

Editorial

Open Access



# The future implementation of artificial intelligence technology in esophageal surgery

George Peek, Sharona B. Ross

Digestive Health Institute, AdventHealth Tampa, Tampa, FL 33613, USA.

**Correspondence to:** Prof. Sharona B. Ross, Digestive Health Institute, AdventHealth Tampa, FL3000 Medical Park Dr, Tampa, FL 33613, USA. E-mail: mysharonaross@gmail.com

**How to cite this article:** Peek G, Ross SB. The future implementation of artificial intelligence technology in esophageal surgery. *Art Int Surg* 2023;3:249-54. <https://dx.doi.org/10.20517/ais.2023.56>

**Received:** 14 Dec 2023 **Accepted:** 20 Dec 2023 **Published:** 28 Dec 2023

**Academic Editor:** Marialuisa Lugaresi **Copy Editor:** Pei-Yun Wang **Production Editor:** Pei-Yun Wang

## INTRODUCTION

The integration of robotics has significantly enhanced the ergonomics of minimally invasive esophagectomy (MIE), offering promising advantages in terms of safety and effectiveness<sup>[1]</sup>. The current mainstay of curative treatment is esophageal resection. The need to access the thoracic cavity has driven surgeons to perform esophagectomy in less invasive approaches in an attempt to reduce morbidity associated with thoracotomy. The robotic approach offers several potential benefits compared to traditional “open” and even minimally invasive laparoscopic approaches. These benefits may include improved visualization, enhanced dexterity, reduced blood loss, fewer incisions, and faster recovery times<sup>[2]</sup>. Studies have shown that, compared to non-robotic approaches, robotic THE has no apparent significant differences in charges, cost, or profitability<sup>[3]</sup>. One of the main hinderances to the widespread adoption of robotic technology in Surgery is its high cost. Therefore, improving the operative strategies and outcomes of esophageal resections is essential in mastering robotic THE.

Over the years, technical refinements in the procedure have led to enhanced short and long-term results. Robotic-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) was introduced as a solution to address the inherent limitations and technical challenges associated with traditional MIE. RAMIE has been proven to be a safe and viable alternative, resulting in reduced cardiopulmonary complications, wound infections, blood loss, and hospital stays compared to open esophagectomy. Recent meta-analyses and systematic reviews



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



indicate that RAMIE is on par with conventional MIE, and the potential advantages mentioned above could soon lead to a shift in the standard care for resectable disease patients<sup>[4]</sup>. While numerous studies have examined open esophagectomy and MIE, a debate persists regarding the comparative oncologic effectiveness of the transhiatal (THE) and transthoracic (TTE) approaches. Comparative investigations between these two approaches suggest that the transhiatal approach offers an early survival advantage with lower mortality rates and higher 5-year survival rates, but no significant difference in long-term survival<sup>[5]</sup>. Moreover, several studies have noted that the THE approach may be the preferred method for older or high-risk surgical patients, as THE prioritizes minimizing complications and prompt postoperative recovery<sup>[6]</sup>. However, it is essential to note that despite advocating for this technique, its superiority over the transthoracic approach remains somewhat limited, as both methods have demonstrated satisfactory outcomes<sup>[7]</sup>. To this point, recent studies show that the volume of experience of the surgeon is probably a more important factor in predicting operative mortality and complication rates than the choice between the transthoracic approach and the transhiatal approach<sup>[8]</sup>.

The FDA-approved da Vinci robotic platform by Intuitive Inc.® assists in a wide range of abdominal operations, particularly those involving deep and narrow anatomical spaces. The robot includes three fundamental techniques: freely swinging arms, three-dimensional high-definition video image technology, and human-computer interaction design of the main control console<sup>[9]</sup>. The performance of optical image instruments has promoted rapid development in machine vision technology. This enables surgeons to access challenging areas without the need for invasive maneuvers, providing excellent three-dimensional visualization and eliminating tremors. The robotic approach has not significantly changed the indications for esophagectomy, or the surgical techniques used. However, the use of the robotic platform can allow surgeons to perform MIE, which may curtail surgical insult to more patients.

In 2012, our institution performed its first robotic THE. Since then, we have transitioned our entire THE program from laparoscopic to RAMIE. This was done to increase the number of esophagectomy cases that are suitable for minimally invasive Surgery, thanks to the benefits of the Da Vinci platform. RAMIE has been demonstrated to be safe and effective in terms of oncological outcomes, but there is a learning curve, as with any new surgical technology. The learning curve for RAMIE necessitates improvements in operating time, blood loss, and lymph node yield. Studies have shown that RAMIE, compared to MIE, reduces the learning curve to 22 cases from a range of 35-119 cases. However, further results are needed to assess long-term results<sup>[10-13]</sup>.

The transhiatal approach became popular as a less invasive alternative to the transthoracic method, primarily because it lowers the risk of complications and morbidity. By avoiding thoracotomy and intrathoracic anastomosis, this approach reduces the chance of pulmonary issues. Additionally, research from the Department of Surgery at Oregon Health and Science University has indicated that minimally invasive THE offers advantages such as improved lymph node retrieval compared to open THE and the ability to directly visualize the lower mediastinum without the need for blind resection. Instead of relying on tactile feedback for blunt dissection, the use of the robotic platform allows the surgeon to have a clear visual perspective on various anatomical structures during the procedure. This includes a lateral view of the pleural attachments, an anterior view of the heart, a posterior view of the aorta, and a comprehensive view of surrounding lymphoid tissues. In summary, while various approaches exist for conducting esophagectomy, the available literature indicates that the transhiatal approach is the most beneficial for early-stage cancer, benign diseases, and high-risk patients<sup>[14]</sup>. Robotic-assisted THE, in particular, offers enhanced visualization, reduced morbidity, and quicker recovery, while maintaining comparable oncologic outcomes.

## ROBOTIC-ASSISTED MINIMALLY INVASIVE ESOPHAGECTOMY AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Robotic Surgery enables surgeons to rapidly acquire proficiency in complex techniques, thereby reducing the learning curve<sup>[15]</sup>. At the first global AI gastroenterology and endoscopy summit in late 2019, multiple academic domains came together, concurring that, in the next ten years, the clinical applications of AI in gastroenterology will positively impact patient care and clinical workflow<sup>[16]</sup>. The field of Surgery is no exception. Indeed, the application of AI in the field of Surgery sets itself apart from AI in other medical domains due to the inherently interventional nature of Surgery. Providing a window of opportunity for robotic Surgery to pioneer groundbreaking advancements in healthcare.

AI- assisted robots are emerging as invaluable tools for surgeons. This technology combines the dexterity of human hands with the analytical power of AI algorithms, enabling them to perform complex surgical procedures with unparalleled accuracy and reliability. The collective body of evidence suggests that AI has the potential to enhance surgeons in multiple ways. Computer Vision (CV) is a field of study and technology that enables computers or machines to gain visual understanding from digital images or videos. Within computer vision, two particularly fascinating approaches to data analysis are motion analysis and time action analysis. In the pre-deep learning era, evaluating surgical skills required real-time observations of procedures or the review of recorded procedure videos. Gumbs *et al.* introduced a technique that focuses solely on data collected at non-continuous, predefined intervals. This approach allows for faster analysis and was noted to be particularly useful in assessing the placement of surgical clips<sup>[17]</sup>. Additional studies have shown that the application of machine learning technologies provides new methodologies to utilize large amounts of data for educational purposes<sup>[18]</sup>.

It is important to keep in mind that surgeons are often evaluated on the basis of outcomes. These evaluations are generally conducted by surveys or videos and can be prone to bias<sup>[19]</sup>. Thus, objective assessment can be difficult to achieve. Measurements of technical proficiency extracted from AI algorithms have been shown to correlate with those given by expert surgeons. AI image processing has been shown to significantly improve the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the images, suggesting the images displayed under the algorithm were of higher quality. This implies that we may be able to create a standardized grading system for surgical techniques, which may help further reduce the learning curve to RAMIE<sup>[20-23]</sup>. This will dramatically improve preoperative preparations and reduce postoperative complications for robotic THE. However, it is critical that these assessments are unbiased so that the true skill level of all surgeons is evaluated equally. Otherwise, the potential benefits of AI algorithms for surgical education and assessment could be undermined<sup>[24]</sup>.

Furthermore, AI algorithms can act as decision-support tools during an operation, providing real-time analysis of data and offering recommendations to surgeons<sup>[25]</sup>. For novice surgeons, identifying crucial anatomical structures in the context of RAMIE remains a significant challenge. Although current platforms offer zoomed-in operating views, there is a need for additional assistance in orienting these views effectively. Previous research has primarily concentrated on less intricate procedures such as cholecystectomy due to their shorter learning curve. However, a study conducted at the University Medical Center (UMC) Utrecht focused on gathering surgical videos of RAMIE procedures, with particular attention to three key anatomical structures: the lungs, the vena cava/azygous vein, and the aorta. This study showcased the potential of a deep learning-based algorithm to segment these critical anatomical structures, which could aid in recognizing anatomy in the thoracoscopic RAMIE video frames<sup>[26]</sup>. Additionally, various research endeavors have suggested and implemented effective bottom-up strategies for forming annotator teams. Hashimoto *et al.* evaluated the efficacy and adaptability of their proposed team formation approach in the

context of robot-assisted partial nephrectomy (RAPN) and RAMIE. Their findings underscored the critical role of surgical instrument detection in surgical AI projects while highlighting the absence of clear instructions for attaining high-quality data<sup>[27]</sup>. Through insights and predictive models, AI can help optimize surgical strategies, determine the best approach, and simulate the procedure to anticipate potential challenges.

In time, the incorporation of real-time feedback and haptic data into AI algorithms will enable robotic surgical systems to adapt to changing conditions, compensate for physiological motion, and enhance surgical precision<sup>[28]</sup>. Currently, one of the most notable instances of a self-operating tool is the Smart Tissue Autonomous Robot (STAR). This robot has successfully conducted *in vivo* robotic laparoscopic small bowel anastomosis. Its advanced autonomous approach permits the operator to choose from a variety of autonomously generated surgical plans, and the robot independently carries out a diverse range of tasks. Findings from the study's simulated model demonstrated that the autonomous system surpasses the manual techniques of expert surgeons and the RAS technique in terms of both consistency and precision<sup>[29]</sup>. If the ultimate aim is to enhance the independence of robots, perhaps research should shift its emphasis away from human-centric interpretations of haptics and instead concentrate on how robots and computers perceive haptics<sup>[29]</sup>.

Surgeons are in a favorable position to facilitate the integration of AI into contemporary medicine. Leveraging AI in robotic Surgery, surgeons can use these prospective advancements to improve surgical outcomes, reduce complications, enhance patient safety, and expand their capabilities. However, the use of AI in Surgery is still evolving, and its implementation should always be supervised and guided by skilled healthcare professionals. We must encourage ongoing research and development efforts, support innovation, and invest in the training of healthcare professionals to fully unlock the transformative power of AI. When we embrace a mindset of continuous learning and improvement, we can propel the field of robotic Surgery forward and redefine the boundaries of what is possible in minimally invasive Surgery.

## **DECLARATIONS**

### **Authors' contributions**

Made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the study and performed data analysis, administrative, technical, and material support and interpretation: Peek G, Ross SB

### **Availability of data and materials**

Not applicable.

### **Financial support and sponsorship**

None.

### **Conflict of interest**

Dr. Sharona B. Ross is a consultant for Intuitive Surgical (Sunnyvale, CA) and Ethicon (Cincinnati, OH). Dr. Sharona B. Ross receives educational grants for her Women in Surgery Career Symposium from Intuitive Surgical and Medtronic (Minneapolis, MN).

### **Ethical approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable.

## Consent for publication

Not applicable.

## Copyright

© The Author(s) 2023.

## REFERENCES

1. Ross SB, Giovannetti A, Sucandy I, Lippert T, Rosemurgy AS. Robotic transhiatal esophagectomy. In: Novitsky YW, editor. Atlas of robotic general surgery. Elsevier; 2021. Available from: <https://shop.elsevier.com/books/atlas-of-robotic-general-surgery/novitsky/978-0-323-69780-4>. [Last accessed on 26 Dec 2023].
2. Jacoby H, Ross S, Sucandy I, et al. The effect of body mass index on robotic transhiatal esophagectomy for esophageal adenocarcinoma. *Am Surg* 2022;88:2204-9. DOI
3. Ross SB, Rayman S, Thomas J, et al. Evaluating the cost for robotic vs “non-robotic” transhiatal esophagectomy. *Am Surg* 2022;88:389-93. DOI
4. Esagian SM, Ziogas IA, Skarentzos K, et al. Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy versus open esophagectomy for esophageal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancers* 2022;14:3177. DOI PubMed PMC
5. Wu H, Shang L, Du F, et al. Transhiatal versus transthoracic surgical approach for Siewert type II adenocarcinoma of the esophagogastric junction: a meta-analysis. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020;14:1107-17. DOI
6. Barreto JC, Posner MC. Transhiatal versus transthoracic esophagectomy for esophageal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2010;16:3804-10. DOI PubMed PMC
7. Chang AC, Ji H, Birkmeyer NJ, Orringer MB, Birkmeyer JD. Outcomes after transhiatal and transthoracic esophagectomy for cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008;85:424-9. DOI PubMed
8. Bolton JS, Teng S. Transthoracic or transhiatal esophagectomy for cancer of the esophagus - does it matter? *Surg Oncol Clin N Am* 2002;11:365-75. DOI PubMed
9. Cheng Q, Dong Y. Da Vinci robot-assisted video image processing under artificial intelligence vision processing technology. *Comput Math Methods Med* 2022;2022:2752444. DOI PubMed PMC
10. van der Sluis PC, Ruurda JP, van der Horst S, Goense L, van Hillegersberg R. Learning curve for robot-assisted minimally invasive thoracoscopic esophagectomy: results from 312 cases. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2018;106:264-71. DOI PubMed
11. Claassen L, van Workum F, Rosman C. Learning curve and postoperative outcomes of minimally invasive esophagectomy. *J Thorac Dis* 2019;11:S777-85. DOI PubMed PMC
12. Kingma BF, Hadzijusufovic E, Van der Sluis PC, et al. A structured training pathway to implement robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy: the learning curve results from a high-volume center. *Dis Esophagus* 2020;33:doaa047. DOI
13. Rebecchi F, Bonomo LD, Salzano A, Camandona M, Morino M. Robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy (RAMIE) with side-to-side semi-mechanical anastomosis: analysis of a learning curve. *Updates Surg* 2022;74:907-16. DOI PubMed
14. Kamarajah SK, Navidi M, Wahed S, et al. Significance of neoadjuvant downstaging in carcinoma of esophagus and gastroesophageal junction. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2020;27:3182-92. DOI PubMed PMC
15. Ross SB, Downs D, Saeed SM, Dolce JK, Rosemurgy AS. Robotics in surgery: is a robot necessary? For what? *Minerva Chir* 2017;72:61-70. DOI
16. Kröner PT, Engels MM, Glicksberg BS, et al. Artificial intelligence in gastroenterology: a state-of-the-art review. *World J Gastroenterol* 2021;27:6794-824. DOI PubMed PMC
17. Gumbs AA, Grasso V, Bourdel N, et al. The advances in computer vision that are enabling more autonomous actions in surgery: a systematic review of the literature. *Sensors* 2022;22:4918. DOI PubMed PMC
18. Mirchi N, Bissonnette V, Yilmaz R, Ledwos N, Winkler-Schwartz A, Del Maestro RF. The virtual operative assistant: an explainable artificial intelligence tool for simulation-based training in surgery and medicine. *PLoS One* 2020;15:e0229596. DOI PubMed PMC
19. Crigger E, Reinbold K, Hanson C, Kao A, Blake K, Irons M. Trustworthy augmented intelligence in health care. *J Med Syst* 2022;46:12. DOI PubMed PMC
20. Domínguez-Rosado I, Mercado MA. The future of technology and robotics in surgery. *Rev Invest Clin* 2021;73:326-8. DOI
21. Chadebecq F, Vasconcelos F, Mazomenos E, Stoyanov D. Computer vision in the surgical operating room. *Visc Med* 2020;36:456-62. DOI PubMed PMC
22. Yang JH, Goodman ED, Dawes AJ, et al. Using AI and computer vision to analyze technical proficiency in robotic surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2023;37:3010-7. DOI
23. Kiyasseh D, Laca J, Haque TF, et al. Human visual explanations mitigate bias in AI-based assessment of surgeon skills. *NPJ Digit Med* 2023;6:54. DOI PubMed PMC
24. Loftus TJ, Tighe PJ, Filiberto AC, et al. Artificial intelligence and surgical decision-making. *JAMA Surg* 2020;155:148-58. DOI PubMed PMC
25. den Boer RB, Jaspers TJM, de Jongh C, et al. Deep learning-based recognition of key anatomical structures during robot-assisted minimally invasive esophagectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2023;37:5164-75. DOI PubMed PMC

26. De Backer P, Eckhoff JA, Simoens J, et al. Multicentric exploration of tool annotation in robotic surgery: lessons learned when starting a surgical artificial intelligence project. *Surg Endosc* 2022;36:8533-48. [DOI](#)
27. Hashimoto DA, Rosman G, Rus D, Meireles OR. Artificial intelligence in surgery: promises and perils. *Ann Surg* 2018;268:70-6. [DOI](#)
28. Saeidi H, Opfermann JD, Kam M, et al. Autonomous robotic laparoscopic surgery for intestinal anastomosis. *Sci Robot* 2022;7:eabj2908. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)
29. Gumbs AA, Frigerio I, Spolverato G, et al. Artificial intelligence surgery: how do we get to autonomous actions in surgery? *Sensors* 2021;21:5526. [DOI](#) [PubMed](#) [PMC](#)