans and that this increase was mediated by ALT levels<sup>[62]</sup>. To expand our review of published studies on the metabolic risk factors of HCC, we used the following research strategy: [risk factor (Title)] AND [hepatocellular carcinoma [(Title)]. We retrieved 171 results from 1985 until 27 December 2022. After excluding studies in non-English languages; case reports; reviews, and letters to the Editor, 22 of these 171 were retained based on the authors' agreement<sup>[63-84]</sup> [Supplementary Table 1].

With one exception<sup>[68]</sup>, studies robustly and consistently indicated that obesity<sup>[63]</sup>, steatosis<sup>[64,65]</sup>, visceral fat<sup>[66]</sup>, diabetes<sup>[67]</sup>, obesity and diabetes<sup>[69]</sup>, and MetS<sup>[76]</sup> were risk factors for HCC in selected cohorts (i.e., those with HCV infection, and those with recurrent HCC) and unselected population studies. The pathomechanisms sustaining this link include leptin<sup>[85]</sup>, elevated insulin/insulin resistance<sup>[72,73]</sup>, and sarcopenia<sup>[74]</sup>. Interestingly, metabolic factors, including steatosis, (visceral) obesity, and DM, also play a role in risk factors of HCC developing in the setting of alcoholic cirrhosis<sup>[71]</sup> and viral hepatitis<sup>[77-84]</sup>, suggesting that these dysmetabolic traits are consistently associated with increased HCC risk across a broad spectrum of clinical scenarios.

As a result of the combined effect of the decreasing burden of chronic viral hepatitis and the increasing NAFLD and NASH incidence<sup>[86-90]</sup>, NAFLD-HCC has overtaken viral hepatitis-HCC as the leading cause of HCC in many countries, particularly in the Western world<sup>[91]</sup>. The increasing epidemiological and clinical burden of NAFLD-HCC has impacted trends in liver transplantation<sup>[92]</sup>. In 2019, NASH was the fastest-growing cause of HCC among patients awaiting liver transplants in the US<sup>[93]</sup>.

### **CC**

We repeated our bibliographic study for CC using the following research strategy: [(riskfactors (Title))] and (cholangiocarcinoma (Title)) from 1985 until 1 February 2022. This research yielded 90 results and, after excluding studies in non-English languages, case reports, studies on disease recurrence, liver transplantation, reviews, and letters to the editor, 14 of these 90 were retained per authors' agreement<sup>[94-107]</sup> [Supplementary Table 2].

**Table 1. Principal meta-analyses on the association of HCC and metabolic risk factors**

Author [Ref.]	Method	Findings	Comment
<b>Diabetes</b>			
Mrzljak et al. <sup>[51]</sup>	The following disease outcomes were explored: death, progressive disease after loco-regional therapies, and recurrence. PubMed and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials Databases were utilized to this end (from 2000/01/01 to 2020/11/30) Data were also submitted to sub-analysis based on potentially curative (resection, transplantation, thermo-ablation) or non-curative therapies (all the other management options). 27 published studies (21 from Taiwan, China, and Japan) were selected	Data have shown that DM is associated with disease progression (OR 1.24; 95%CI: 1.09-1.41; $P = 0.001$ ) and recurrence (OR 1.30; 95%CI: 1.03-1.63; $P = 0.03$ ) as well as increased mortality (OR 3.60; 95%CI: 2.18-5.95; $P < 0.001$ ) independent of the management protocol adopted among patients with HCC submitted to either potentially curative or non-curative therapies	This study demonstrates that DM negatively impacts HCC outcomes independent of the curative vs. non-curative therapeutic strategy adopted. Confirmative studies, including Western countries, will have to further ascertain the effect of DM on HCC while also investigating the effects of antidiabetic pharmacotherapy
Yang et al. <sup>[52]</sup>	Literature was searched in PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library as of January 2020 HBV - 22 articles (18 cohort studies and four case-control studies) were selected. 11 publications (eight cohort studies and three case-control studies) addressed the risk of HCC in Asian subjects with DM ad HBV HCV - 15 publications (13 cohort studies and 2 case-control studies) evaluated the risk of HCC among Asians with DM and HCV infection	HBV - Data yielded a cumulative RR of HCC among those HBV-infected = 1.37 (95%CI: 1.24-1.51; $I^2 = 27.8\%$ ) for cohort studies, and cumulative OR was 1.99 (95%CI: 0.73-5.48; $I^2 = 88.4\%$ ) for case-control studies HCV - Studies yielded a RR of HCC among those with HCV infection 1.76 (95% CI: 1.42-2.17; $I^2 = 62.8\%$ ) for cohort studies, and OR was 1.77 (95%CI: 1.18-2.64; $I^2 = 0.0\%$ ) for case-control studies	This metanalytic review supports the notion that both HBV and HCV synergize with DM in increasing the risks of incident HCC. However, this association is stronger among those with infection owing to HCV than to HBV
Váncsa et al. <sup>[53]</sup>	This metanalysis investigated the potential role of DM as a risk factor in the <i>de novo</i> development of HCC among HCV-infected patients previously submitted to DAAs Published studies were searched in four medical databases till November 2020 27 selected studies were subjected to this meta-analysis	Data have shown that patients with DM had an increased risk of HCC (AHR = 1.31, CI: 1.06-1.62), and such a risk was also increased in the subgroup analysis of those exhibiting either SVR (OR = 1.71, CI: 1.22-2.4; $I^2 = 28.8\%$ ) or advanced hepatic fibrosis (AHR = 1.36, CI: 1.03-1.8)	Those with DM exhibit an increased risk of developing HCC following treatment with DAAs for HCV infection than DM-free individuals
Li et al. <sup>[54]</sup>	This metanalytic review investigated the possible association between metformin use and the risk of HCC among individuals with DM To this end, the PubMed and Web of Science databases were searched for relevant studies before April 2021. Those 24 selected studies (9 case-control studies and 15 cohort studies) totaled a global population of 1.4 million individuals	Data have shown that DM patients under metformin therapy exhibit a 41% decreased risk of HCC (OR/RR = 0.59, 95% CI: 0.51-0.68). Subgroup analysis has demonstrated that this protection from the risk of HCC occurs irrespective of ethnicity (Caucasian: OR/RR = 0.63, 95%CI: 0.52-0.77; Asian: OR/RR = 0.56, 95%CI: 0.47-0.67)	In DM patients, metformin use is associated with a significantly reduced risk of HCC
Zhou et al. <sup>[55]</sup>	This study evaluated the OS (primary outcome);	After curative treatment of HCC, compared to other antidiabetic drugs, metformin use	The use of metformin in HCC patients with DM

	the RFS and the PFS were also evaluated (secondary outcomes) Medline and EMBASE databases were searched until January 2019 to this end. Eight published studies, globally enrolling 13,985 patients, were included in this meta-analytic review	was associated with significantly longer OS at 1, 3, and 5 years (all $P < 0.00001$ ) and RFS at 1 and 3 years (all $P < 0.00001$ )	treated with curative options was associated with significantly improved OS and RFS
Tan <i>et al.</i> <sup>[56]</sup>	Medline and Embase databases were searched to identify studies published in English before February 2018 Seven studies were retrieved (5 cohort studies and 2 case-control studies), totaling 21,842 HBV chronically infected individuals	Compared to DM-free individuals, the DM population was prone to an increased incidence of HCC (pooled HR 1.77, 95%CI: 1.28-2.47; fixed effect) and higher overall mortality (pooled RR 1.93, 95%CI: 1.64-2.27; fixed effect)	Among individuals with chronic infection owing to HBV, DM is associated with increased HCC risk
Wang <i>et al.</i> <sup>[57]</sup>	PUBMED and MEDLINE databases were searched for studies published before February 2011 Overall, 17 case-control studies and 32 cohort-selected studies were included in the present meta-analysis	Data have shown a statistically significant increased prevalence of HCC among diabetic individuals (RR = 2.31, 95%CI: 1.87-2.84) The risk of HCC was decreased by metformin treatment and increased by DM duration and treatment with sulfonylureas or insulin Individuals with DM (compared to those DM-free) had a statistically significant increased risk of HCC mortality (RR = 2.43, 95%CI: 1.66-3.55)	DM is associated with a moderately increased risk of HCC prevalence and mortality
Wang <i>et al.</i> <sup>[60]</sup>	Studies published before 2010 were retrieved through a literature search of Medline from 1966 and EMBASE from January 1974. Overall, 25 cohort studies meeting inclusion and exclusion criteria were selected	Compared to non-diabetic controls, DM was associated with an increased incidence of HCC (SRRs = 2.01, 95%CI: 1.61-2.51), independent of confounding factors (i.e., geographic location, alcohol consumption, history of cirrhosis, or infections with either HBV or HCV) Moreover, DM was positively associated with HCC mortality (SRR = 1.56; 95%CI: 1.30-1.87)	This study supports the notion that T2D and HCC are strongly associated with either sex
<b>Obesity</b>			
Gupta <i>et al.</i> <sup>[58]</sup>	The following databases were searched: MEDLINE, MEDLINE InProcess, Embase, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, the Cochrane Database of Reviews of Effect, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials before March 2016. Overall, 9 studies (8 regarding individual cohorts and 1 featuring global analysis from multiple cohorts) were included	Compared to normal-BMI individuals, obese subjects had higher mortality owing to HCC (aHR, 1.95; 95%CI: 1.46-2.46) and obese men (aHR, 2.50; 95% CI, 2.02-3.09; three studies) had a higher risk than obese women (aHR, 1.45; 95%CI: 1.08-1.97; two studies). Premorbid obesity was associated with HCC-related mortality among Western populations alone (aHR, 2.10; 95%CI: 1.77-2.48; four studies) but not in Asians	This meta-analytic review demonstrates that obese (but not overweight) individuals are exposed to an almost two-fold increased mortality risk owing to HCC, and this association is more pronounced in men and Western populations
<b>Metabolic syndrome</b>			
Li <i>et al.</i> <sup>[59]</sup>	PubMed, Ovid, Embase, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library databases were searched before September 2017 This meta-analysis included 10 studies (9 cohorts and 1 case-control)	MetS patients are significantly more likely to develop HCC (RR = 1.60, 95%CI: 1.12-2.28, $P = 0.01$ ). Limited to cohort studies, MetS was a potential risk factor for HCC occurrence (RR = 1.52, 95%CI: 1.01-2.30, $P = 0.05$ ). Male patients with MetS were at higher risk of developing HCC than women (RR = 1.91, 95%CI: 1.38-2.65, $P < 0.0001$ in males and RR = 2.1, 95%CI: 0.69-6.37, $P = 0.19$ in female). Moreover, MetS was associated with an increased risk of HCC among the Euro-US populations (RR = 1.71, 95%CI: 1.09-2.67, $P = 0.02$ )	MetS is associated with a high risk of HCC occurrence in male and Euro-US populations. However, no associations were found linking MetS with pathological disease characteristics and patient survival

AHR: adjusted hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; DAAs: direct-acting antivirals; DM: diabetes mellitus; HBV: hepatitis B virus; HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV: hepatitis C virus; MetS: Metabolic syndrome; OR: odds ratio; OS: overall survival; RFS: recurrence-free survival; PFS: progression-free survival; RR: relative risk; SVR: sustained virologic response.

Based on disease anatomical topography, CC is classified as intrahepatic, perihilar, and distal; the intrahepatic subtype is split into large and small-duct types, and these differences are regarding clinical and histological features<sup>[108,109]</sup>.

Although a substantial proportion of CC cases are not easily attributable to any known risk factors predisposing to their development, a *milieu* featuring chronic inflammation, fibrosis, and cytokine release offers a biological background facilitating the development of incident cancer<sup>[110]</sup>. This explains why, in clinical practice, many CC cases are associated with definite risk factors, the variable distribution of which accounts for global geographical disparities. In particular, the high prevalence of CC in Eastern countries mirrors the widespread presence of parasitic infections (e.g., *Opisthorchis viverrini* and *Clonorchis sinensis*). By contrast, primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) and liver cirrhosis are the principal risk factors of CC in Western countries<sup>[108,110,111]</sup>.

Different and specific CLD types might predispose individuals to different CC subtypes. For example, the small-duct subtype is usually associated with advanced CLD, primarily owing to viral etiology<sup>[108,110,111]</sup>.

Although a metabolic origin of CC is less robustly demonstrated than for HCC, several lines of evidence support the notion that obesity and NAFLD are major risk factors for CC<sup>[106]</sup>. Indeed, with the exceptions of some studies reporting negative findings on DM<sup>[94,104,105]</sup> and obesity<sup>[99]</sup>, most studies consistently support diabetes<sup>[95-99,101]</sup> diabetes and obesity<sup>[100]</sup>, obesity<sup>[102,103]</sup>, and NAFLD<sup>[103]</sup> as potential risk factors for the development of CC. Of interest, two studies report a statistically significant inverse association with the use of metformin<sup>[101]</sup> and aspirin<sup>[106]</sup>. Conversely, large-duct subtype CC tends to develop in patients with chronic bile duct conditions, such as PSC, fluke infection, and gallstones<sup>[108,110,111]</sup>.

## **PATHOGENIC PATHWAYS INVOLVED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF PLC IN DYSMETABOLIC INDIVIDUALS HCC**

The molecular pathogenesis of HCC in individuals with NAFLD/NASH or disorders belongs to the domain of MetS through a complex, molecular, cellular, multi-step process involving genetic, viral, and immuno-metabolic determinants, cell microenvironment, and fibrosis stage of the underlying CLD<sup>[9]</sup>. These will be discussed in detail below.

### *Molecular pathogenesis and genetics*

In HCC, mutations identified as cancer-driver genes with oncogenic or tumor-suppressive properties include, for example, telomerase activation, which occurs in ~80% of cases<sup>[9]</sup>.

Telomerase activity is downregulated during early embryonic development and is not essential (and indeed absent) in the healthy adult liver, where most hepatocytes are quiescent<sup>[112,113]</sup>. However, low-rate telomerase activity restarts following proliferative signals such as liver injury/chronic liver diseases due to activation of oncogenes (such as mutations of Ras or amplification of c-Myc) or oncogenic protein expression<sup>[112]</sup>.

Telomere shortening and reactivation of telomerase (TSRT) are described in aging and metabolic disorders<sup>[114]</sup> and across a broad range of human cancers, including PLC<sup>[112]</sup>. TSRT through TERT promoter mutations represents definite genetic risk factors for cirrhosis and PLC and is a potentially useful therapeutic target<sup>[113]</sup>. The role of telomere shortening and telomerase concerning NAFLD, cirrhosis, and HCC has been reviewed elsewhere<sup>[115]</sup>.

NASH-HCCs belong to the so-called “non-proliferation class”<sup>[9]</sup>. These less aggressive cancers (compared to the HBV-related HCCs) exhibit moderate histological differentiation, lower serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) values, and less frequent vascular invasion<sup>[63,116]</sup>. According to the categorization recently proposed by Llovet *et al.*, this class has two distinct subsets: a) the WNT- $\beta$ -catenin CTNNB1 subclass, which drives an immune-excluded phenotype with low immune infiltration, and b) the interferon sub-class featuring chromosomal stability, frequent TERT promoter mutations, a highly activated IL6-JAK-STAT signaling pathway, and a more markedly inflammatory tumoral micro-milieu<sup>[9]</sup>.

Genes such as TP53, CTNNB1, AXIN1, and ARID1A frequently present mutations, which appear to play a role in cancer development<sup>[117,118]</sup>. CTNNB1-activating mutations contribute to the alteration of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway, observed in more than 10% and up to 40% of PLCs. This type of mutation appears to be more frequent in non-Asiatic populations, where HBV infection is uncommon.

Similar to CTNNB1, TP53 mutations are frequently observed in PLC. TP53 is involved in cellular proliferation, regulation, and apoptosis. Therefore, a high-grade histological tumor (and worse outcomes) are usually associated with HCC with TP53 mutations<sup>[117-119]</sup>.

AXIN1 mutations can be detected in HCC. AXIN1 negatively regulates the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway through activation and the consequent elevated expression of  $\beta$ -catenin<sup>[117,118]</sup>.

In humans, single nucleotide polymorphisms in PNPLA3, TM6SF2, GCKR, and MBOAT7 have consistently been implicated in developing NAFLD-HCC, as recently reviewed elsewhere<sup>[120,121]</sup>.

#### *Immunological cells and cytokines*

In healthy states, and compared to other organs, the liver is considerably more immunotolerant despite harboring a more significant number of immune cells<sup>[122]</sup>. This enables it to sustain the constant flux of pro-inflammatory signals of intestinal origin from portal blood<sup>[123]</sup>. This unique feature of the hepatic immune system is essential for the complex interaction between HCC and the hepatic immune reaction<sup>[124]</sup> and has potentially relevant therapeutic implications<sup>[122]</sup>.

Approximately 90% of the HCC burden is associated with chronic hepatitis owing to exogenous (viral, alcoholic) or endogenous stimuli (NAFLD/NASH), implying that HCC is a prototypical inflammation-associated cancer<sup>[9]</sup>. However, the immune micro-environment serves a dual function: on the one hand, IL-6, lymphotoxin- $\alpha$ , and TNF accelerate tumorigenesis and enhance cancer aggressiveness<sup>[123]</sup>; on the other hand, lymphocytic infiltration predicts better outcomes<sup>[125]</sup>.

Our understanding of the role of immunological cells and cytokines is based on animal studies, the relevance of which to the pathogenesis of human NAFLD-HCC remains to be proven. That said, the concurrence of the following cells and pathomechanisms simultaneously promote NAFLD-HCC: activated CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells; intrahepatic CD4<sup>+</sup> selective loss; B cells, Treg cells, natural killer cells, and different types of myeloid cells; intrahepatic recruitment of activated platelets; overexpressed hepatic IL-6 and TNF<sup>[9]</sup>.

Patients with advanced HCCs may gain survival benefits from immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) at the cost of tolerable adverse events<sup>[126]</sup>. Combined ICIs and antiangiogenic drugs in first-line systemic therapy of unresectable HCC may increase efficacy compared to ICI monotherapy<sup>[127,128]</sup>. However, at variance with findings observed with antiangiogenic drugs (where no differences related to etiology have been observed), non-viral HCC, notably NASH-HCC, is less responsive to immunotherapy than viral-related HCC<sup>[128-130]</sup>. To

understand these puzzling findings, we highlight that, in parallel with the demodulation of hepatic metabolism, NASH entails a wide-range reprogramming of various parenchymal and non-parenchymal liver cell types, including macrophages and intrahepatic T cells<sup>[131]</sup>. Intrahepatic T-cell reprogramming occurs in NASH, where various T-cell subtypes contribute to determining disease development/progression and the response to immunotherapy agents<sup>[131,132]</sup>. Supporting the notion of robust immunometabolic grounds underlying such phenomena, metformin treatment rescued the efficacy of anti-PD-1 therapy against liver tumors in several murine NASH models<sup>[133]</sup>.

These findings suggest that NASH-associated HCC is enabled by inflammation-associated pro-tumorigenic mechanisms and insufficient immune surveillance<sup>[132]</sup>. These immunological pathomechanisms, while accounting for NASH development and progression, also explain why NASH-HCC is less responsive to immunotherapy, probably owing to NASH-related aberrant T-cell activation and impaired immune surveillance<sup>[129]</sup>.

#### *Liver-specific mechanisms*

NAFLD exhibits distinctive patho-biochemical features resulting from the amount and (probably) the chemical structure and properties of accumulated lipid classes<sup>[134]</sup>. These NAFLD-specific distinctive features involve metabolic and oxidative stress, pathological lipophagy, increased reactive oxygen species production, and diminished reducing power (i.e., low NADH or NADPH levels); collectively, they represent an intrahepatic milieu favoring disease development and progression in the setting of fatty acid-overloaded hepatocytes<sup>[9]</sup>.

#### *Hepatic fibrosis*

The activated hepatic stellate cell, via its capacity to synthesize collagen on the one hand and growth factors that promote neo-angiogenesis and fibrosis on the other hand, is fundamental to the response to chronic liver injury<sup>[135]</sup>.

Cirrhosis *per se* is a risk factor for HCC, although some etiologies of cirrhosis are associated with an increased HCC risk<sup>[136,137]</sup>. Distortion of hepatic architecture in cirrhosis predisposes to portal hypertension<sup>[138]</sup> and creates the so-called “field effect”, i.e., the immunologically permissive micro-environment favoring tumor development<sup>[9]</sup>. The field effect has been observed in various cancers and describes how tissue regions beyond tumor boundaries exhibit cancer-associated histological or molecular changes<sup>[139]</sup>. In the setting of NAFLD-HCC, CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes and the interaction of the innate immune response with the gut microbiome interact to favor tumor development<sup>[140-142]</sup>.

The pro-inflammatory and pro-fibrotic hepatic milieu favors HCC growth, metastasis, and sorafenib resistance through the activation of STAT3<sup>[143]</sup>. The tumor microenvironment plays a crucial role in the natural history of HCC, supporting the rationale of therapeutic strategy targeting the dynamic interconnections linking the hepatic immune system with hepatocytes<sup>[144]</sup>. However, it cannot be over-emphasized that the development of NAFLD-HCC is less cirrhosis-dependent than those HCCs associated with CLD owing to non-metabolic etiology, for example, viral and alcohol-related<sup>[27,136,137,145,146]</sup>, such as is discussed in paragraph 2.1.1.

#### *Metabolic causes of primary liver cancer*

The same factors and cofactors (i.e., features of the MetS and lifestyle habits, respectively) that modulate the pathomechanics of organ dysfunction in NAFLD/NASH and MAFLD<sup>[147]</sup> also appear to be involved in the development of metabolic PLC.

Among the various components of the MetS, it is undoubted that “diabetes” is a risk factor for HCC development<sup>[148,149]</sup>. Innovative studies have highlighted that, over time (also in non-diabetic individuals), fluctuations of glycemic compensation and body weight changes (often mutually interconnected) are risk factors for HCC<sup>[150-154]</sup>, suggesting that stable glucose metabolic control and stable body weight may reduce the risk of incident HCC.

Compared to *diabetes*, fewer data are available on other components of the MetS. That said, total cholesterol, potentially low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglycerides are strongly and inversely associated with HCC risk among patients with CLD<sup>[155]</sup>. A general population study showing that ACE inhibitors were associated with a reduced incidence of HCC in a cohort of 12,327 Asians indirectly supports the notion that arterial hypertension is a risk factor for incident HCC<sup>[156]</sup>.

Regarding cofactors, such as diet and sedentary behavior, taken collectively, published studies suggest that an increased risk of PLC goes in parallel with an elevated dietary intake of saturated fatty acids<sup>[157]</sup>. Additionally, alcohol consumption adds to or multiplies by multiple folds the HCC risk inherent in BMI, and even consumption of modest amounts of alcohol is associated with an increased HCC risk among those with NAFLD<sup>[158]</sup>. The specific role of sedentary behavior in increasing the odds of incident HCC is documented by the finding that exercise blunts liver tumor development in a mouse model<sup>[159]</sup>.

As to the specific biochemical pathways involved, altered oxidation of fatty acids coupled with the deranged metabolism of amino acids, lipids, and carbohydrates have all been involved. For example, a large European prospective cohort study utilized an untargeted nuclear magnetic resonance metabolomic approach for evaluating pre-diagnostic serum samples obtained from 114 HCC first incident cases and 222 matched controls<sup>[160]</sup>. Comparative analysis has identified a shift from glutamine to glutamate, compatible with impaired ammonium detoxification in HCC cases.

A previous study conducted in a more limited series of 36 individuals with cirrhosis, 39 HCC patients, and 63 controls found higher levels of aromatic amino acids to be associated with (liver cirrhosis and) HCC, together with lower levels of branched-chain amino acids, choline, and unsaturated lipids<sup>[161]</sup>. Finally, a recent study<sup>[30]</sup> including 290,888 UK Biobank adults who were followed for a median 3.87-year period has shown that, compared to the metabolically favorable subgroup, the subgroup featuring high BMI, C-reactive protein and cystatin C (a protease inhibitor connected to chronic kidney disease, and cardiovascular diseases, and inversely related to HDL cholesterol) was associated with PLC risk (HR 5.70; 95%CI: 3.57-9.11). This malignancy was also linked to testosterone (HR 2.49; 95%CI: 1.47-4.24) and several liver tests, notably including gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) (HR 2.40; 95%CI: 2.19-2.65). Interestingly, also CC was associated with higher GGT values (HR 1.76; 95%CI: 1.47-2.11); finally, the evaluation of additional 252 biomarkers yielded results consistent with those found for HCC<sup>[30]</sup>. Adiponectin, oxidative stress, fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 21, and hormonal factors are among the principal, though not the only, mediators linking metabolic derangement with hepatocarcinogenesis<sup>[30,160,162,163]</sup>.

### **Molecular pathogenesis of CC**

Activation of crucial signaling pathways driving the development and progression of cholangiocarcinogenesis results from genetic and epigenetic modifications in the setting of profoundly altered pro-inflammatory tumoral micro-*milieu*<sup>[164]</sup>. According to Fouassier *et al.*, the signaling pathways involved in CC progression can be classified as (i) microenvironment and inflammation-related pathways; (ii) proliferation/ survival/death-related pathways; and (iii) development-related pathways<sup>[164]</sup>.

### *Alterations of cancer micro-milieu and inflammation-associated pathways*

The two principal pathogenic cascades include the pro-inflammatory interleukin (IL)-6/STAT3 pathway and the pro-fibrogenic transforming growth factor beta (TGF $\beta$ /SMAD pathway<sup>[164]</sup>. IL-6, a critical mediator of systemic inflammation and acute phase response following liver injury, is (in the context of CC) produced by several types and drives a circle of compensatory proliferation triggered by cell injury and inflammation<sup>[164-166]</sup>. IL-6 signaling is activated via its receptor and involves a complex cascade recruiting gp130, Janus kinases (JAK), signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT), mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK), and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT serine-threonine kinase (AKT) pathways to target the epithelial compartment<sup>[164]</sup>. Interestingly, expression of some of these intermediate pathways (e.g., pSTAT3 staining) predicts worse outcomes in human CC<sup>[164]</sup>.

The pro-fibrogenic TGF $\beta$  comprises a family of cytokines with multiple TGF $\beta$  receptors and co-receptors produced by many cell types<sup>[167]</sup>. In early CC, TGF $\beta$  can produce cytostatic effects<sup>[168]</sup>; however, in more advanced diseases, it may also promote tumor growth<sup>[169]</sup>. The role of TGF $\beta$  in CC pathogenesis is complex and varies according to the phase of the disease. Its signaling pathways may have prognostic significance, and TGF $\beta$  is also involved in hepatocyte-to-cholangiocyte conversion during liver regeneration and in intermediate HCC/CC phenotypes<sup>[164]</sup>.

### *Pathways related to proliferation, survival, and death*

Constitutive activation of receptor tyrosine kinases such as FGFR2 and ERBB receptors or components of downstream signaling modules, such as JAK/STAT, RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK, and PI3K is involved in CC pathogenesis<sup>[164]</sup>.

FGFs and their receptors (FGFRs) represent a highly conserved signaling pathway playing critical roles in metabolic homeostasis and cell proliferation<sup>[170]</sup>. Accordingly, they are involved in embryonic development, fetal organogenesis, and tissue repair<sup>[171]</sup>. Structurally, they are single transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinases consisting of an extracellular ligand-binding domain and a cytoplasmic conserved tyrosine kinase domain; dysregulation of the latter contributes to cancer development and progression, evasion of immune responses, neo-angiogenesis, and resistance to anticancer pharmacotherapy<sup>[172,173]</sup>. Chromosomal translocation of FGFRs results in chimeric FGFR fusion proteins forming, leading to human cancer development and progression owing to aberrant signaling; therefore, this pathway is a promising therapeutic target for CC and other human cancers<sup>[173]</sup>. Clinical trials suggest that subsets of fusion-positive patients with hematological malignancies, intrahepatic CC, lung cancer, urothelial carcinoma, and glioblastoma respond to FGFR inhibitor therapies<sup>[173]</sup>.

The erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog (ErBb) family, which comprises the receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR; also called ERBB1), ERBB2, ERBB3, and ERBB4) regulates development, vascularization, growth, metastasis, and chemoresistance of CC via ligand-mediated activation of downstream targets and other transmembrane receptors<sup>[174]</sup>. The functional impact of those EGFR mutations identified in CC remains incompletely characterized, and the efficacy of EGFR inhibitors in CC is under investigation<sup>[174]</sup>.

Secretin and histamine pathways are also involved in CC pathogenesis, though the pharmacological manipulation of such pathways has uncertain efficacy. Secretin can induce cell death and decrease CC cell proliferation and disease burden *in vitro* and *in vivo*<sup>[175]</sup>. It remains to be ascertained whether chronic H1/H2 HR blockade is a viable chemo-preventive option in human PSC, a precursor lesion of CC<sup>[164]</sup>.

Several critical cell processes, such as proliferation, apoptosis, and cytoskeletal rearrangement, are regulated by the PI3K/AKT pathway<sup>[164]</sup>. Increased activation of the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway is associated with CC metastasis, and conversely, inhibition of the PI3K/mTOR axis represents a possible therapeutic strategy in this setting<sup>[176]</sup>.

#### *Pathways related to development*

##### The Notch pathway

The hepatoblast, embryologically derived from the ventral foregut endoderm, is the bi-potent shared common progenitor of hepatocytes and cholangiocytes<sup>[177]</sup>. Experimental and clinical evidence suggests that Notch signaling, activated through cell-cell contacts, is implicated in the cholangiocyte differentiation of hepatoblasts and the formation of bile ducts<sup>[164,177,178]</sup>. Activation of Notch signaling determines cell fates, while Notch pathway dysfunction accounts for embryonic development defects and, among postnatal diseases, CC<sup>[164]</sup>. Notch signaling may affect a biologically aggressive CC form, and pharmacological manipulation of Notch signaling holds promise as a therapeutic target<sup>[179,180]</sup>.

##### The Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway

Compared to HCC, a lower proportion of CC patients display mutations of genes involved in the Wnt- $\beta$ -catenin cascade, which, potentially, could be a target for treating and reversing multi-drug resistance<sup>[164,181,182]</sup>.

#### *Additional genetic and epigenetic pathways associated with CC*

In human cancer, hotspot gain-of-function of isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) genes IDH1 and IDH2 are the most often mutated metabolic genes resulting in the IDH enzyme aberrantly generating high levels of the oncometabolite R-2-hydroxyglutarate. This molecule competitively inhibits enzymes that, among other cellular processes, govern epigenetics, DNA repair, and metabolic features<sup>[183]</sup>. The biology and clinical implication of mutant IDH genes in ICC have recently been discussed elsewhere<sup>[164,183,184]</sup>.

Chromatin remodeling, regulated by specific genes called “chromatin remodelers”, defines the dynamic process in which the cell DNA mass can be modified through either transcriptional activation or transcriptional silencing<sup>[185]</sup>. This is a critical epigenetic mechanism of normal cell biology and a pathomechanism involved in cancer biology often altered in different human cancer types<sup>[185]</sup>.

Among PLC types, CC (particularly ICC) will often exhibit loss of function mutations or epigenetic modifications in genes encoding proteins involved in regulating chromatin organization, including ARID1A, PBRM1, and BAP1<sup>[164,186]</sup>. These gene modifications have been reported in ICC with a ductal plate malformation pattern occurring in chronic hepatitis owing to either HBV or alcohol<sup>[187]</sup>; therefore, the relevance of these factors to “metabolic” CC is worth investigating.

## **SURVEILLANCE**

According to the AASLD updated guidelines and the EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines<sup>[136,188]</sup>, given that individuals with NAFLD-cirrhosis exhibit an awaited HCC incidence rate approximating 1.5% per year, they should adhere to the screening guidelines for cirrhosis owing to all etiologies, namely, hepatic ultrasound scanning with or without AFP semi-annually. This approach's points of strength, technical limitations, and predicted development lines have recently been addressed elsewhere<sup>[37,189]</sup>. The AGA Clinical Practice 2020 update recognizes that individuals with earlier stages of NAFLD (compared to NAFLD-free controls) have a higher risk of developing HCC; nevertheless, the guidelines state that unless

advanced hepatic fibrosis is present, NAFLD patients should not be routinely considered for protocols of HCC surveillance<sup>[190]</sup>. Experts agree that the true incidence and risk modifiers of HCC remain insufficiently defined and are likely to fall below the threshold to justify routine screening of non-cirrhotic and non-advanced fibrosis NAFLD patients<sup>[190-193]</sup>.

Along the same lines: should surveillance practices be conducted for HCC and CC? Based on the AGA Clinical Practice Update<sup>[194]</sup>, surveillance for CC (and gallbladder cancer) should be performed among adults with PSC using imaging techniques (ultrasonography, computed tomography, or magnetic resonance imaging), with or without serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9. Screening should occur annually or semi-annually, irrespective of disease stage, particularly in the first year following PSC diagnosis, among those with ulcerative colitis and individuals diagnosed at older ages. However, individuals with small-duct PSCs or aged < 20 years should not undergo surveillance for CC. It is noteworthy that these guidelines fail to mention any metabolic risk factors. Compared to the more commonly occurring HCC, little is known regarding surveillance aimed at early diagnosis of the comparatively rarer CC. However, some provinces of Thailand are plagued by CC, with prevalence rates nearly 100-fold higher than those registered in Western countries<sup>[195]</sup>, supporting the rationale of experimental protocols of ultrasound surveillance for CC. Siripongsakun *et al.* conducted one such study on a surveillance population-based cohort of 4,225 adult inhabitants of Northern Thailand who volunteered to enter a semi-annual ultrasonographic surveillance protocol for 5-years<sup>[196]</sup>. In contrast, the non-surveillance control cohort was recruited among CC patients diagnosed in the hospital. Surveillance was independently associated with decreased mortality (HR = 0.41;  $P = 0.012$ ), supporting that ultrasound surveillance is a logical option to reduce CC mortality in hyperendemic regions<sup>[196]</sup>. While such an approach in western countries would not be justifiable owing to the different CC prevalence rates discussed above, the possibility remains that CC might be incidentally detected among those submitted to surveillance for HCC. Confirming this notion, Tovoli *et al.* reported that cirrhotic patients with ICC were under a surveillance program for HCC in approximately 50% of cases and, at diagnosis, had smaller ICCs, higher chances of receiving surgery, and, consistently, better prognostic characteristics than non-surveyed cirrhotic patients, even considering a possible lead-time bias<sup>[197]</sup>.

## CONCLUSIONS

HCC and CC are the most common histological types of PLC. The incidence of PLC has increased in recent years, generating a considerable clinical and economic impact. Pre-existing CLD is often associated with PLC development. Chronic hepatitis owing to HBV and HCV is increasingly better treated; therefore, full-blown MetS and its features are the primary causes of CLD, especially in Western countries. Moreover, metabolic comorbidities, particularly *diabesity*, increased visceral fat, and MetS, are consistent risk factors for HCC development in patients with CLDs of various etiology. However, the association between metabolic comorbidities and CC is comparatively less robust, although diabetes and obesity are notable risk factors for CC development.

Pathogenic mechanisms involve metabolic PLC development, including complex immunological, cellular, pro-inflammatory, molecular, and genetic processes.

In conclusion, considering the escalating prevalence of metabolic dysfunction, it is necessary to increase awareness that metabolic liver disease is a factor or a cofactor in the development and progression of many PLC cases. This notion supports the definition of metabolic PLC as a novel disease entity. Improved understanding of pathomechanisms underlying the association of PLC with metabolic disorders calls for close surveillance and early diagnosis of at-risk patients while laying the groundwork for chemoprevention and novel drug management approaches of metabolic PLC.

## DECLARATIONS

### Authors' contributions

Conceived the study design and wrote the first draft of the article: Lugari S, Lonardo A  
All the authors contributed to refining the first draft and to addressing Reviewers' concerns.

### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

### Financial support and sponsorship

None.

### Conflicts of interest

All authors declared that there are no conflicts of interest.

### Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Copyright

© The Author(s) 2023.

### Copyright

© The Author(s) 2023.

## REFERENCES

1. Petrick JL, McGlynn KA. The changing epidemiology of primary liver cancer. *Curr Epidemiol Rep* 2019;6:104-11. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
2. Sharma R. Descriptive epidemiology of incidence and mortality of primary liver cancer in 185 countries: evidence from GLOBOCAN 2018. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2020;50:1370-9. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#)
3. Valery PC, Laversanne M, Clark PJ, Petrick JL, McGlynn KA, Bray F. Projections of primary liver cancer to 2030 in 30 countries worldwide. *Hepatology* 2018;67:600-11. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
4. Stepanova M, De Avila L, Afendy M, et al. Direct and indirect economic burden of chronic liver disease in the united states. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;15:759-766.e5. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#)
5. Razumilava N, Gores GJ. Liver transplantation for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma-authors' reply. *Lancet* 2014;384:1182-3. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#)
6. Tyson GL, El-Serag HB. Risk factors for cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology* 2011;54:173-84. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
7. Kirstein MM, Vogel A. Epidemiology and risk factors of cholangiocarcinoma. *Visc Med* 2016;32:395-400. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
8. Schizas D, Mastoraki A, Routsis E, et al. Combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma: an update on epidemiology, classification, diagnosis and management. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* 2020;19:515-23. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#)
9. Llovet JM, Kelley RK, Villanueva A, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2021;7:6. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#)
10. Herbst DA, Reddy KR. Risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Liver Dis (Hoboken)* 2013;1:180-2. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
11. Trinchet JC, Bourcier V, Chaffaut C, et al; ANRS CO12 CirVir Group. Complications and competing risks of death in compensated viral cirrhosis (ANRS CO12 CirVir prospective cohort). *Hepatology* 2015;62:737-50. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#)
12. Baecker A, Liu X, La Vecchia C, Zhang ZF. Worldwide incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma cases attributable to major risk factors. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2018;27:205-12. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
13. Fracanzani AL, Conte D, Fraquelli M, et al. Increased cancer risk in a cohort of 230 patients with hereditary hemochromatosis in comparison to matched control patients with non-iron-related chronic liver disease. *Hepatology* 2001;33:647-51. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#)
14. Turati F, Edefonti V, Talamini R, et al. Family history of liver cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2012;55:1416-25. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#)
15. Lonardo A, Ballestri S, Chow PKH, Suzuki A. Sex disparity in hepatocellular carcinoma owing to NAFLD and non-NAFLD etiology: epidemiological findings and pathobiological mechanisms. *HR* 2020:2020. [DOI](#)

16. Song J, Li Y, Bowlus CL, Yang G, Leung PSC, Gershwin ME. Cholangiocarcinoma in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC): a comprehensive review. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol* 2020;58:134-49. DOI PubMed
17. Cutolo C, Dell'Aversana F, Fusco R, et al. Combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma: what the multidisciplinary team should know. *Diagnostics (Basel)* 2022;12:890. DOI PubMed PMC
18. Beaufrère A, Calderaro J, Paradis V. Combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma: an update. *J Hepatol* 2021;74:1212-24. DOI PubMed
19. Choi JH, Ro JY. Combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma: an update on pathology and diagnostic approach. *Biomedicines* 2022;10:1826. DOI PubMed PMC
20. Gera S, Ettl M, Acosta-Gonzalez G, Xu R. Clinical features, histology, and histogenesis of combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma. *World J Hepatol* 2017;9:300-9. DOI PubMed PMC
21. Voiculescu M. How far we are towards eradication of HBV infection. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis* 2015;24:473-9. DOI PubMed
22. Fung J, Lai CL, Seto WK, Yuen MF. Nucleoside/nucleotide analogues in the treatment of chronic hepatitis B. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011;66:2715-25. DOI PubMed
23. Romagnoli D. Elimination of hepatitis C virus infection in Europe: targeting the obstacles. *Exploration of Medicine*. DOI
24. Lonardo A, Mantovani A. Clearing hepatitis C virus with direct antiviral agents reduces cardiovascular events in patients with prediabetes. Commentary to Sasso and colleagues. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2021;31:2354-7. DOI PubMed
25. Moon AM, Singal AG, Tapper EB. Contemporary epidemiology of chronic liver disease and cirrhosis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020;18:2650-66. DOI PubMed PMC
26. Lonardo A, Leoni S, Alswat KA, Fouad Y. History of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020;21:5888. DOI PubMed PMC
27. Piscaglia F, Svegliati-Baroni G, Barchetti A, et al; HCC-NAFLD Italian Study Group. Clinical patterns of hepatocellular carcinoma in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a multicenter prospective study. *Hepatology* 2016;63:827-38. DOI PubMed
28. Lonardo F, Ballouk C. Metabolism and cancer-select topics. *Metab Target Organ Damage* 2022;2:8. DOI
29. Lonardo F. Cancer in individuals with obesity and metabolic disorders. A preventable epidemic? *Metab Target Organ Damage* 2022;2:20. DOI
30. Ahmed M, Mäkinen VP, Lumsden A, et al. Metabolic profile predicts incident cancer: a large-scale population study in the UK Biobank. *Metabolism* 2023;138:155342. DOI PubMed
31. Trevisani F, Frigerio M, Santi V, Grignaschi A, Bernardi M. Hepatocellular carcinoma in non-cirrhotic liver: a reappraisal. *Dig Liver Dis* 2010;42:341-7. DOI PubMed
32. Schütte K, Schulz C, Poranzke J, et al. Characterization and prognosis of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in the non-cirrhotic liver. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2014;14:117. DOI PubMed PMC
33. Gaddikeri S, McNeely MF, Wang CL, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in the noncirrhotic liver. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2014;203:W34-47. DOI PubMed
34. Bengtsson B, Stål P, Wahlin S, Björkström NK, Hagström H. Characteristics and outcome of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with NAFLD without cirrhosis. *Liver Int* 2019;39:1098-108. DOI PubMed
35. Haider MB, Al Sbihi A, Chaudhary AJ, Haider SM, Edhi AI. Hereditary hemochromatosis: temporal trends, sociodemographic characteristics, and independent risk factor of hepatocellular cancer - nationwide population-based study. *World J Hepatol* 2022;14:1804-16. DOI PubMed PMC
36. Jamwal R, Krishnan V, Kushwaha DS, Khurana R. Hepatocellular carcinoma in non-cirrhotic versus cirrhotic liver: a clinico-radiological comparative analysis. *Abdom Radiol (NY)* 2020;45:2378-87. DOI PubMed
37. Chrysavgis L, Giannakodimos I, Diamantopoulou P, Cholongitas E. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma: clinical challenges of an intriguing link. *World J Gastroenterol* 2022;28:310-31. DOI PubMed PMC
38. Gawrieh S, Dakhoul L, Miller E, et al. Characteristics, aetiologies and trends of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients without cirrhosis: a United States multicentre study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2019;50:809-21. DOI PubMed
39. Stine JG, Wentworth BJ, Zimmet A, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis without cirrhosis compared to other liver diseases. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2018;48:696-703. DOI PubMed PMC
40. Tarao K, Nozaki A, Ikeda T, et al. Real impact of liver cirrhosis on the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in various liver diseases-meta-analytic assessment. *Cancer Med* 2019;8:1054-65. DOI PubMed PMC
41. Pinyopornpanish K, Khoudari G, Saleh MA, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with or without cirrhosis: a population-based study. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2021;21:394. DOI PubMed PMC
42. Davila JA, Morgan RO, Shaib Y, McGlynn KA, El-Serag HB. Diabetes increases the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in the United States: a population based case control study. *Gut* 2005;54:533-9. DOI PubMed PMC
43. Welzel TM, Graubard BI, Zeuzem S, El-Serag HB, Davila JA, McGlynn KA. Metabolic syndrome increases the risk of primary liver cancer in the United States: a study in the SEER-Medicare database. *Hepatology* 2011;54:463-71. DOI PubMed PMC
44. Welzel TM, Graubard BI, Quraishi S, Zeuzem S, Davila JA, El-Serag HB, McGlynn KA. Population-attributable fractions of risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma in the United States. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013;108:1314-21. DOI PubMed PMC
45. Raff EJ, Kakati D, Bloomer JR, Shoreibah M, Rasheed K, Singal AK. Diabetes mellitus predicts occurrence of cirrhosis and hepatocellular cancer in alcoholic liver and non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases. *J Clin Transl Hepatol* 2015;3:9-16. DOI PubMed PMC

46. Pang Y, Kartsonaki C, Turnbull I, et al. Diabetes, plasma glucose, and incidence of fatty liver, cirrhosis, and liver cancer: a prospective study of 0.5 million people. *Hepatology* 2018;68:1308-18. DOI PubMed PMC
47. Yang JD, Ahmed F, Mara KC, et al. Diabetes is associated with increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis from nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2020;71:907-16. DOI PubMed PMC
48. Kramer JR, Natarajan Y, Dai J, Yu X, Li L, El-Serag HB, Kanwal F. Effect of diabetes medications and glycemic control on risk of hepatocellular cancer in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2022;75:1420-8. DOI PubMed PMC
49. Doycheva I, Zhang T, Amjad W, Thuluvath PJ. Diabetes and hepatocellular carcinoma: incidence trends and impact of liver disease etiology. *J Clin Exp Hepatol* 2020;10:296-303. DOI PubMed PMC
50. Detterbeck FC, Kumbasar U. Systematic flaws in the use of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Chest* 2022;161:1150-2. DOI PubMed
51. Mrzljak A, Cigrovski Berković M, Giovanardi F, Lai Q. The prognostic role of diabetes mellitus type 2 in the setting of hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Croat Med J* 2022;63:176-86. DOI PubMed PMC
52. Yang C, Wan M, Lu Y, et al. Associations between diabetes mellitus and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in Asian individuals with hepatitis B and C infection: systematic review and a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2022;31:107-16. DOI PubMed
53. Vánca S, Németh D, Hegyi P, et al. Diabetes mellitus increases the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma after direct-acting antiviral therapy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2021;8:744512. DOI PubMed PMC
54. Li Q, Xu H, Sui C, Zhang H. Impact of metformin use on risk and mortality of hepatocellular carcinoma in diabetes mellitus. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* 2022;46:101781. DOI PubMed
55. Zhou J, Ke Y, Lei X, et al. Meta-analysis: The efficacy of metformin and other anti-hyperglycemic agents in prolonging the survival of hepatocellular carcinoma patients with type 2 diabetes. *Ann Hepatol* 2020;19:320-8. DOI PubMed
56. Tan Y, Wei S, Zhang W, Yang J, Yang J, Yan L. Type 2 diabetes mellitus increases the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in subjects with chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Cancer Manag Res* 2019;11:705-13. DOI PubMed PMC
57. Wang P, Kang D, Cao W, Wang Y, Liu Z. Diabetes mellitus and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2012;28:109-22. DOI PubMed
58. Gupta A, Das A, Majumder K, et al. Obesity is Independently associated with increased risk of hepatocellular cancer-related mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2018;41:874-81. DOI PubMed PMC
59. Li Y, Shi J, Liu X, Deng Q, Huang Y, Yang Z. Metabolic syndrome relates to high risk in hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. *Discov Med* 2018;26:185-196. PubMed
60. Wang C, Wang X, Gong G, et al. Increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Int J Cancer* 2012;130:1639-48. DOI PubMed
61. Tsai PC, Kuo HT, Hung CH, et al; T-COACH Study Group. Metformin reduces hepatocellular carcinoma incidence after successful antiviral therapy in patients with diabetes and chronic hepatitis C in Taiwan. *J Hepatol* 2023;78:281-92. DOI PubMed
62. Jun BG, Kim M, Shin HS, Yi JJ, Yi SW. Impact of overweight and obesity on the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma: a prospective cohort study in 14.3 million Koreans. *Br J Cancer* 2022;127:109-15. DOI PubMed PMC
63. Nair S, Mason A, Eason J, Loss G, Perrillo RP. Is obesity an independent risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis? *Hepatology* 2002;36:150-5. DOI PubMed
64. Ohata K, Hamasaki K, Toriyama K, et al. Hepatic steatosis is a risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Cancer* 2003;97:3036-43. DOI PubMed
65. Tanaka A, Uegaki S, Kurihara H, et al. Hepatic steatosis as a possible risk factor for the development of hepatocellular carcinoma after eradication of hepatitis C virus with antiviral therapy in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *World J Gastroenterol* 2007;13:5180-7. DOI PubMed PMC
66. Ohki T, Tateishi R, Shiina S, et al. Visceral fat accumulation is an independent risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence after curative treatment in patients with suspected NASH. *Gut* 2009;58:839-44. DOI PubMed
67. El-Serag HB, Tran T, Everhart JE. Diabetes increases the risk of chronic liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2004;126:460-8. DOI PubMed
68. Tseng CH. Diabetes is not an independent risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2013;29:515-24. DOI PubMed
69. Regimbeau JM, Colombat M, Mognol P, et al. Obesity and diabetes as a risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Transpl* 2004;10:S69-73. DOI PubMed
70. Watanabe N, Takai K, Imai K, et al. Increased levels of serum leptin are a risk factor for the recurrence of stage I/II hepatocellular carcinoma after curative treatment. *J Clin Biochem Nutr* 2011;49:153-8. DOI PubMed PMC
71. Jain D, Nayak NC, Saigal S. Hepatocellular carcinoma in nonalcoholic fatty liver cirrhosis and alcoholic cirrhosis: risk factor analysis in liver transplant recipients. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012;24:840-8. DOI PubMed
72. Gupta SP, Mittal A, Sathian B, Jha DK. Elevated serum insulin is an independent risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma: a case control study from Nepal. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2013;14:7331-3. DOI PubMed
73. Ibrahim AA, Abdel Aleem MH, Abdella HM, Helmy A. Study of the role of insulin resistance as a risk factor in HCV related hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol* 2015;45:107-13. DOI PubMed

74. Kamachi S, Mizuta T, Otsuka T, et al. Sarcopenia is a risk factor for the recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after curative treatment. *Hepatol Res* 2016;46:201-8. DOI PubMed
75. Azuma S, Asahina Y, Kakinuma S, et al. Diabetic retinopathy as a risk factor associated with the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Dig Dis* 2019;37:247-54. DOI PubMed
76. Valdés-Peregrina EN, Sánchez-Hernández BE, Gamboa-Domínguez A. Metabolic syndrome instead of aflatoxin-related tp53 r249s mutation as a hepatocellular carcinoma risk factor. *Rev Invest Clin* 2020:72. DOI PubMed
77. Ohki T, Tateishi R, Sato T, et al. Obesity is an independent risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma development in chronic hepatitis C patients. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008;6:459-64. DOI PubMed
78. Konishi I, Hiasa Y, Shigematsu S, et al. Diabetes pattern on the 75 g oral glucose tolerance test is a risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with hepatitis C virus. *Liver Int* 2009;29:1194-201. DOI PubMed
79. Takahashi H, Mizuta T, Eguchi Y, et al. Post-challenge hyperglycemia is a significant risk factor for the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *J Gastroenterol* 2011;46:790-8. DOI PubMed
80. Li X, Xu H, Gao P. Diabetes Mellitus is a risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection in China. *Med Sci Monit* 2018;24:6729-34. DOI PubMed PMC
81. Kurosaki M, Hosokawa T, Matsunaga K, et al. Hepatic steatosis in chronic hepatitis C is a significant risk factor for developing hepatocellular carcinoma independent of age, sex, obesity, fibrosis stage and response to interferon therapy. *Hepatol Res* 2010;40:870-7. DOI PubMed
82. Ji D, Chen GF, Niu XX, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is a risk factor for occurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after sustained virologic response in chronic hepatitis C patients: a prospective four-years follow-up study. *Metabol Open* 2021;10:100090. DOI PubMed PMC
83. Fan R, Niu J, Ma H, et al; Chronic Hepatitis B Study Consortium. Association of central obesity with hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic hepatitis B receiving antiviral therapy. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2021;54:329-38. DOI PubMed
84. Imai K, Takai K, Miwa T, et al. Higher accumulation of visceral adipose tissue is an independent risk factor for hepatocellular carcinoma among viral hepatitis patients with non-cirrhotic livers. *Cancers (Basel)* 2021;13:5980. DOI PubMed PMC
85. Zhang L, Yuan Q, Li M, Chai D, Deng W, Wang W. The association of leptin and adiponectin with hepatocellular carcinoma risk and prognosis: a combination of traditional, survival, and dose-response meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* 2020;20:1167. DOI PubMed PMC
86. Piñero F, Costa P, Boteon YL, et al; Latin American Liver Research; Education; Awareness Network (LALREAN). A changing etiologic scenario in liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma in a multicenter cohort study from Latin America. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* 2018;42:443-52. DOI PubMed
87. Van Iderstine M, Iluz-Freundlich D, Dolovich C, Villarin E, Minuk GY. Liver disease referrals to an urban, hospital-based hepatology outpatient clinic over the past 25 years. *JGH Open* 2020;4:484-9. DOI
88. Zou B, Yeo YH, Jeong D, et al. A nationwide study of inpatient admissions, mortality, and costs for patients with cirrhosis from 2005 to 2015 in the USA. *Dig Dis Sci* 2020;65:1520-8. DOI PubMed
89. Gu W, Hortlik H, Erasmus HP, et al. Trends and the course of liver cirrhosis and its complications in Germany: nationwide population-based study (2005 to 2018). *Lancet Reg Health Eur* 2022;12:100240. DOI PubMed PMC
90. Paik JM, Kabbara K, Eberly KE, Younossi Y, Henry L, Younossi ZM. Global burden of NAFLD and chronic liver disease among adolescents and young adults. *Hepatology* 2022;75:1204-17. DOI PubMed
91. Ahmad MI, Khan MU, Kodali S, Shetty A, Bell SM, Victor D. Hepatocellular carcinoma due to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: current concepts and future challenges. *J Hepatocell Carcinoma* 2022;9:477-96. DOI PubMed PMC
92. Benhammou JN, Lin J, Aby ES, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-related hepatocellular carcinoma growth rates and their clinical outcomes. *Hepatoma Res* 2021;7:70. DOI PubMed PMC
93. Younossi Z, Stepanova M, Ong JP, et al; Global Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Council. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is the fastest growing cause of hepatocellular carcinoma in liver transplant candidates. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019;17:748-755.e3. DOI PubMed
94. Kuper H, Lagiou P, Mucci LA, Tamimi R, Benetou V, Trichopoulos D. Risk factors for cholangiocarcinoma in a low risk Caucasian population. *Soz Praventivmed* 2001;46:182-5. DOI PubMed
95. Shaib YH, El-Serag HB, Davila JA, Morgan R, McGlynn KA. Risk factors of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in the United States: a case-control study. *Gastroenterology* 2005;128:620-6. DOI PubMed
96. Chang JS, Tsai CR, Chen LT. Medical risk factors associated with cholangiocarcinoma in Taiwan: a population-based case-control study. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e69981. DOI PubMed PMC
97. Lee BS, Cha BH, Park EC, Roh J. Risk factors for perihilar cholangiocarcinoma: a hospital-based case-control study. *Liver Int* 2015;35:1048-53. DOI PubMed
98. Barner-Rasmussen N, Pukkala E, Hadkhale K, Färkkilä M. Risk factors, epidemiology and prognosis of cholangiocarcinoma in Finland. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2021;9:1128-35. DOI PubMed PMC
99. Welzel TM, Mellemejkjaer L, Gloria G, et al. Risk factors for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in a low-risk population: a nationwide case-control study. *Int J Cancer* 2007;120:638-41. DOI PubMed
100. Palmer WC, Patel T. Are common factors involved in the pathogenesis of primary liver cancers? *J Hepatol* 2012;57:69-76. DOI PubMed PMC
101. Chaiteerakij R, Yang JD, Harmsen WS, et al. Risk factors for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: association between metformin use

- and reduced cancer risk. *Hepatology* 2013;57:648-55. DOI PubMed PMC
102. Ahrens W, Timmer A, Vyberg M, et al. Risk factors for extrahepatic biliary tract carcinoma in men: medical conditions and lifestyle: results from a European multicentre case-control study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;19:623-30. DOI PubMed
103. Welzel TM, Graubard BI, El-Serag HB, et al. Risk factors for intrahepatic and extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in the United States: a population-based case-control study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:1221-8. DOI PubMed PMC
104. Zhou YM, Yin ZF, Yang JM, et al. Risk factors for intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a case-control study in China. *World J Gastroenterol* 2008;14:632-5. DOI PubMed
105. Zhou Z, Nie SD, Jiang B, Wang J, Lv P. Risk factors for extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a case-control study in China. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2019;28:254-7. DOI PubMed
106. Choi J, Ghazizadeh HM, Peerapattit T, et al. Aspirin use and the risk of cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology* 2016;64:785-96. DOI PubMed
107. Petrick JL, Yang B, Altekruse SF, et al. Risk factors for intrahepatic and extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in the United States: a population-based study in SEER-Medicare. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0186643. DOI PubMed PMC
108. Chung T, Park YN. Up-to-date pathologic classification and molecular characteristics of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2022;9:857140. DOI PubMed PMC
109. Aishima S, Oda Y. Pathogenesis and classification of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: different characters of perihilar large duct type versus peripheral small duct type. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci* 2015;22:94-100. DOI PubMed
110. Vij M, Puri Y, Rammohan A, et al. Pathological, molecular, and clinical characteristics of cholangiocarcinoma: a comprehensive review. *World J Gastrointest Oncol* 2022;14:607-27. DOI PubMed PMC
111. Zen Y. Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: typical features, uncommon variants, and controversial related entities. *Hum Pathol* 2023;132:197-207. DOI PubMed
112. der Stroth L, Tharehalli U, Günes C, Lechel A. Telomeres and telomerase in the development of liver cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* 2020;12:2048. DOI PubMed PMC
113. Mangnall D, Bird NC, Majeed AW. The molecular physiology of liver regeneration following partial hepatectomy. *Liver Int* 2003;23:124-38. DOI PubMed
114. Lonardo A, Lugari S, Nascimbeni F. Telomere shortening: an innocent bystander at the crossroad of NASH with ageing and cardiometabolic risk? *Liver Int* 2018;38:1730-2. DOI PubMed
115. Donati B, Valenti L. Telomeres, NAFLD and chronic liver disease. *Int J Mol Sci* 2016;17:383. DOI PubMed PMC
116. Calderaro J, Ziol M, Paradis V, Zucman-Rossi J. Molecular and histological correlations in liver cancer. *J Hepatol* 2019;71:616-30. DOI PubMed
117. Khemlina G, Ikeda S, Kurzrock R. The biology of Hepatocellular carcinoma: implications for genomic and immune therapies. *Mol Cancer* 2017;16:149. DOI PubMed PMC
118. Takai A, Dang HT, Wang XW. Identification of drivers from cancer genome diversity in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int J Mol Sci* 2014;15:11142-60. DOI PubMed PMC
119. Ng CKY, Dazert E, Boldanova T, et al. Integrative proteogenomic characterization of hepatocellular carcinoma across etiologies and stages. *Nat Commun* 2022;13:2436. DOI PubMed PMC
120. Ioannou GN. Epidemiology and risk-stratification of NAFLD-associated HCC. *J Hepatol* 2021;75:1476-84. DOI PubMed
121. Campani C, Nault J. Molecular mechanisms of liver carcinogenesis related to metabolic syndrome. *HR* 2022. DOI
122. Montella L, Sarno F, Ambrosino A, et al. The role of immunotherapy in a tolerogenic environment: current and future perspectives for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cells* 2021;10:1909. DOI PubMed PMC
123. Ringelhan M, Pfister D, O'Connor T, Pikarsky E, Heikenwalder M. The immunology of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Immunol* 2018;19:222-32. DOI PubMed
124. Crispe IN. The liver as a lymphoid organ. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2009;27:147-63. DOI PubMed
125. Wada Y, Nakashima O, Kutami R, Yamamoto O, Kojiro M. Clinicopathological study on hepatocellular carcinoma with lymphocytic infiltration. *Hepatology* 1998;27:407-14. DOI PubMed
126. Lei Q, Yan X, Zou H, et al. Efficacy and safety of monotherapy and combination therapy of immune checkpoint inhibitors as first-line treatment for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review, meta-analysis and network meta-analysis. *Discov Oncol* 2022;13:95. DOI PubMed PMC
127. Jácóme AA, Castro ACG, Vasconcelos JPS, et al. Efficacy and safety associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4:e2136128. DOI PubMed
128. Wang R, Lin N, Mao B, Wu Q. The efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis based on 40 cohorts incorporating 3697 individuals. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2022;148:1195-210. DOI PubMed
129. Pfister D, Núñez NG, Pinyol R, et al. NASH limits anti-tumour surveillance in immunotherapy-treated HCC. *Nature* 2021;592:450-6. DOI PubMed PMC
130. Haber PK, Puigvehí M, Castet F, et al. Evidence-based management of hepatocellular carcinoma: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (2002-2020). *Gastroenterology* 2021;161:879-98. DOI PubMed
131. Rui L, Lin JD. Reprogramming of hepatic metabolism and microenvironment in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Annu Rev Nutr* 2022;42:91-113. DOI PubMed
132. Peiseler M, Schwabe R, Hampe J, Kubes P, Heikenwälder M, Tacke F. Immune mechanisms linking metabolic injury to inflammation and fibrosis in fatty liver disease - novel insights into cellular communication circuits. *J Hepatol* 2022;77:1136-60.

[DOI PubMed](#)

133. Wabitsch S, McCallen JD, Kamenyeva O, et al. Metformin treatment rescues CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response to immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy in mice with NAFLD. *J Hepatol* 2022;77:748-60. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
134. Kartsoli S, Kostara CE, Tsimihodimos V, Bairaktari ET, Christodoulou DK. Lipidomics in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Hepatol* 2020;12:436-50. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
135. Higashi T, Friedman SL, Hoshida Y. Hepatic stellate cells as key target in liver fibrosis. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2017;121:27-42. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
136. Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address: easloffice@easloffice.eu; European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines: management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2018;69:182-236. [DOI](#)
137. Marrero JA, Kulik LM, Sirlin CB, et al. Diagnosis, staging, and management of hepatocellular carcinoma: 2018 practice guidance by the american association for the study of liver diseases. *Hepatology* 2018;68:723-50. [DOI PubMed](#)
138. Tsochatzis EA, Bosch J, Burroughs AK. Liver cirrhosis. *Lancet* 2014;383:1749-61. [DOI PubMed](#)
139. Risk MC, Knudsen BS, Coleman I, et al. Differential gene expression in benign prostate epithelium of men with and without prostate cancer: evidence for a prostate cancer field effect. *Clin Cancer Res* 2010;16:5414-23. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
140. Ma C, Kesarwala AH, Eggert T, et al. NAFLD causes selective CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte loss and promotes hepatocarcinogenesis. *Nature* 2016;531:253-7. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
141. Dapito DH, Mencin A, Gwak GY, et al. Promotion of hepatocellular carcinoma by the intestinal microbiota and TLR4. *Cancer Cell* 2012;21:504-16. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
142. Ma C, Han M, Heinrich B, Fu Q. Gut microbiome-mediated bile acid metabolism regulates liver cancer via NKT cells. *Science* 2018;360:eaan5931. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
143. Jiang Y, Chen P, Hu K, et al. Inflammatory microenvironment of fibrotic liver promotes hepatocellular carcinoma growth, metastasis and sorafenib resistance through STAT3 activation. *J Cell Mol Med* 2021;25:1568-82. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
144. Qin W, Cao Z, Liu S, Xu X. Recent advances regarding tumor microenvironment and immunotherapy in hepatocellular carcinoma. *HR* 2020:2020. [DOI](#)
145. Lonardo A, Nascimbeni F, Maurantonio M, Marrazzo A, Rinaldi L, Adinolfi LE. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: evolving paradigms. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017;23:6571-92. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
146. Balakrishnan M, El-Serag HB. Editorial: NAFLD-related hepatocellular carcinoma - increasing or not? *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2018;47:437-438. [DOI PubMed](#)
147. Lonardo A, Singal AK, Osna N, Kharbanda KK. Effect of cofactors on NAFLD/NASH and MAFLD. A paradigm illustrating the pathomechanics of organ dysfunction. *Metab Target Organ Damage* 2022;2:12. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
148. Avgerinos KI, Spyrou N, Mantzoros CS, Dalamaga M. Obesity and cancer risk: emerging biological mechanisms and perspectives. *Metabolism* 2019;92:121-35. [DOI PubMed](#)
149. Dewidar B, Kahl S, Paffli K, Roden M. Metabolic liver disease in diabetes - from mechanisms to clinical trials. *Metabolism* 2020;111S:154299. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
150. Hashiba M, Ono M, Hyogo H, et al. Glycemic variability is an independent predictive factor for development of hepatic fibrosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e76161. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
151. Kobayashi D, Noto H, Takahashi O, Shimbo T. Glycemic variability and subsequent malignancies among the population without diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2020;159:107987. [DOI PubMed](#)
152. Yoo JJ, Cho EJ, Han K, et al. Glucose variability and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with diabetes: a nationwide population-based study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2021;30:974-81. [DOI PubMed](#)
153. Mao D, Lau ESH, Wu H, et al. Risk associations of glycemic burden and obesity with liver cancer-a 10-year analysis of 15,280 patients with type 2 diabetes. *Hepatol Commun* 2022;6:1350-60. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
154. Mao D, Lau ESH, Wu H, et al. Risk associations of long-term HbA1c variability and obesity on cancer events and cancer-specific death in 15,286 patients with diabetes - a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Reg Health West Pac* 2022;18:100315. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
155. Shin HS, Jun BG, Yi SW. Impact of diabetes, obesity, and dyslipidemia on the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic liver diseases. *Clin Mol Hepatol* ;28:773-789. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
156. Zhang X, Wong GL, Yip TC, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors prevent liver-related events in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2022;76:469-82. [DOI PubMed](#)
157. L.; Deng, C.; Lin, Z.; Giovannucci, E.; Zhang, X. Dietary fats, serum cholesterol and liver cancer risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Cancers* 2021;13:1580. [DOI PubMed](#)
158. Kechagias S, Nasr P, Blomdahl J, Ekstedt M. Established and emerging factors affecting the progression of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Metabolism* 2020;111S:154183. [DOI PubMed](#)
159. Guarino M, Kumar P, Felser A, Terracciano LM, Guixé-Muntet S, Humar B, Foti M, Nuoffer JM, St-Pierre MV, Dufour JF. Exercise attenuates the transition from fatty liver to steatohepatitis and reduces tumor formation in mice. *Cancers (Basel)* ;12:1407. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
160. Fages A, Duarte-Salles T, Stepien M, et al. Metabolomic profiles of hepatocellular carcinoma in a European prospective cohort. *BMC Med* 2015;13:242. [DOI PubMed PMC](#)
161. Gao HC, Lu Q, Liu X, Cong H, Zhao LC, Wang HM. Application of H-1 NMR-based metabolomics in the study of metabolic

- profiling of human hepatocellular carcinoma and liver cirrhosis. *Cancer Sci.* 2009;100:782-5. DOI
162. Jiang H, Hu D, Wang J, Zhang B, He C, Ning J. Adiponectin and the risk of gastrointestinal cancers in East Asians: mendelian randomization analysis. *Cancer Med* 2022;11:2397-404. DOI PubMed PMC
  163. Liu ZY, Luo Y, Fang AP, Wusiman M, He TT, Liu XZ, Yishake D, Chen S, Lu XT, Zhang YJ, Zhu HL. High serum fibroblast growth factor 21 is associated with inferior hepatocellular carcinoma survival: a prospective cohort study. *Liver Int* 2022;42:663-73. DOI PubMed
  164. Fouassier L, Marzioni M, Afonso MB, et al. Signalling networks in cholangiocarcinoma: molecular pathogenesis, targeted therapies and drug resistance. *Liver Int* 2019;39 Suppl 1:43-62. DOI PubMed
  165. Labib PL, Goodchild G, Pereira SP. Molecular pathogenesis of cholangiocarcinoma. *BMC Cancer* 2019;19:185. DOI PubMed PMC
  166. Haga H, Yan IK, Takahashi K, Wood J, Zubair A, Patel T. Tumour cell-derived extracellular vesicles interact with mesenchymal stem cells to modulate the microenvironment and enhance cholangiocarcinoma growth. *J Extracell Vesicles* 2015;4:24900. DOI PubMed PMC
  167. Morikawa M, Derynck R, Miyazono K. TGF- $\beta$  and the TGF- $\beta$  family: context-dependent roles in cell and tissue physiology. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2016;8:a021873. DOI PubMed PMC
  168. Fabregat I, Moreno-Càceres J, Sánchez A, Dooley S, Dewidar B, Giannelli G, Ten Dijke P; IT-LIVER Consortium. TGF- $\beta$  signalling and liver disease. *FEBS J* 2016;283:2219-32. DOI PubMed
  169. Papoutsoglou P, Louis C, Coulouarn C. Transforming growth factor-beta (TGF $\beta$ ) signaling pathway in cholangiocarcinoma. *Cells* 2019;8:960. DOI PubMed PMC
  170. Yun YR, Won JE, Jeon E, et al. Fibroblast growth factors: biology, function, and application for tissue regeneration. *J Tissue Eng* 2010;2010:218142. DOI PubMed PMC
  171. Itoh N, Ornitz DM. Fibroblast growth factors: from molecular evolution to roles in development, metabolism and disease. *J Biochem* 2011;149:121-30. DOI PubMed PMC
  172. Wesche J, Haglund K, Haugsten EM. Fibroblast growth factors and their receptors in cancer. *Biochem J* 2011;437:199-213. DOI PubMed
  173. Chen L, Zhang Y, Yin L, Cai B, Huang P, Li X, Liang G. Fibroblast growth factor receptor fusions in cancer: opportunities and challenges. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 2021;40:345. DOI PubMed PMC
  174. Jin W. ErBb Family Proteins in Cholangiocarcinoma and Clinical Implications. *J Clin Med* 2020;9:2255. DOI PubMed PMC
  175. Onori P, Wise C, Gaudio E, et al. Secretin inhibits cholangiocarcinoma growth via dysregulation of the cAMP-dependent signaling mechanisms of secretin receptor. *Int J Cancer* 2010;127:43-54. DOI PubMed
  176. Yothaisong S, Dokduang H, Techasen A, et al. Increased activation of PI3K/AKT signaling pathway is associated with cholangiocarcinoma metastasis and PI3K/mTOR inhibition presents a possible therapeutic strategy. *Tumour Biol* 2013;34:3637-48. DOI PubMed
  177. Tanimizu N, Miyajima A. Notch signaling controls hepatoblast differentiation by altering the expression of liver-enriched transcription factors. *J Cell Sci* 2004;117:3165-74. DOI PubMed
  178. Takebe N, Miele L, Harris PJ, et al. Targeting notch, hedgehog, and wnt pathways in cancer stem cells: clinical update. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2015;12:445-64. DOI PubMed PMC
  179. Mancarella S, Serino G, Gigante I, et al. CD90 is regulated by notch1 and hallmarks a more aggressive intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma phenotype. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 2022;41:65. DOI PubMed PMC
  180. O'Rourke CJ, Matter MS, Nepal C, et al. Identification of a pan-gamma-secretase inhibitor response signature for notch-driven cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology* 2020;71:196-213. DOI PubMed PMC
  181. Perugorria MJ, Olaizola P, Labiano I, Esparza-Baquer A, Marzioni M, Marin JGG, Bujanda L, Banales JM. Wnt- $\beta$ -catenin signalling in liver development, health and disease. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019;16:121-36. DOI PubMed
  182. Shen DY, Zhang W, Zeng X, Liu CQ. Inhibition of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling downregulates P-glycoprotein and reverses multi-drug resistance of cholangiocarcinoma. *Cancer Sci* 2013;104:1303-8. DOI PubMed PMC
  183. Wu MJ, Shi L, Merritt J, Zhu AX, Bardeesy N. Biology of IDH mutant cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology* 2022;75:1322-37. DOI PubMed
  184. Tsimafeyeu I, Temper M. Cholangiocarcinoma: an emerging target for molecular therapy. *Gastrointest Tumors* 2021;8:153-8. DOI PubMed PMC
  185. Luchini C, Nottegar A. The roles of chromatin remodeling genes in pancreatic-biliary malignancies. *Crit Rev Oncog* 2017;22:471-479. DOI PubMed
  186. Liu ZH, Lian BF, Dong QZ, et al. Whole-exome mutational and transcriptional landscapes of combined hepatocellular cholangiocarcinoma and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma reveal molecular diversity. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis* 2018;1864:2360-8. DOI PubMed
  187. Chung T, Rhee H, Shim HS, Yoo JE, Choi GH, Kim H, Park YN. Genetic, clinicopathological, and radiological features of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma with ductal plate malformation pattern. *Gut Liver* 2022;16:613-24. DOI PubMed PMC
  188. Bruix J, Sherman M; American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. *Hepatology* 2011;53:1020-2. DOI PubMed PMC
  189. Radu P, Dufour JF. Sonography in surveillance for HCC in NAFLD patients. *Hepatoma Res* 2022;8:38. DOI

190. Loomba R, Lim JK, Patton H, El-Serag HB. AGA clinical practice update on screening and surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: expert review. *Gastroenterology* 2020;158:1822-30. DOI PubMed PMC
191. Ioannou GN. Editorial: hepatocellular carcinoma in the absence of cirrhosis-a nightmare without solution. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2019;50:1062-3. DOI PubMed
192. Plaz Torres MC, Bordini G, Furnari M, et al. Surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: universal or selective? *Cancers (Basel)* 2020;12:1422. DOI
193. Cholankeril G, El-Serag HB. Current challenges and future direction in surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Semin Liver Dis* 2023;43:89-99. DOI PubMed
194. Bowlus CL, Lim JK, Lindor KD. AGA clinical practice update on surveillance for hepatobiliary cancers in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis: expert review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019;17:2416-22. DOI PubMed
195. Sripa B, Pairojkul C. Cholangiocarcinoma: lessons from Thailand. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2008;24:349-56. DOI PubMed PMC
196. Siripongsakun S, Vidhyakorn S, Charuswattanakul S, et al. Ultrasound surveillance for cholangiocarcinoma in an endemic area: a prove of survival benefits. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018;33:1383-8. DOI PubMed
197. Tovoli F, Guerra P, Iavarone M, et al. Surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma also improves survival of incidentally detected intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma arisen in liver cirrhosis. *Liver Cancer* 2020;9:744-55. DOI PubMed PMC