Constructing 1D/2D Interwoven Carbonous Matrix *to Enable* High-Efficiency Sulfur Immobilization in Li-S Battery

Jiafeng Ruan^{1,2#}, Hao Sun^{1#}, Yun Song², Yuepeng Pang¹, Junhe Yang¹, Shiyou Zheng^{1,*}

¹School of Materials and Chemistry, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, People's Republic of China.

²Department of Materials Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China.

*Corresponding Author: syzheng@usst.edu.cn

Experimental Section

Synthesis of SG-CNT: The SG-CNT is synthesized from Multi-wall CNT by a modified Hummer's method (the purity of CNT is >95%, the diameter of the CNT is around 11 nm, the average length is around 10 μ m, CNano Technology Ltd.). Before transferring the oxidized CNT to the hydrothermal reactor, the solution (the solution concentration is around 10 mg mL⁻¹) is ultrasonicated for half hour. After that, the solution of the O-CNT is placed into Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave for hydrothermal at 200 °C for 48 h. Finally, the asprepared SG-CNT hydrogel is freeze-dried.

Synthesis of S@SG-CNT composite: The S@SG-CNT composite is prepared by infiltration of the sublimed S powder (Sigma-Aladdin) into SG-CNT in evacuated quartz capsule (mass ratios of m_{SG-CNT} : m_S =3:7) at 700 °C for 6 h. First of all, the SG-CNT and S powder are added in the quartz capsule. After that, through the vacuum of the quartz capsule to ensure S infiltrate into the SG-CNT completely at 700 °C. And then, we can easily obtain the S@SG-CNT composite after cooling down to room temperature. For comparisons, the S@CNT and S@O-CNT composite are prepared by carbonizing of merging the pure CNT and O-CNT with sublimed S, respectively.

Physical characterizations: SEM images are obtained by using a FEI Nova SEM 230 equipped with HR-SEM (form Oxford Instruments, named INCA X-Max 80). Deeply morphologies and microstructure are characterized by performed a TEM at 200 kV (JEOL Ltd. from Japan), which named JEM-2100F. The XRD patterns are recorded on the X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku D/MAX-2200/PC) with a Cu Kα radiation at 40 kV and 20 mA. Raman spectra is obtained by a Senterra R200-L (from Germany). The surface properties are analyzed by XPS (Kratos Axis Ultra DLD). BET surface areas and porosity for the assynthesized sample is carried out by using a N₂ sorption instrument (Micromeritics, ASAP2020). To confirmed the S content in the S@CNT, S@O-CNT and S@SG-CNT composites, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is performed with a heating rate of 10 °C/min (a Netzsch STA 449 F1, Germany), and high-purity N₂ as the purge gas.

Electrochemical measurements: The electrochemical performance of the Li-S battery is performed using 2032 half-cell. The SG-CNT matrix is mixed with carbon black and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) in a weight ratio of 85:5:10, with N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP) solvent as a dispersant. After stirring 2h, the as-prepared slurry is coated onto an Al foil. After that, the electrodes are punched into circle discs, and the diameter and loading of the electrodes is 1.2 cm and ~2.4 mg cm⁻², respectively. Besides, the three kinds of high mass loading are 4.1, 5.3, and 6.6 mg cm⁻². The battery assembly is performed in the glovebox (MBRAUN). Before being transferred to the glovebox, the composite electrodes should further dry in a vacuum at 60 °C over for 24 h. Li metal is used as a counter; Celgard 3501 (Celgard, LLC Corp., USA) is used as a separator; 1.0 M LiTFSI dissolved in a mixture of 1,3 DOL/1,2 DME (1:1 v/v) with 1.0 % wt. LiNO₃ additive is used as the electrolyte. The electrolyte/S ratios are 11 μ L mg⁻¹ and 8 μ L mg⁻¹ for cathodes with S loading of ~2.4 mg cm⁻² and higher S loading (4.1 mg cm⁻²; 5.3 mg cm⁻²; 6.6 mg cm⁻²), respectively. Cycling performances are conducted at various rates in the potential range of 1.5 to 3.0 V (vs Li/Li⁺) on LAND CT2001A battery test system (Wuhan Jinnuo Electronics, Ltd.). CV tests are performed at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ (Gamry Co., Reference 3000, USA). EIS is measured at room temperature (~25 °C). The frequency ranged from 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz. Before any EIS tests, the cell should be cycle to the target cycle number at 2.0 A g⁻¹. Li₂S₆ adsorption test: 0.02 M Li₂S₆ dissolve in DOL/DME with a volumetric ratio of 1/1. The three matrixes are added 15 mg in the above solution.



Figure S1. TEM images of the (a) SG-CNT-6; (b) SG-CNT-12; (c) SG-CNT-24; (d) SG-CNT.



Figure R2. Raman patterns of CNT and SG-CNT

The 2D peak originates from a two-phonon and second-order scattering process and its intensity is especially sensitive to the sample purity and long-range order. A weak D* band affiliated to the G band is also indicative of carbon disorder degree. The remarkably decreased I_{2D}/I_G and up-shifted G band (due to the emerged D* band) suggest that the intact tube walls have been gradually unraveled in the consecutive unzipping process, resulting in the formation of graphene.



Figure S3. XPS survey of CNT, O-CNT, SG-CNT-6, SG-CNT-12, SG-CNT-24 and SG-CNT.

	and SG-CNT.				
-	Sample	at. % C	at. % O	O/C	-
-	CNT	99.66	0.34	0.003	_
	O-CNT	77.61	22.39	0.288	
	SG-CNT-6	85.85	14.15	0.165	
	SG-CNT-12	86.01	13.99	0.163	
	SG-CNT-24	86.13	13.87	0.161	
	SG-CNT	86.65	13.35	0.154	

 Table S1. Elemental composition of the CNT, O-CNT, SG-CNT-6, SG-CNT-12, SG-CNT-24

 and SG-CNT



Figure S4. The high-resolution XPS spectrum of O 1s.

and SG-CN1.						
	functional group	binding energy (eV)	actual ratios (% area)			
			O-CNT	SG-CNT-12	SG-CNT	
	C=O	531.2	2.83	1.45	0.8	
	HO-C	532.0	12.47	5.65	3.69	
O 1s	C-O-C	533.2	4.53	4.80	7.73	
	HO-C=O	534.3	2.55	2.09	1.12	

 Table S2. Assignments and percentage composition in the O 1s regions of the CNT, O-CNT

 and SG CNT



Figure S5. Raman patterns of pristine S, S@CNT, S@O-CNT and S@SG-CNT



Figure S6. (a) N2 adsorption isotherms of CNT, O-CNT and SG-CNT; (b) N2 adsorption isotherms of S@CNT, S@O-CNT and S@SG-CNT; (c) pore size distribution of S@CNT,

S@O-CNT and S@SG-CNT.



Figure S7. XPS survey of the S@CNT, S@O-CNT and S@SG-CNT

Table S3. Elemental composition of S@CNT, S@O-CNT and S@SG-CNT composites.

	at. % C	at. % O	at. % S	O/C
S@CNT	86.37	0.18	13.45	0.002
S@O-CNT	81.91	1.97	16.12	0.024
S@SG-CNT	72.93	11.58	15.49	0.159



Figure S8. The high-resolution XPS spectrum of C 1s.



Figure S9. The high-resolution XPS spectrum of O 1s.

Table S4. Assignments and percentage composition in the C1s, O 1s, and S 2p regions of theS@CNT, S@O-CNT and S@SG-CNT composites.

	functional group	binding energy (eV)		ratios (% are	ea)
			S@CNT	S@O-CNT	S@SG-CNT
	C-C/C=C	284.7	83.2	74.1	67.9
	C-S	285.6	16.8	13.9	13.1
C 1s	C=O	286.7	-	-	7.1
	O-C=O	289.0	-	-	11.9
	C=O	531.2	-	-	9.8
	S-O-C/HO-C	532	-	-	65.6
O 1s	C-O-C	533.2	-	-	21.3
	HO-C=O	534.3	-	-	3.3
	S-S/S-C	163.9	74.6	67.2	36.9
a a	S-S/S-C	165.1	25.4	28.8	20.3
8 2p	S-O	168.7	-	2.2	23.1
	S-O	170.0	-	1.8	19.7



Figure S10. SEM images of the (a) S@CNT and (b) S@O-CNT



Figure S11. SEM image of the pure S. This scale bar is 100 μ m.



Figure S12. TEM images of the (a) S@CNT and (b) S@O-CNT.



Figure S13. Cyclic voltammetry curves of the (a) pure S, (b) S@S-CNT, and (c) S@O-CNT electrode at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹.



Figure S14. Initial three discharge/charge profiles of the pure S, S@CNT and S@O-CNT electrodes at a current density of 0.1 A g⁻¹.

Table S5. Re and **Rct** obtained by fitting experimental data in Figure S13 using equivalent circuit (inset in Figure S13) for S@SG-CNT cell before and after cycling.

	Fresh cell	After 3 cycles	After 300 cycles	After 500 cycles	After 1000 cycles
$\operatorname{Re}\left(\Omega ight)$	6.9	5.9	7.2	6.1	5.1
Rct (Ω)	132	34.1	50.4	55.8	57.7



Figure S15. the cyclic voltammograms of the (a) S@CNT and (b) S@O-CNT at various scan rates; linear fits of the peak current of the (c) S@CNT and (d) S@O-CNT composites for different peaks; (e) the corresponding slope value of $I_p/V^{1/2}$.



Figure S16. Schematic illustration of the electrochemical reaction mechanism of the S@CNT and S@O-CNT.